Supplement to *The Auk*

Ornithological Monographs

No. 72



An Alphataxonomic Revision of Extinct and Extant Razorbills (Aves, Alcidae): A Combined Morphometric and Phylogenetic Approach

N. ADAM SMITH AND JULIA A. CLARKE

PUBLISHED BY THE AMERICAN ORNITHOLOGISTS' UNION

ORNITHOLOGICAL MONOGRAPHS

Order from: Buteo Books, 3130 Laurel Road, Shipman, VA 22971, 1-800-722-2460; e-mail allen@buteobooks.com; or www. buteobooks.com. Prices in parentheses are for AOU members. For a complete list of Ornithological Monographs including both in-print and out-of-print books, please visit the American Ornithologists' union website at www.aou.org.

- No. 3. The Birds of Kentucky. R. M. Mengel. 1965.
- No. 6. Adaptations for Locomotion and Feeding in the Anhinga and the Double-crested Cormorant. O. T. Owre. 1967.
- No. 7. A Distributional Survey of the Birds of Honduras. B. L. Monroe, Jr. 1968.
- No. 10. The Behavior of Spotted Antbirds. E. O. Willis. 1972.
- No. 11. Behavior, Mimetic Songs and Song Dialects, and Relationships of the Parasitic Indigobirds (Vidua) of Africa. R. B. Payne. 1973.
- No. 12. Intra-island Variation in the Mascarene White-eye Zosterops borbonica. F. B. Gill. 1973.
- No. 13. Evolutionary Trends in the Neotropical Ovenbirds and Woodhewers. A. Feduccia. 1973.
- No. 14. A Symposium on the House Sparrow (Passer domesticus) and European Tree Sparrow (P. montanus) in North America. S. C. Kendeigh, Ed. 1973.
- No. 15. Functional Anatomy and Adaptive Evolution of the Feeding Apparatus in the Hawaiian Honeycreeper Genus Loxops (Drepanididae). L. P. Richards. and W. J. Bock. 1973.
- No. 16. The Red-tailed Tropicbird on Kure Atoll. R. R. Fleet. 1974.
- No. 17. Comparative Behavior of the American Avocet and the Black-necked Stilt (Recurvirostridae). R. B. Hamilton. 1975.
- No. 18. Breeding Biology and Behavior of the Oldsquaw (Clangula hyemalis L.). R. M. Alison. 1975.
- No. 19. Bird Populations of Aspen Forests in Western North America. J. A. D. Flack. 1976.
- No. 21. Social Organization and Behavior of the Acorn Woodpecker in Central Coastal California. M. H. MacRoberts and B. R. MacRoberts. 1976.
- No. 22. Maintenance Behavior and Communication in the Brown Pelican. R. W. Schreiber. 1977.
- No. 23. Species Relationships in the Avian Genus Aimophila. L. L. Wolf. 1977.
- No. 24. Land Bird Communities of Grand Bahama Island: The Structure and Dynamics of an Avifauna. J. T. Emlen. 1977.
- No. 25. Systematics of Smaller Asian Night Birds Based on Voice. J. T. Marshall. 1978.
- No. 26. Ecology and Behavior of the Prairie Warbler, Dendroica discolor. V. Nolan, Jr. 1978.
- No. 27. *Ecology and Evolution of Lek Mating Behavior in the Long-tailed Hermit Hummingbird.* F. G. Stiles and L. L. Wolf. 1979.
- No. 28. The Foraging Behavior of Mountain Bluebirds with Emphasis on Sexual Foraging Differences. H. W. Power. 1980.
- No. 29. The Molt of Scrub Jays and Blue Jays in Florida. G. T. Bancroft and G. E. Woolfenden. 1982.
- No. 30. Avian Incubation: Egg Temperature, Nest Humidity, and Behavioral Thermoregulation in a Hot Environment. G. S. Grant. 1982.
- No. 31. The Native Forest Birds of Guam. J. M. Jenkins. 1983.
- No. 32. *The Marine Ecology of Birds in the Ross Sea, Antarctica*. D. G. Ainley, E. F. O'Connor and R. F. Boekelheide. x + 97 pp. 1984.
- No. 33. Sexual Selection, Lek and Arena Behavior, and Sexual Size Dimorphism in Birds. R. B. Payne. viii + 52 pp. 1984.
- No. 34. Pattern, Mechanism, and Adaptive Significance of Territoriality in Herring Gulls (Larus argentatus). J. Burger. xii + 92 pp. 1984.
- No. 35. *Ecogeographical Variation in Size and Proportions of Song Sparrows (Melospiza melodia)*. J. W. Aldrich. x + 134 pp. 1984.
- No. 37. Avian Monogamy. P. A. Gowaty and D. W. Mock, Eds. vi + 121 pp. 1985.
- No. 38. An Analysis of Physical, Physiological, and Optical Aspects of Avian Coloration with Emphasis on Wood-Warblers. E. H. Burtt, Jr. x + 122 pp. 1986.
- No. 39. *The Lingual Apparatus of the African Grey Parrot, Psittacus erithacus Linne (Aves: Psittacidae): Description and Theoretical Mechanical Analysis.* D. G. Homberger. xii + 236 pp. 1986.
- No. 40. Patterns and Evolutionary Significance of Geographic Variation in the Schistacea Group of the Fox Sparrow (Passerella iliaca). R. M. Zink. viii + 119 pp. 1986.

ORNITHOLOGICAL MONOGRAPHS

(*Continued from back cover*)

- No. 41. Hindlimb Myology and Evolution of Old World Suboscine Passerine Birds (Acanthisittidae, Pittidae, Philepittidae, Eurylaimidae). R. J. Raikow. viii + 81 pp. 1987.
- No. 42. Speciation and Geographic Variation in Black-tailed Gnatcatchers. J. L. Atwood. vii + 74 pp. 1988.
- No. 43. A Distributional Survey of the Birds of the Mexican State of Oaxaca. L. C. Binford. viii + 418 pp. 1989.
- No. 44. Recent Advances in the Study of Neogene Fossil Birds: I. The Birds of the Late Miocene-Early Pliocene Big Sandy Formation, Mohave County, Arizona (K. J. Bichart); II. Fossil Birds of the San Diego Formation, Late Pliocene, Blancan, San Diego County, California (R. M. Chandler). vi + 161 pp. 1990.
- Nos. 45 Descriptions of Thirty-two New Species of Birds from the Hawaiian Islands: Part I. Non-
- & 46. *Passeriformes* (S. L. Olson and H. F. James), 88 pp.; *Part II. Passeriformes* (H. F. James and S. L. Olson), 88 pp. 1991. Bound together (not available separately).
- No. 47. *Parent-Offspring Conflict and Its Resolution in the European Starling*. E. Litovich and H. W. Power. 71 pp. 1992.
- No. 48. Studies in Neotropical Ornithology Honoring Ted Parker. J. V. Remsen Jr., Ed. xiv + 918 pp. 1997.
- No. 49. *Avian Reproductive Tactics: Female and Male Perspectives*. P. G. Parker and N. T. Burley, Eds. v + 195 pp. 1998.
- No. 50. Avian Community, Climate, and Sea-Level Changes in the Plio-Pleistocene of the Florida Peninsula. S. D. Emslie. iii + 113 pp. 1998.
- No. 51. A Descriptive and Phylogenetic Analysis of Plumulaceous Feather Characters in Charadriiformes. C. J. Dove. iii + 163 pp. 2000.
- No. 52. Ornithology of Sabah: History, Gazetteer, Annotated Checklist, and Bibliography. F. H. Sheldon, R. G. Moyle, and J. Kennard. vi + 285 pp. 2001.
- No. 53. Evolution of Flightlessness in Rails (Gruiformes: Rallidae): Phylogenetic, Ecomorphological, and Ontogenetic Perspectives. B. C. Livezey. x + 654 pp. 2003.
- No. 54. Population Dynamics of the California Spotted Owl (Strix occidentalis occidentalis): A Meta-Analysis. A. B. Franklin, R. J. Gutiérrez, J. D. Nichols, M. E. Seamans, G. C. White, G. S. Zimmerman, J. E. Hines, T. E. Munton, W. S. LaHaye, J. A. Blakesley, G. N. Steger, B. R. Noon, D. W. H. Shaw, J. J. Keane, T. L. Mcdonald, and S. Britting. viii + 54 pp. 2004.
- No. 55. Obligate Army-ant-following Birds: A Study of Ecology, Spatial Movement Patterns, and Behavior in Amazonian Peru. S. K. Willson. x + 67 pp. 2004.
- No. 56. Prehistoric Human Impacts on California Birds: Evidence from the Emeryville Shellmound Avifauna. J. M. Broughton. xii + 90 pp. 2004.
- No. 57. *Management of Cowbirds and Their Hosts: Balancing Science, Ethics, and Mandates.* C. P. Ortega, J. F. Chace, and B. D. Peer, Eds. viii + 114 pp. 2005.
- No. 58. Ernst Mayr at 100: Ornithologist and Naturalist. W. J. Bock and M. R. Lein, Eds. viii + 109 pp. 2005.
- No. 59. Modeling Approaches in Avian Conservation and the Role of Field Biologists. S. R. Beissinger, J. R. Walters, D. G. Catanzaro, K. G. Smith, J. B. Dunning, Jr., S. M. Haig, B. R. Noon, and B. M. Stith. viii + 56 pp. 2006.
- No. 60. *Current Topics in Avian Disease Research: Understanding Endemic and Invasive Diseases.* R. K. Barraclough, Ed. viii + 111 pp. 2006.
- No. 61. Patterns of Migratory Connectivity in Two Nearctic–Neotropical Songbirds: New Insights from Intrinsic Markers. M. Boulet and D. R. Norris, Eds. viii + 88 pp. 2006.
- No. 62. Storm-petrels of the Eastern Pacific Ocean: Species Assembly and Diversity along Marine Habitat Gradients. L. B. Spear and D. G. Ainley. viii + 77 pp. 2007.
- No. 63. *Festschrift for Ned K. Johnson: Geographic Variation and Evolution in Birds.* C. Cicero and J. V. Remsen, Jr., Eds. viii + 114 pp. 2007.
- No. 64. Conservation of Grassland Birds in North America: Understanding Ecological Processes in Different Regions. R. A. Askins, F. Chavez-Ramirez, B. C. Dale, C. A. Haas, J. R. Herkert, F. L. Knopf, and P. D. Vickery. viii + 46 pp. 2007.

Order from: University of California Press, Journals and Digital Publishing, www.ucpressjournals.com, or e-mail customerservice@ucpressjournals.com.

- No. 65. *Reproduction and Immune Homeostasis in a Long-lived Seabird, the Nazca Booby (Sula granti).* V. Apanius, M. A. Westbrock, and D. J. Anderson. viii + 46 pp. 2008.
- No. 66. *Cladistics and the Origin of Birds: A Review and Two New Analyses.* Frances C. James and John A. Pourtless IV. viii + 78 pp. 2009.
- No. 67. Avian Subspecies. Kevin Winker and Susan M. Haig, eds. viii + 200 pp. 2010.
- No. 68. Thomas R. Howell's Check-list of the Birds of Nicaragua as of 1993. Juan C. Martínez-Sánchez and Tom Will, Eds. xvi + 108 pp. 2010.
- No. 69. On the Origin of Species Through Heteropatric Differentiation: A Review and a Model of Speciation in Migratory Animals. Kevin Winker. viii + 30 pp. 2010.
- No. 70. Avifauna of the Eastern Himalayas and Southeastern sub-Himalayan Mountains: Center of Endemism or Many Species in Marginal Habitats? Swen C. Renner and John H. Rappole, eds. viii + 166 pp. 2011.
- No. 71. Are Wild Birds Important in the Transport of Arthropod-borne Viruses? Charles R. Brown and Valerie A. O'Brien. viii + 64 pp. 2011.

An Alphataxonomic Revision of Extinct and Extant Razorbills (Aves, Alcidae): A Combined Morphometric and Phylogenetic Approach

Ornithological Monographs

Editor: Michael L. Morrison

Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences 210 Nagle Hall, 2258 TAMU Texas A&M University College Station, Texas 77843-2258

Managing Editor: Mark C. Penrose Copy Editor: Richard D. Earles

Spanish translation of abstract: Carlos Daniel Cadena, Cintia Cornelius, and Lucio R. Malizia

The *Ornithological Monographs* series, published by the American Ornithologists' Union, has been established for major papers and presentations too long for inclusion in the Union's journal, *The Auk*.

Copying and permissions notice: Authorization to copy article content beyond fair use (as specified in Sections 107 and 108 of the U.S. Copyright Law) for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by The Regents of the University of California on behalf of the American Ornithologists' Union for libraries and other users, provided that they are registered with and pay the specified fee through the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), www.copyright.com. To reach the CCC's Customer Service Department, phone 978-750-8400 or write to info@copyright.com. For permission to distribute electronically, republish, resell, or repurpose material, and to purchase article offprints, use the CCC's Rightslink service, available on Caliber at http://caliber.ucpress.net. Submit all other permissions and licensing inquiries through University of California Press's Rights and Permissions website, www.ucpressjournals.com/reprintInfo.asp, or via e-mail: journalspermissions@ucpress.edu.

Back issues of *Ornithological Monographs* from earlier than 2007 are available from Buteo Books at http://www.buteobooks.com. Issues from 2008 forward are available from University of California Press at http://www.ucpressjournals.com. For complete abstracting and indexing coverage for *Ornithological Monographs*, please visit http://www.ucpressjournals.com.

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011908408 ISBN: 978-0-943610-91-7 Issued 15 October 2011 Ornithological Monographs, No. 72 viii + 61 pp.

Cover: Razorbills (Alcidae, *Alca*) on the Atlantic coastline. Original artwork by Katie Browne.

© 2011 American Ornithologists' Union. All rights reserved.

An Alphataxonomic Revision of Extinct and Extant Razorbills (Aves, Alcidae): A Combined Morphometric and Phylogenetic Approach

BY

N. Adam Smith and Julia A. Clarke

Department of Geological Sciences, Jackson School of Geosciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78713, USA

> ORNITHOLOGICAL MONOGRAPHS NO. 72 PUBLISHED BY THE AMERICAN ORNITHOLOGISTS' UNION WASHINGTON, D.C. 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables and Figures vi
From the Editor
ABSTRACT
INTRODUCTION
MATERIALS AND METHODS
RESULTS10MORPHOMETRIC RESULTS10Extant Alcidae10Extinct Alca11PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS14
SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY 16
CONCLUSIONS
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
LITERATURE CITED
APPENDIX 1
APPENDIX 2
APPENDIX 3
APPENDIX 4
APPENDIX 5

LIST OF TABLES

1. Previously published extinct <i>Alca</i> holotype material	. 4
2. Summary of morphometric analyses and results	11
3. Comparison of size variation in alcids	11
4. Measurements of <i>Alca</i> humeri	13
5. Unambiguously optimized morphological apomorphies with a consistency index of 1.0 supporting alcid clades in the resultant phylogenetic tree	14
6. Measurements of associated <i>Alca</i> holotype specimens	25

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Maps indicating the modern range of extant <i>Alca torda</i> and <i>Alca</i> fossil localities
2.	Comparison of <i>Alca</i> and <i>Pinguinus</i> humeri in anterior view
3.	Flow chart depicting a simplified explanation of the combined morphometric and morphological method used to refer specimens to species
4.	Example phenogram depicting the results of cluster analysis of extant alcid species based on humeral measurements
5.	Graphic representation of results from discriminant function analysis of <i>Alca</i> humeri
6.	Cladogram of Alcini relationships 15
7.	Comparison of <i>Alca</i> skulls in right lateral view
8.	Alca grandis referred associated specimen (USNM 215454) 19
9.	<i>Alca grandis</i> referred associated specimen (USNM 336379), prepared from matrix since original description
10.	Distal view of <i>Alca</i> and <i>Pinguinus</i> humeri
11.	Alca ausonia referred left humerus (USNM 446692) 21
12.	Alca stewarti referred associated specimen (USNM 242238) 22
13.	Holotype specimen of <i>Alca carolinensis</i> (NCSM 13734) after preparation from matrix
14.	Holotype and referred specimens of <i>Alca minor</i>
15.	Holotype specimen of <i>Alca olsoni</i> (USNM 454590)
16.	Latitudinal ranges of extant alcids

From the Editor

When this monograph was submitted my initial reaction was that while it represented a lot of detailed work, it would provide an incremental improvement of our knowledge on species identification and relationships based largely on some new material and use of slightly different statistical analyses relative to previous works. As I continued to read, however, I realized that this work by N. Adam Smith and Julia A. Clarke represented far more than my initial reaction told me, and in fact provided a much broader look at how birds in general might have been reacting to changing environmental conditions through time.

Thus, I think the greatest value of this monograph is the presentation of a new hypothesis on the phylogenetic relationships within the Alcini. Although one might debate fine points of the analysis or wish that additional samples had been available, the authors have now laid out a compelling scenario that can be re-evaluated in the future based on additional samples.

This monograph also has value for those without a keen interest in the Alcidae. By developing a more complete picture of species composition through time, we are able to examine radiation and extinction in relation to many geological and environmental metrics, including of course paleoclimate. Thus, beyond hypotheses generated regarding species relationships, we can develop hypotheses concerning why species radiated and then became extinct. Clearly such information can only help inform contemporary studies of species radiations and extinctions in a changing environment.

This monograph is another good example of why people who study animals should strive to learn about research being conducted on a variety of taxa. After all, although not many people study auks and related species, there is much to be learned about animal evolution in general from studies such as the one presented herein.

Michael L. Morrison



AN ALPHATAXONOMIC REVISION OF EXTINCT AND EXTANT RAZORBILLS (AVES, ALCIDAE): A COMBINED MORPHOMETRIC AND PHYLOGENETIC APPROACH

N. Adam Smith¹ and Julia A. Clarke

Department of Geological Sciences, Jackson School of Geosciences, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78713, USA

ABSTRACT.—Alca (Aves, Alcidae) has a comparatively rich fossil record with respect to other Charadriiformes, consisting of thousands of specimens. Despite the abundance of fossil material, species richness in this clade has remained poorly understood, primarily because of the paucity of associated specimens. To address this issue, a combined morphometric and apomorphybased method was developed that would allow referral of fragmentary and isolated specimens, which constitute ~97% of the Alca fossil record. Measurements of multiple variables from >2,000 Alca fossils were categorized by hierarchical cluster analysis and resulted in the recognition of "species clusters." Discriminant function analysis was used to assess statistical support for these clusters and to identify the most informative measurements with respect to discriminating between species on the basis of size. The reliability of this method was tested using the same measurements taken from 13 extant alcid species and was found to be robust with respect to the accurate recovery of species-correlated groups of measurement data. With the exception of the similarly proportioned Alca carolinensis sp. nov. and A. olsoni sp. nov., the holotype specimens of all Alca species were recovered in separate, statistically supported clusters. These clusters of fossils were then evaluated for the presence of diagnostic morphological features, resulting in the recognition of three new *Alca* species. In contrast to previously described *Alca* species, two new species are described from holotype specimens that are associated partial skeletons. These associated specimens facilitated referral of isolated fossil material and phylogenetic estimation of Alca relationships. Amended diagnoses for Alca species are proposed, and 203 humeri are referred to species on the basis of unique suites of characters and size ranges identified through these analyses. This method has potential for assessing species diversity in other taxa known from abundant fragmentary and/or isolated remains.

The combined phylogenetic analysis includes the three new species described herein, nine extinct species in Alcini that have not been phylogenetically analyzed before, and six other extant or recently extinct (i.e., Great Auk [*Pinguinus impennis*]) Alcini species. The character matrix includes osteological characters and previously published molecular sequence data (ND2, ND5, ND6, CO1, CYTB, 12S, 16S, RAG1). The results support the monophyly of an *Alca* + *Pinguinus* clade recovered as the sister taxon to a clade composed of *Uria*, *Miocepphus*, and *Alle*.

The description of three new species of auk from the Early Pliocene Yorktown Formation of North Carolina nearly doubles the number of known species in *Alca*, makes *Alca* the most speciose clade of Atlantic alcids, and supports previous hypotheses of high species richness in this clade. The sole extant species, the Razorbill Auk (*Alca torda*), may accordingly be viewed as the only survivor of a diverse Atlantic Ocean clade that was species-rich a mere 4 million years ago. These new fossils refine our knowledge of alcid paleodiversity, provide information regarding ancestral osteological states within *Alca*, and allow for increased understanding of radiation, extinction, and biogeography within this clade. *Received 4 October 2010, accepted 8 April 2011*.

Key words: Alca, auks, Cenozoic, climate change, fossil birds, intraspecific variation, systematics.

¹E-mail: adam_smith@mail.utexas.edu

Ornithological Monographs, Number 72, pages 1–61. ISBN: 978-0-943610-91-7. © 2011 by The American Ornithologists' Union. All rights reserved. Please direct all requests for permission to photocopy or reproduce article content through the University of California Press's Rights and Permissions website, http://www.ucpressjournals.com/reprintInfo.asp. DOI: 10.1525/om.2011.72.1.1.

Revisión Alfataxonómica de Taxones Extintos y Vivientes de *Alca* (Aves, Alcidae): Un Enfoque Combinado de Morfometría y Filogenética

RESUMEN.—Alca (Aves, Alcidae) presenta un registro fósil comparativamente rico con respecto a otros Charadriiformes, que consiste de miles de especímenes. A pesar de la abundancia de material fósil, la riqueza de especies de este clado ha permanecido pobremente comprendida, principalmente debido a la escasez de especímenes adecuadamente preservados. Para abordar este asunto se desarrolló un método que, mediante una combinación de morfometría y análisis de apomorfías, permitiría establecer la identidad taxonómica de especímenes fragmentarios y aislados, que constituyen cerca del 97% del registro fósil de Alca. Se categorizaron medidas de múltiples variables tomadas en más de 2000 fósiles de Alca mediante análisis jerárquicos de conglomerados, lo que condujo al reconocimiento de "conglomerados de especies". Se empleó un análisis de funciones discriminantes para evaluar el respaldo estadístico de esos conglomerados y para identificar las mediciones que brindan más información con respecto a la discriminación entre especies basada en el tamaño. La confiabilidad de este método fue puesta a prueba utilizando las mismas medidas tomadas en 13 especies vivientes de álcidos y se encontró que el método es robusto con respecto a la identificación exacta de grupos correlacionados con especies a partir de las medidas. Con la excepción de dos especies que presentan proporciones similares, Alca carolinensis sp. nov. y A. olsoni sp. nov., los especímenes holótipo de todas las especies de Alca se asignaron a conglomerados distintos apoyados estadísticamente. Luego se examinaron estos conglomerados de fósiles para evaluar la presencia de rasgos morfológicos diagnósticos, lo que condujo al reconocimento de tres especies nuevas de Alca. En contraste con las especies de Alca previamente descritas, se describen dos especies a partir de holótipos que son esqueletos parciales. Estos especímenes facilitaron la clasificación de material fósil aislado y la estimación de las relaciones filogenéticas en Alca. Se proponen diagnosis corregidas para las especies de Alca, y 203 húmeros son referidos a las especies correspondientes con base en conjuntos únicos de rasgos y en rangos de tamaño identificados mediante los análisis. Este método tiene potencial para evaluar la diversidad de especies en otros taxones conocidos por abundantes restos fragmentarios y/o aislados.

El análisis filogenético combinado incluye las tres especies nuevas aquí descritas, nueve especies extintas de Alcini que no habían sido analizadas filogenéticamente con anterioridad y otras seis especies vivientes o recientemente extintas (i.e., *Pinguinus impennis*) de Alcini. La matriz de caracteres incluye rasgos osteológicos y datos moleculares de secuencias previamente publicadas (ND2, ND5, ND6, CO1, CYTB, 12S, 16S, RAG1). Los datos apoyan la monofilia de un clado *Alca* + *Pinguinus*, que fue recobrado como el grupo hermano de un clado compuesto por *Uria*, *Miocepphus* y *Alle*.

La descripción de tres especies nuevas de la formación Yorktown del Plioceno Temprano de Carolina del Norte casi duplica el número conocido de especies de *Alca*, posiciona a *Alca* como el clado de álcidos del Atlántico con más especies y apoya las hipótesis previas que sugerían una alta riqueza de especies en este clado. La única especie viviente, *Alca torda*, podría pues considerarse como la única sobreviviente de un clado del océano Atlántico que era rico en especies hace sólo 4 millones de años. Estos nuevos fósiles permiten afinar nuestro conocimiento sobre la paleodiversidad de los álcidos y permiten comprender mejor la radiación, extinción y biogeografía de este clado.

INTRODUCTION

ALCIDAE IS A clade of pelagic charadriiforms including auks, auklets, puffins, guillemots, murres, and murrelets. Alcids have been proposed to fill the same wing-propelled diving niche in the Northern Hemisphere as occupied by penguins in the Southern Hemisphere (Ridgway 1919). Extant diversity within Alcidae consists of 23 species of exclusively Holarctic distribution (del Hoyo et al. 1996). Just as the ranges of all extant alcids are correlated with cold-water upwelling zones that provide abundant feeding opportunities for these underwater predators (Prince and Harris 1988, del Hoyo et al. 1996), hypotheses regarding the paleodiversity and biogeographic history of extinct alcids have centered on geological and associated paleoclimatic explanations also related to cold-water upwelling (Warheit 1992a, Emslie 1998). Furthermore, alcid-fossil-bearing deposits from eastern and western North America as well as western Europe have been interpreted as cold-water marine deposits on the basis of microfaunal and lithologic evidence (Herman et al. 1974, Deméré 1982, Riggs 1984, Snyder et al. 2001), which suggests that alcids have been dependent on cold, nutrient-rich ocean systems since at least the Miocene.

With respect to other charadriiforms, Alcidae have a comparatively rich fossil record along the margins of both the Atlantic and Pacific oceans (Olson 1985). Specimens referred to the alcid taxon Alca are the most numerous (Olson and Rasmussen 2001). The range of the only extant species of auk, the Razorbill (Alca torda), and all known Alca fossils are restricted to the northern Atlantic Ocean basin (Brodkorb 1967, Olson 1985; but see Howard 1968 and discussion below; Fig. 1). Extinct Alca have been described from Miocene deposits in Virginia (Olson and Rasmussen 2001, Wijnker and Olson 2009) and from Pliocene deposits in Italy, Florida, North Carolina, Spain, Belgium, and Morocco (Portis 1888, 1891; Brodkorb 1955; Martin et al. 2001; Olson and Rasmussen 2001; Sanchez-Marco 2003; Dyke and Walker 2005; Mourer-Chauviré and Geraads 2010; Fig. 1).

The richest of these deposits is the Early Pliocene Yorktown Formation, a shallow marine deposit geologically linked with cold-water upwelling (Gibson 1967, Snyder et al. 2001) exposed at the PCS Phosphate Mine in Aurora, North Carolina (formerly known as the Lee Creek Mine; Ray 1983, Olson and Rasmussen 2001, Smith et al. 2007; Fig. 1). Although ~8,000 fossils from this locality, consisting primarily of ulnae, humeri, and coracoids, have been referred to Alca, a recent reassessment of this material indicates that only ~3% of these specimens are represented by undamaged skeletal elements (e.g., complete humeri), and only 23 specimens consist of associated material (18 of the 23 associated specimens are >90% incomplete; Smith 2007).

Previously recognized alcid diversity from the Yorktown Formation includes *Miocepphus mcclungi*, *M. bohaski*, *M. mergulellus*, *Fratercula cirrhata*, *F. arctica*, *Alca torda*, *A. ausonia*, *A. grandis*, *A. stewarti*, *Pinguinus alfrednewtoni*, and *Cerorhinca* sp. (Olson 1977, Martin et al. 2001, Olson and Rasmussen 2001, Smith et al. 2007, Wijnker and Olson 2009). The addition of three new *Alca* species described here increases the number of known *Alca*



FIG. 1. Maps indicating the modern range of extant *Alca torda* and *Alca* fossil localities. (1) Eastover Formation, Virginia. (2) Yorktown Formation, North Carolina. (3) Bone Valley Formation, Florida. (4) Kallo Sands Formation, Belgium. (5) Oriano Pisano, Italy (formation unknown). (6) Puerto de Mazarron Formation, Murcia, Spain. (7) Ahl al Oughlam Quarry, Casablanca, Morocco. Inset map of the eastern United States indicates the locality of PCS Phosphate Mine near Aurora, North Carolina, where the holotype specimens of *A. carolinenesis, A. minor*, and *A. olsoni* were collected. Range map altered from del Hoyo et al. (1996); inset map altered from Gibson (1983).

to 7, and the total number alcids known from this locality to 14. Microfaunal analysis has confirmed the Pliocene Yorktown Formation provenience of many avian fossils from this locality (Gibson unpubl. data in Olson and Rasmussen 2001). However, the lack of associated sediments leaves the probable provenience of many fossils from this locality in question (Smith et al. 2007, Wijnker and Olson 2009).

PCS Phosphate Mine is located near the south shore of the Pamlico River (Fig. 1) and exposes sediments of Miocene, Pliocene, and Pleistocene age (Gibson 1983). The Pliocene Yorktown Formation unconformably overlies the Miocene Pungo River Formation and is composed primarily of clay-rich sands (i.e., marls), with the basal-most unit containing reworked phosphate pebbles from the underlying Pungo River Formation (Gibson 1983). An age of 4.4 ± 0.2 Ma (Early Pliocene) was assigned to the Yorktown Formation at PCS Phosphate Mine on the basis of K/ Ar dating of the Orionina vaughani assemblage zone and correlated with planktonic foraminifera Zone N19 (Hazel 1983). Yorktown Formation sediments from the PCS Phosphate Mine are interpreted to be the result of moderate-depth (~150 m) outer neritic marine deposition at the southwestern end of the Aurora Embayment (Popenoe 1985, Snyder et al. 2001). The Aurora Embayment was a deep depression that allowed cold waters to upwell 100 km west of the margin of the Pliocene continental shelf (Riggs 1984). That upwelling resulted in a nutrient-rich marine environment interpreted as "a marine vertebrate high-use feeding area" by Purdy et al. (2001:188). As noted above, the distribution of extant alcids also coincides with cold-water upwelling zones (Prince and Harris 1988, del Hoyo et al. 1996), which suggests that the environmental preferences of alcids have remained relatively stable since the early Pliocene. The Yorktown Formation contains abundant remains of marine vertebrates (e.g., Chondricthyes, Osteichthyes, Cetacea, Sirenia, Pinnipedia, Testudines, Crocodylia; Ray 1983, 1987; Ray and Bohaska 2001; Ray et al. 2008) and

invertebrates (e.g., Echinodermata, Hexagonaria, Porifera, Molluska, Foraminifera; Ray 1983, 1987). In addition to the taxa listed above, the remains of a diverse avifauna representing ~100 other avian species are known from this location (Olson and Rasmussen 2001, Storer 2001, Smith et al. 2007, Wijnker and Olson 2009).

The paucity of associated fossil specimens, incomplete preservation of the overwhelming majority of specimens, and morphological similarity of Alca species combined to complicate previous referral of Alca fossils to species (Olson and Rasmussen 2001). Further compounding the difficulties of referring additional elements to species, the holotype and paratype material of all three previously described extinct Alca species (A. grandis, A. ausonia, and A. stewarti; Table 1 and Fig. 2) are isolated skeletal elements. Osteologically distinguishing between modern species in a species-rich or sub-species-rich taxon can be difficult or impossible (Stewart 2002, 2007). The same difficulties can also be present in assemblages of closely related fossil taxa. Previous researchers (Olson and Rasmussen 2001) assigned specimens to species on the basis of humeral size classes determined through principal component analysis (PCA). Because PCA does not account for covarying character complexes (i.e., principal axes not orthogonal), we chose not to use that method.

The results of the analysis of fossil Alca distal humeri from the Yorktown Formation conducted by Olson and Rasmussen (2001) using the K-means method of clustering supported the presence of four size-based species in genus Alca. That method relied on previous authors' assumptions about the number of species expected given the overall size variance based on a modified version of the phenetic technique proposed by Warheit (1992b). Extant diversity in *Alca* is limited to a single species; therefore, the expected range of size variance is not well constrained for fossil taxa and there is no logical method of *a priori* establishing means. Many fossil birds from the Yorktown Formation are known from a single specimen, or only a few

TABLE 1. Previously published extinct *Alca* holotype material.

Taxon	Material	Provenience	Age	Reference
Alca ausonia	Distal humerus	Italy	Middle Pliocene	Portis 1888
A. grandis	Humerus	USA	Early Pliocene	Marsh 1870
A. stewarti	Ulna	Belgium	Early Pliocene	Martin et al. 2001



FIG. 2. Comparison of *Alca* and *Pinguinus* humeri in anterior view. (A) Paratype cast of *Alca stewarti* (NHMUK PV A 7052; specimen image reversed for comparison).
(B) Holotype humerus of *A. olsoni* (USNM 454590).
(C) Holotype humerus of *A. carolinensis* (NCSM 13734).
(D) Holotype specimen of *A. grandis* (ANSP 13357).
(E) Cast of A. *ausonia* holotype specimen (IGF 14875). (F) Referred specimen of *A. ausonia* (USNM 446692). (G) *A. torda* (USNM 502382; extant). (H) Holotype specimen of *A. minor* (USNM 302324). (I) *Pinguinus impennis* (USNM 623465). (J) *P. alfrednewtoni* (USNM 366630; specimen image reversed for comparison).

isolated specimens (e.g., *Cerorhinca* sp., n = 3; Smith et al. 2007). Whether or not those individual specimens are representative of the average size for those species or perhaps represent smaller-than-average or larger-than-average individuals cannot be determined on the basis of such small sample sizes. Because *K*-means analyses can be sensitive to choice of mean and small sample sizes (Kaufman and Rousseeuw 1990), a hierarchical clustering approach was taken that did not require prior assumptions about the number of clusters or variance.

A method for differentiating species was used that took into account the non-independence of osteological variables while allowing for the analysis of fragmentary material and potentially small sample sizes. This method is an improvement on previous methods that rely solely on measurement data to differentiate between species because it also employs intragroup and intergroup morphological analyses of morphometrically determined clusters and allows for identification of discrete morphological characters for phylogenetic analysis and diagnosis of species. Thus, only statistically supported clusters of fossils that can also be morphologically differentiated from one another are accepted, preventing the potential overestimation of species richness.

Interspecific size variation has been used successfully to differentiate between extant and extinct avian species (Livezey 1988, 1989; Warheit 1992b), and knowledge of interspecific size variation has a long history of use as a criterion for estimating diversity among fossil material (see Warheit 1992b). However, the application of interspecfic models to delimit proposed size classes of fossil specimens assumes that qualitative features of phylogenetic value are conserved despite relative size differences among species (Warheit 1992b). Thus, referral of fossil specimens solely on the basis of size data is not recommended. This does not mean that morphometric data, including size, cannot play a role in the identification of fossils; instead, morphometric data should be used in conjunction with discrete morphological characters in a phylogenetic context.

The ability to quantify the diversity represented by fossil assemblages known from fragmentary taxa holds potential to inform both paleoecological trends and paleobiogeographic patterns that are currently understudied or undescribed. Alcidae is recognized as one of the dominant groups of seabirds during the Pliocene (Olson 1985). Increasing knowledge of extinct alcid diversity, relative abundance of species, and estimates of species longevity will clarify our presently poor understanding of alcid paleobiogeographic patterns. Additionally, evaluation of extinct species richness with respect to latitudinal gradients will allow for comparisons with extant latitudinal distribution of alcid species. Furthermore, insights gained through more detailed evaluation of the alcid fossil record may result in a refined understanding of the extent to which previously hypothesized (Warheit 1992a, Emslie 1998, Olson and Rasmussen 2001, Smith et al. 2007, Pereira and Baker 2008, Smith 2011) paleoclimatic drivers contributed to radiations and extinctions in Alcidae.

Although recently sequenced molecular data have resulted in strongly supported phylogenetic hypotheses of extant alcid relationships (Moum et al. 1994, 2002; Friesen et al. 1996; Thomas et al. 2004; Baker et al. 2007; Pereira and Baker 2008), extinct alcid species were largely excluded in analyses of morphological data (Strauch 1985, Chandler 1990, Chu 1998). Analyses of morphological (Strauch 1985, Chandler 1990) and molecular sequence data (Moum et al. 2002, Baker et al. 2007, Pereira and Baker 2008) place extant A. torda as the sister taxon to the recently extinct Pinguinus impennis. Alca and Pinguinus are part of Alcini, which also includes Uria, Alle, and Miocepphus. Extinct Alca species, Pinguinus alfrednewtoni Olson 1977, and Miocepphus species have never been included in a phylogenetic analysis. This study is the first to include all known extant and extinct Alcini in a combined phylogenetic analysis of morphological (i.e., osteological) and molecular sequence data.

To assess the monophyly of *Alca* and evaluate the relationships among all Alcini, osteological variation among the thousands of fossils that have been referred to Alca was investigated. Estimates of species richness resulting from this investigation form a more complete picture of morphological variation between Alca species, and between Alca and Pinguinus. The discovery of an associated partial Alca skeleton (the most complete fossil Alca presently known) from the Pliocene Yorktown Formation of North Carolina prompted an extensive review of the Alca fossil record and a reexamination of Alca diversity that resulted in the recognition of three new species of Alca. Descriptions of these new species and amended diagnoses for previously recognized Alca species are presented along with species referrals for 203 isolated specimens and phylogenetic analysis of relationships within Alcini.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of anatomical features primarily follows the English equivalents of the Latin osteological nomenclature summarized by Baumel and Witmer (1993). The terminology of Howard (1929) is followed for features not treated by Baumel and Witmer (1993). Taxonomy of extant North American Charadriiformes follows that of the 7th edition of the American Ornithologists' Union (AOU) *Check-list of North American Birds* (AOU 1998). Measurements follow those of von den Driesch (1976). All measurements were taken using digital calipers and rounded to the nearest 0.1 mm. Ages of geological time intervals are based on the International Geologic Timescale (Gradstein et al. 2004, Ogg et al. 2008).

INSTITUTIONAL ABBREVIATIONS

Alam = Asociación Cultural Paleontológica Murciana, Murcia, Spain; ANSP = Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia; GCVP = Georgia College Vertebrate Paleontology Collection, Milledgeville, Georgia; IGF = Museo di Storia Naturale, Firenze, Italy; LACM = Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Los Angeles, California; NCSM = North Carolina Museum of Natural Sciences, Raleigh, North Carolina; NHMUK (formerly BMNH) = Natural History Museum, London; UCMP = University of California Museum of Paleontology, Berkeley, California; UF-PB = Florida Museum of Natural History-Pierce Brodkorb Collection, Gainesville, Florida; and USNM = National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Comparative Skeletal Material Used in the Phylogenetic Analysis

See Appendix 1 for extant specimens used in the morphometric analyses. *Alca torda* Razorbill Auk NCSM 20058, 20502; USNM 18062, 347946, 501644, 502378, 502382, 502387, 502388, 502389, 502549, 555666, 555668; *Alle alle* Dovekie NCSM 18374; USNM 344740, 344748, 499471, 560929; *Cepphus columba* Pigeon Guillemot NCSM 18094, 18095, 18096, 18097; USNM 610936; *Pinguinus impennis* Great Auk USNM 346387 (composite), 557975 (composite), 623465 (composite), additional series of disarticulated USNM material from the Lucas expedition to Funk Island (Lucas 1890); Uria aalge Common Murre NCSM 17822, 18116, 18117, 18118, 18234; Uria lomvia Thickbilled Murre NCSM 18114, 19414; USNM 344435, 561265.

MORPHOMETRIC ANALYSES

Measurements of 66 osteological variables (e.g., greatest length of humerus) were taken from 67 skeletons representing 12 extant alcid species and the recently extinct flightless species *Pinguinus impennis* (Appendix 1). Inclusion of measurement data from extant auklets (i.e., *Aethia* and *Ptychoramphus*) provided a test of the robustness of this method with respect to differentiating between members of a single clade, the monophyly of which is supported by analyses of both morphological (Strauch 1985, Chandler 1990, Smith 2011) and molecular data (Friesen et al. 1996, Pereira and Baker 2008).

Owing to the relative incompleteness of associated *Alca* fossils, it was necessary to evaluate not only how this method performed when measurements from complete skeletons of extant alcids were included, but more importantly how the method performed when more limited sets of data were available. Additional analyses were conducted using subsets of measurement data (e.g., distal humeri data only) from extant alcids to gauge how this method might perform when applied to isolated and fragmentary fossils.

A hierarchical cluster analysis was performed on raw measurement data using the statistical software package SPSS, version 16 (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois). This analysis employed single linkage, nearest-neighbor joining, and Euclidean distance. Resulting phenogram topology was evaluated to infer potential clusters of specimens (i.e., clusters of measurement data). Statistical support for cluster membership was determined by conducting canonical discriminant function analyses on measurement data assigned to categories based on phenogram topology (Fig. 3).

Measurement data from extant alcids were also used to determine the typical range of intraspecific size variation of extant alcid species so that this metric could be applied as an additional criterion in the acceptance or rejection of clusters of *Alca* fossils. As suggested by Warheit (1992b), this procedure provided a phylogenetic context for the estimation of average size for extinct *Alca*. Because size alone can be misleading when delimiting species (Stewart 2007), whenever available,



FIG. 3. Flow chart depicting a simplified explanation of the combined morphometric and morphological method used to refer specimens to species.

specimens from multiple locations within the geographic range of extant species (e.g., extant Alca torda specimens from the eastern and western Atlantic) were used to calculate the intraspecific size-variation values that were used as a criterion to evaluate the size ranges of clustered fossils. This value was calculated by assessing the difference between the median of measurements of the greatest length of the humerus and the length of the longest and shortest specimens for each extant species. Comparing the differences between the known ranges of variation between closely related extant alcids (e.g., auklets) with the range in size of statistically supported clusters of fossils provided an additional criterion with which to evaluate support for clustered groups of fossils (Warheit 1992b). Only clusters with a size range of specimens not significantly exceeding that of the predetermined range of intraspecies size variation for Alcidae were accepted. Although

in practice this method mistakenly assumes that no two fossil species fall within the same size range, morphological comparison of statistically clustered specimens guarded against the possibility of underestimating the number of species represented by a single size class. The possibility that a subset of the humeral data might represent two species that possess statistically insignificant size differences and are morphologically indistinguishable could be verified only in cases in which associated specimens with other morphologically distinct elements were preserved.

The same procedure as described above was applied to data from 203 Alca humeri, including the type specimens of all previously described species of Alca, and additional Alca humeri from both eastern and western Atlantic fossil localities (Appendix 2). Although 946 Alca fossil humeri were examined and measured, only specimens in which at least three of the six humeral variables could be measured, and that preserved diagnostic morphological details, were included in the final analysis. The holotype or paratype specimens of all three previously described species of extinct Alca are humeri (Table 1 and Fig. 2), and humeri are the second-most-frequently represented skeletal element among collections of fossil Alca. Although ulnae are more abundant, this element lacks morphologically distinguishing characters, compared with Alca humeri.

As with extant specimens, cluster membership of fossil specimens was determined by evaluating phenogram topology resulting from cluster analysis and grouping topologically adjacent specimens. Statistical support values (i.e., number of cases correctly classified) obtained from canonical discriminant function analysis of extant alcids were used as a metric to accept or reject clusters of fossils. Clusters that received <90% statistical support (the lowest support resulting from analysis of extant taxa) were rejected. A caveat to this method is that as the number of specimens being analyzed increases, the interpretation of phenogram topology into distinct clusters (i.e., categorization of fossils) becomes increasingly complicated. For example, even though analysis of the distal humeri of extant alcids showed that this metric accurately clusters specimens into species groups, analysis of 621 distal humerus fossils produced a phenogram of such complexity that clusters could not be reliably delimited. The largest number of successfully analyzed specimens in this analysis was 146. However, numbers of specimens included for analysis were more commonly limited by the completeness of the fossil specimens themselves (e.g., only 66 complete fossil Alca humeri) rather than by the complexity of interpreting results. Data analyzed using this method cannot contain any missing entries (i.e., values unmeasurable because of incompleteness or damage to fossils). However, limiting the quantities of specimens analyzed using this method should not present a significant problem, because the method itself is not biased by small sample sizes. However, the possibility that a small sample size may represent individuals that are skewed toward one size extreme or another within a species (e.g., only three complete Alca stewarti humeri available for analysis) should be considered.

The results of analyses in which some clusters of fossils were rejected on the basis of the criteria outlined above (i.e., statistical support and range of size variation as compared with extant alcids) were discarded. Phenogram topology was then reevaluated, outliers (i.e., smallest or largest specimens within a cluster) were reassigned to topologically adjacent clusters, and then the entire data set was reanalyzed to determine whether an alternative clustering scheme would receive stronger statistical support (Fig. 3). When reassigning specimens to different categories, only adjacently placed specimens and groups of specimens (i.e., those specimens with linked Euclidean distances) were combined into new potential categories. No specimens with Euclidean distance values intermediate between those of specimens placed adjacently in phenogram topology were excluded.

Statistically supported clusters of fossils were compared to identify shared intragroup morphological characters and intergroup morphological differences, which could be used to differentiate Alca species and facilitate referral of specimens to species. Additionally, the morphology of specimens clustered with the holotype or paratype specimens of previously recognized species were compared with those name-bearing type specimens to identify previously unrecognized morphological variation and to document characteristics not visible in the type specimens because of damage. The range of size variation within fossil clusters was compared to values obtained from extant alcid taxa as described above. Intraspecific size variations within alcid species that are attributable to latitude are well documented (Storer

1952, Spring 1971, Moen 1991, Burness and Montevecchi 1992). Additional analyses of measurement data collected from *Alca* fossils deposited in a single stratigraphic layer (Early Pliocene Yorktown Formation) exposed at a single geographic locality (Aurora, North Carolina) were performed to address this issue.

Phylogenetic Analysis

Whenever possible, five or more specimens of each extant species and both sexes were evaluated to account for intraspecific character variation and potential sexual dimorphism, respectively. Only adult specimens, assessed on the basis of degree of ossification (Chapman 1965), were evaluated, and, whenever possible, specimens from multiple locations within the geographic range of extant species (i.e., subspecies) were examined to account for geographic variation.

A total of 131 morphological characters, including 126 unordered binary characters, two multistate unordered characters, and three multistate ordered characters were scored for 18 taxa (5 extant and 13 extinct alcids; see Appendix 3 for morphological character descriptions and Appendix 4 for morphological character scorings used in the phylogenetic analysis). Ninety-three characters were newly identified. The other 38 characters are from the work of Strauch (1978, 1985; n = 13), Chandler (1990; n = 22), and Chu (1998; n = 3).

The primary goal of the present study was to accurately evaluate diversity within Alca so that all species within Alcini could be included in a phylogenetic analysis. Because of the isolated nature of all but four extinct Alcini holotype specimens (i.e., associated holotype specimens of Miocepphus blowi, M. bohaski, A. carolinensis, and A. olsoni), resolution of systematic relationships was facilitated by combination of all available referable specimens into supraspecific terminals, thus decreasing the amount of missing data in the phylogenetic analysis. Taxonomic referrals of all holotype and previously referred specimens were reevaluated using an apomorphy-based approach. Characters for all extinct taxa were coded from direct observation. Characters for Pinguinus alfrednewtoni were scored from the hypodigm of that species (USNM specimen numbers: 179226, 179277, 192497, 193101, 193334, 206362, 275780, 366630, 430935, 430943, 430947, 459391; Olson and Rasmussen 2001). Characters for A. grandis were

scored from the holotype specimen as well as from two previously referred specimens (USNM 215454, USNM 336379; Olson and Rasmussen 2001) and one specimen referred herein (USNM 236802). Characters for A. ausonia were scored from two high-quality casts of the holotype retained in the USNM and UF-PB collections as well as a representative specimen referred herein (USNM 446692). Characters for A. stewarti were scored from the holotype (NHMUK PV A 7050; formerly BMNH A 7050), the paratype humerus (NHMUK PV A 7052; formerly BMNH A 7052; Martin et al. 2001), a previously referred specimen (USNM 242238; Wijnker and Olson 2009), and an additional specimen documented by Olson and Rasmussen (2001; USNM 446650) as Alca "undescribed species" and referred to A. stewarti herein. Characters for A. carolinensis sp. nov. and A. olsoni sp. nov. were scored from the holotype specimens, whereas A. minor sp. nov was scored from the hypodigm of that species (USNM 302324, 192879, 495600). Miocepphus species were scored directly from the holotype specimens representing those taxa.

Pseudocepphus teres Wijnker and Olson, 2009 was not included in the phylogenetic analysis because results of a comprehensive analysis including all extinct and extant Alcidae (A. Smith unpubl. data) place that species outside Alcini. Additionally, recent reevaluation of the holotype specimen of Uria paleohesperis Howard, 1982 (UCMP 88704) failed to identify any apomorphies that would allow for its referral to Uria. Contra Howard (1982), the size of UCMP 88704 is consistent in all dimensions with the holotype specimen of U. brodkorbi Howard, 1981 (UF-PB 7690). Additionally, the late Miocene (~ 6.7–10 Ma) age of the San Luis Rey River Local Fauna of the San Mateo Formation from which UCMP 88704 was recovered is consistent with the Late Miocene age assigned to the Sisquoc Formation from which the holotype of U. brodkorbi was recovered (Domning and Deméré 1984, Dumont and Barron 1995). Furthermore, UCMP 88704 and *U. brodkorbi* share a concave sternal margin of the procoracoid process of the coracoid. This margin is convex in U. aalge, U. lomvia, Synthliboramphus, Fratercula, and all Alca except A. carolinensis, and concave in Aethia, Ptychoramphus, Brachyramphus, and M. bohaski. However, because the holotype specimen of U. brodkorbi consists of impressions on two slabs of diatomite, many morphological details that might further elucidate potential affinity of these species are obscured by matrix or not preserved. Because size and a single potential synapomorphy are not considered sufficient evidence for synonymy, the possibility that *U. paleohesperis* may be a junior synonym of *U. brodkorbi* should be reconsidered upon recovery of additional remains.

Previously published molecular sequence data (mitochondrial: ND2, ND5, ND6, CO1, CYTB; ribosomal RNA: 12S, 16S; and nuclear: RAG1) were downloaded from GenBank (www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/genbank; Appendix 5). Sequence data for all genes were available for the total taxonomic sample with the exception of two species. ND5 sequence data for Cepphus columba, as well as ND2, CO1, 16S, and RAG-1 sequence data for Pinguinus impennis were not available. Preliminary sequence alignments were obtained using the program CLUSTALX, version 2.0.6 (Thompson et al. 1997) and then manually adjusted using the program SE-AL, version 2.0A11 (Rambaut 2002). Alignment and concatenation of sequence data resulted in a final molecular matrix with a maximum length of 11,503 base pairs (including gaps). Molecular sequence data were combined with 131 morphological characters for a matrix of 11,634 characters.

The phylogenetic analysis used a branch-andbound search strategy implemented in PAUP*, version 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002). All characters were equally weighted, and multistate scorings represented polymorphism. Branches recovered with a minimum length = 0 were collapsed. Bootstrap values from 1,000 replicates (100 random addition sequences per replicate) and descriptive tree statistics (e.g., CI, RI, RC) were also calculated using PAUP*. Bremer support values were calculated using a script generated in MACCLADE, version 4.08 (Maddison and Maddison 2005), and implemented in PAUP*. The tree was rooted with C. columba on the basis of this taxon's placement outside of Alcini in previous analyses (Strauch 1985, Chandler 1990, Moum et al. 1994, Baker et al. 2007, Pereira and Baker 2008, Smith 2011).

Results

MORPHOMETRIC RESULTS

Extant Alcidae.—Morphometric analysis including all 66 skeletal variables effectively discerned between different species of closely related extant alcids and *Pinguinus impennis* (Fig. 4). Cluster analyses



FIG. 4. Example phenogram depicting the results of cluster analysis of extant alcid species based on humeral measurements. Each terminal represents a separate specimen of a particular species. Note that species relationships shown reflect size similarity, not phylogeny.

correctly classified all 67 extant skeletal specimens into distinct species groups in 100% of cases (Table 2). When subjected to discriminant function analysis, group membership of specimen clusters representing extant species received 100% statistical support (i.e., 100% of cases correctly classified).

Additional analyses of single skeletal elements (e.g., humeri) and partial elements (e.g., proximal ends of humeri) of extant alcids had varying results (Table 2). Analyses of coracoids and of complete and partial humeri resulted in correctly classified and statistically supported clusters, whereas analyses of ulnae and carpometacarpi resulted in statistically significant percentages of incorrectly classified specimens (Table 2). In addition to providing measures of statistical support for groups of measurement data categorized by cluster analysis, discriminant function analysis identifies the variables that have the most influence on the resulting classification. Discriminant analysis of extant alcid measurement data identified the medial length of the coracoid as the most informative classificatory variable included in the analysis, followed in order of decreasing utility by the greatest length of the humerus.

TABLE 2. Summary of morphometric analyses and results. Taxonomic categories include the following species: extant Alcidae = all taxa listed in Appendix 1; extant Atlantic = *U. aalge, U. lomvia, A. torda, C. grylle, C. columba, A. alle, P. impennis,* and *F. arctica*; auklets and *Alca* = *A. cristatella, A. psittacula, A. pusilla, A. pygmaea, P. aleuticus,* and *A. torda*; *Alca* fossils = fossils referred to *Alca* from both eastern and western Atlantic localities as well as extant *A. torda* specimens used as a control group of specimens with known species identity. Note that accuracy of inclusion is only applicable for analyses of known (i.e., extant) species.

Alcid taxa	Number of species	Number of	Number of	Data type	Number of clusters recovered	Accuracy of	Statistical
	or species	opeenneno	variables	Dutu type	lecovereu		Support
Extant Alcidae	13	61	4	Carpometacarpi	4-10	73%	<90%
Extant Alcidae	13	67	6	Complete ulnae	5–9	69%	<90%
Extant Atlantic	8	40	66	Entire skeleton	8	100%	100%
Extant Atlantic	8	40	6	Complete Humeri	8	92%	97%
Auklets and Alca	6	28	53	Entire skeleton	6	100%	100%
Auklets and Alca	6	28	6	Complete Humeri	6	96%	96%
Auklets and Alca	6	28	3	Proximal Humeri	6	82%	94%
Auklets and Alca	6	28	3	Distal humeri	6	93%	90%
Alca fossils	?	121	4	Carpometacarpi	5-7	NA	<90%
Alca fossils	?	116	6	Complete ulnae	4–7	NA	<90%
Alca fossils	?	58	1	Coracoids	7	NA	97%
Alca fossils	?	79	6	Complete Humeri	6	NA	93%
Alca fossils	?	146	3	Proximal Humeri	6	NA	92%
Alca fossils	?	117	3	Distal humeri	6	NA	93%

The range of intraspecific size variation among extant Alcini (based on the median value of greatest length of humeri for each species) was calculated to vary from $\pm 1.1\%$ in *Uria lomvia* to $\pm 6.0\%$ in *Alca torda* (Table 3). The calculated range of size differences between species of auklets varied from $\pm 0.3\%$ from the median between *Aethia pusilla* and *A. psittacula*, to $\pm 2.8\%$ between *A. pygmaea* and *Ptychoramphus aleuticus*.

Extinct Alca.-After the robustness of this method for differentiating between extant species of alcids was evaluated, the same procedure (Fig. 3) was applied to measurement data collected from Alca fossils. As with extant species of alcids, morphometric analyses of measurement data from fossil carpometacarpi and ulnae did not result in distinctly clustered or statistically supported groups of fossils (Table 2). Analysis of coracoid measurement data resulted in seven well-supported clusters of fossils (Table 2) that likely correspond to the seven species of Alca. Alca coracoids do not display sufficient interspecific morphological differences to allow differentiation of all species within the clade; however, the coracoids of A. stewarti, A. carolinensis, A. torda, and A. olsoni can be differentiated by morphological and mensural means. Although there are

TABLE 3. Comparison of size variation in alcids. All data are from greatest length of the humerus except for data for *Australca*, which are measurements of the greatest width of the distal humerus. Integer values are in millimeters and have been rounded to the nearest 10th.

Taxon	Size range	Median value	Variation from median value
Fratercula arctica	7.7	65.1	5.6%
Ptychoramphus aleuticus	3.8	45.4	9.2%
Aethia psittacula	2.3	54.4	2.1%
A. pusilla	1.7	34.8	2.4%
A. cristatella	3.7	52.5	3.4%
A. pygmaea	0.9	37.9	1.2%
Cepphus grylle	3.9	59.8	3.4%
C. columba	4.2	66.7	3.3%
Uria aalge	7.3	85.6	4.5%
U. lomvia	1.9	88.5	1.1%
Alle alle	3.1	41.9	3.8%
Pinguinus impennis	4.5	104.1	2.1%
Alca torda (extant)	9.9	77.9	6.0%
A. torda (fossils)	12.3	77.4	8.6%
A. grandis	15.2	92.0	7.6%
A. carolinensis	1.8	101.9	0.9%
A. ausonia	2.8	105.4	1.3%
A. stewarti	0.8	111.6	0.4%

no associated specimens of *A. ausonia* or *A. minor* that permitted confident referral of coracoids to these species, the smallest *Alca* coracoids from the Yorktown Formation are likely representative of *A. minor*, and the size class of coracoids that are larger than *A. torda* yet smaller than *A. grandis* likely represent *A. ausonia*.

Analyses of complete, proximal, and distal ends of humeri all resulted in clusters of fossils with high degrees of statistical support (Table 2) and distinct morphologies. Cluster and discriminant analyses of complete, proximal, and distal ends of humeri recovered six statistically supported size-based groups of fossils (Fig. 5 and Table 2). The holotype and paratype humeri of A. grandis, A. stewarti, A. torda, A. minor, and A. ausonia were recovered in separate statistically supported clusters (Fig. 5). The similarly proportioned A. olsoni and A. carolinensis clustered together. Although A. carolinensis and A. olsoni can be differentiated on the basis of characteristics of the coracoid, ulna, radius, and furcula, the humeri of these two species are morphologically similar and are essentially equal in greatest humeral length. Although A. olsoni is slightly more robust with respect to other proportions (Table 4), greatest length of the humerus was identified as the second most informative measurement in the discriminant analysis, thus permitting six size classes corresponding to seven species. Rather than trying to separate humeri representing these two species on the basis of statistically insignificant size differences, referrals of isolated humeri to these species were left ambiguous (Appendix 2). Adding further support to the hypothesis that six distinct size classes are represented by the proximal and distal humerus measurement data, iterative analyses of fossil measurements clustered into two, three, four, five, seven, and eight clusters did not receive strong (i.e., >90%) statistical support.

Additional morphometric analyses were conducted including mensural data from specimens of extant *A. torda* in order to identify fossil specimens potentially referable to that species, and to further test the validity of previously recovered clusters containing the holotype specimens of other *Alca* species. Extant *A. torda* specimens were recovered in a single cluster that did not contain the holotype or paratype specimens of any other *Alca* species, allowing for potential identification of Pliocene examples of this taxon. Pliocene examples of this taxon were slightly larger, on



FIG. 5. Graphic representation of results from discriminant function analysis of *Alca* humeri. Plot depicts *Alca* species clusters and representative humeri. (1) *A. minor* holotype specimen (USNM 302324). (2) *A. torda* (USNM 502382). (3) *A. ausonia* cast of holotype specimen (IGF 14875). (4) *A. grandis* holotype specimen (ANSP 13357). (5) *A. carolinensis* (NCSM 13734). (6) *A. stewarti* cast of paratype specimen (NHMUK PV A 7052). *A. olsoni* not shown owing to overlap in size range with *A. carolinensis*. Note that *A. minor* is represented only by the centroid because only one complete humerus is known from that taxon. Values on vertical and horizontal axes represent the coefficients of the variables of the discriminant axes, where discriminant function 1 represents the maximum amount of variation in the data.

average, than extant examples (Table 4) but are otherwise morphologically identical to the extant sample with respect to humeral morphology.

Adding further support to the hypothesis that at least six species in *Alca* are represented among fossils sampled, the range of size variation based on greatest length of the humerus within five of the six clusters is congruent with the size range established on the basis of extant alcids (Table 3). Only values for *A. stewarti* showed a range significantly different from that observed in other alcids. This may be an artifact, because only three complete humeri are known from this taxon. Values of size variation calculated using measurements from distal humeri referred to *A. stewarti* (n = 10) vary 5.3% from the median, a value similar to estimates of size variation for other *Alca* (Table 3).

TABLE 4. Measurements of *Alca* humeri (mm). Abbreviations: Bd = breadth of the distal end, Bp = breadth of proximal end, Dd = distal diagonal, Dip = diagonal of proximal end, Gl = greatest length, and Sc = smallest dorsoventral breadth of corpus (shaft). Extant *Alca torda* specimen numbers listed in Appendix 1.

Taxon	Specimen	Bd	Вр	Dd	Dip	Gl	Sc
Alca ausonia	IGF 14875	12.4	_	9.2	_	_	7.5
A. carolinensis	NCSM 13734	14.9	20.8	10.4	21.0	102.4	9.2
A. grandis	ANSP 13357	13.9	19.7	9.4	18.8	97.2	8.2
A. minor	USNM 302324	-	13.8	-	-	63.7	5.7
	USNM 192879	-	13.2	-	-	-	-
	USNM 495600	9.1	-	7.0	-	-	5.8
A. olsoni	USNM 454590	15.4	22.8	11.0	21.7	104.0	8.8
A. stewarti	NHMUK PV A 7052	15.5	22.8	12.1	21.8	111.2	8.9
A. torda (extant)	Average $(n = 13)$	11.2	16.4	8.1	15.7	78.4	7.0
A. torda (fossils)	Average (n)	11.5	16.5	8.4	15.5	78.8	6.8
		(n = 14)	(n = 44)	(n = 14)	(n = 43)	(n = 17)	(n = 44)

Morphological comparison of the six clusters of fossils revealed previously undocumented morphological variation, which allowed for referral of three associated *Alca* specimens to species. Humeri of *A. carolinensis* and *A. olsoni* could not be discriminated on the basis of morphological differences. *Alca* diversity would therefore be underestimated if it were not for the association of other elements (e.g., ulnae) in the holotype specimens of those species, which display distinct morphological differences. Combination of holotype and referred specimens into supraspecific terminals decreased the amount of missing data for *Alca* species terminals and facilitated phylogenetic analysis.

Additional analyses performed on a subset of fossil measurement data collected from the Early Pliocene Yorktown Formation also recovered six statistically supported clusters of complete and partial humeri. Morphological evaluation of specimens from this locality confirms that all seven *Alca* species were present in North Carolina during the Pliocene.

Specimens from the Early Pliocene Bone Valley Formation of southern Florida (i.e., 'Australca grandis' sensu Brodkorb 1955) were assigned to three distinct size classes and displayed a large range of size variation (based on measurements of distal humeri; 14.5%), which suggests that more than one species is represented by this assemblage. The principal component analysis by Olson and Rasmussen (2001) obtained a similar result. Only one complete humerus (GCVP 5691) is known from this location. That specimen was recovered within the cluster representing *Alca grandis* (i.e., '*Australca grandis*' sensu Brodkorb 1955). However, analysis of 45 proximal and distal humeri from that location grouped '*Australca*' specimens in clusters corresponding to *Alca grandis*, *A. torda*, and *A. ausonia* (Appendix 2).

Strong statistical support for morphometrically derived clusters of fossils and congruence between those groups and those based on discrete morphological characters permitted referral of 203 Alca humeri to species (Appendix 2). Additionally, specimens were consistently clustered together in analysis of multiple subsets of data. For example, the holotype humerus of A. carolinensis was clustered with the same specimens in analyses of complete humeri, proximal humeri, and distal humeri. Nineteen complete humeri (e.g., USNM 446692; Appendix 2) can now be confidently referred to A. ausonia, which was previously known only from the holotype specimen, a distal humerus. Two associated specimens (USNM 336379, USNM 215454) are referred to A. grandis, greatly increasing the number of characters available for phylogenetic analysis of that taxon. An additional associated specimen (USNM 242238) is referred to A. stewarti.

The referral of *Alca* humeri via the combined morphometric and phylogenetic analyses allowed for the calculation of tentative estimates of relative species abundance from the Yorktown Formation. The most abundantly represented taxon, evidently, is *A. grandis* (25.7%), although humeri representing *A. carolinensis* and *A. olsoni* (27.6%) are combined owing to the morphological similarity of the humeri of those species. Remains of A. minor (3.9%) and A. stewarti (2.0%) are the least frequently represented. The remainder of the sample was composed of the remains of A. ausonia (18.4%) and A. torda (22.4%). These estimates of relative species abundance assume that all seven species lived contemporaneously and that the Yorktown Formation is not significantly timeaveraged with respect to adjacent geological units. Chronological evaluation of Alca species diversity within the Yorktown Formation will require direct sampling of in situ Alca fossils. Exposures of the Yorktown Formation outside the PCS Phosphate Mine are rare, and permission to sample directly from in situ strata at the PCS Mine has not been obtained.

The possibility of the presence of a Pliocene auk even larger than A. stewarti was mentioned by Olson and Rasmussen (2001) and Dyke and Walker (2005). The specimen mentioned by Olson and Rasmussen (2001; USNM 181090) was evaluated and found to be within the statistically supported size range of A. stewarti. A very large maxilla (NHMUK PV A 9033; formerly BMNH A 9033) with the mediolateral compression and dorsal expansion characteristic of Alca and Pinguinus maxillae was reported by Dyke and Walker (2005). Given the very large quantities of Pliocene Alca fossils and the lack of statistical support for an Alca species larger than A. stewarti, it seems likely that this specimen represents the first record of Pinguinus from the Early Pliocene Kallo Sands Formation of Belgium. Although fragmentary, the curvature and size of NHMUK PV A 9033 agrees more with specimens of *Pinguinus* than with those known for *Alca*.

Phylogenetic Analysis

Phylogenetic analysis of the combined matrix resulted in a single most parsimonious tree of 1,931 steps (consistency index 0.80, retention index 0.47, rescaled consistency index 0.38; Fig. 6). Bootstrap and Bremer support values were highest for clades with higher proportions of extant taxa and, thus, available molecular data (i.e., *Pinguinus* and *Uria*) and were fairly low for clades including abundant extinct taxa with significant amounts of missing data (i.e., *Alca* and *Miocepphus*). Optimized characters that support recovered clades are listed in Table 5.

As in previous studies (Strauch 1985, Chandler 1990, Moum et al. 2002, Baker et al. 2007, Pereira and Baker 2008, Smith 2011), the present study recovered strong support for a clade composed of *Alca* and *Pinguinus*, although relationships between *Alca* species remained partially unresolved. *Alca torda* and *A. minor* were recovered as sister taxa, and *A. stewarti*, *A. carolinensis*, and *A. olsoni* were recovered as successive outgroups to this clade. The positions of *A. grandis* and *A. ausonia* remain unresolved at the base of *Alca*. Although the sister relationship of *Pinguinus impennis* and *P. alfrednewtoni* is strongly supported by these

TA	IBLE 5. Unambiguously optimized morphological apomorphies supporting alcid clades in the r	resultant
	phylogenetic tree (Fig. 6). Character numbers from Appendix 3 are followed by character state	symbols
	(e.g., (23:0) corresponds with character 23, state 0). Characters followed by an asterisk are locally or	otimized
	apomorphies with a CI <1.0. All other characters have a consistency index = 1.0.	

Clade	Character numbers and states that support monophyly
Alcini:	(9:0); (44:0); (46:0); (47:0); (58:0); (63:0); (64:0); (71:0); (75:0); (77:0); (78:0); (85:1); (101:1); (103:0); (105:1); (115:1); (117:1); (119:1); (130:1).
Alca + Pinguinus:	(13:1); (22:1); (61:1); (114:0).
Alca:	(122:0)*.
Pinguinus:	(55:1); (93:1); (121:0); (125:0).
Uria + Alle + Miocepphus:	(4:1); (18:0); (24:1); (25:0); (96:1).
Uria:	(92:1)*; (102:1)*; (110:1)*.
Alle + Miocepphus:	(49:1); (91:0).
Alle + Miocepphus mergulellus:	(62:1)*; (68:0)*.
Alca torda + A. minor + A. stewarti + A. carolinensis + A. olsoni:	(36:1); (102:1)*.
A. torda + A. minor + A. stewarti:	(53:0)*; (67:0)*; (94:1)*.
A. torda + A. minor:	(65:1)*.



FIG. 6. Cladogram of Alcini relationships (single most parsimonious tree of length 1,931 steps; consistency index 0.80, retention index 0.47, rescaled consistency index 0.38). Bootstrap support values >50% are presented above and Bremer support values are presented below the node they refer to.

results, the monophyly of *Alca* with respect to *Pinguinus* is weakly supported. We identified four unambiguously optimized morphological characters that unite *Alca* and *Pinguinus*, but only a single locally optimized apomorphy that supports the monophyly of *Alca* (Table 5).

Further clarification of the systematic relationship between *Alca* and *Pinguinus*, and support for *Alca* monophyly, will require discovery of additional associated *Alca* fossil specimens referable to species. Although there are documented integumentary differences between

15

A. torda and *P. impennis* (Strauch 1985, Chandler 1990, Smith 2011), there are no known fossils that preserve integumentary details of additional extinct *Alca* and *Pinguinus* species that might provide these missing data, data that might further clarify the relationship between species in *Alca* and *Pinguinus*.

The Alca + Pinguinus clade was recovered as the sister to Uria, Miocepphus, and Alle. Uria was recovered as monophyletic, with U. brodkorbi placed as an outgroup to sister taxa U. aalge and U. lomvia. Uria is placed as the sister taxon to a clade composed of Miocepphus and Alle. Alle alle is placed as the sister taxon to Miocepphus mergulellus. Alle alle and M. mergulellus were recovered as the sister taxon to an unresolved clade composed of the other Miocepphus species (i.e., M. blowi, M. bohaski, and M. mcclungi).

Because this was the first phylogenetic analysis to include all 17 Alcini species, 12 of which had not been phylogenetically analyzed before, comparison of these results with those of previous analyses is necessarily somewhat limited. Alca torda has been recovered as the sister taxon to P. impennis in every analysis that has included both taxa (Strauch 1985, Chandler 1990, Moum et al. 2002, Baker et al. 2007, Pereira and Baker 2008, Smith 2011). However, extinct Alca species, Miocepphus species, Uria brodkorbi, and P. alfrednewtoni have not been included in previous phylogenetic analyses. The sister relationship between Alca plus Pinguinus and Uria is also strongly supported by the results of previous analyses (Strauch 1985, Chandler 1990, Moum et al. 2002, Baker et al. 2007, Pereira and Baker 2008, Smith 2011).

The systematic position of Alle alle is one of the most contentious issues in alcid systematics because this taxon has been recovered as sister to Alca (Moum et al. 1994), outgroup to Alca and Pinguinus (Moum et al. 2002, Baker et al. 2007), sister to Alca and Pinguinus plus Uria (Strauch 1985), sister to Uria (Thomas et al. 2004, Pereira and Baker 2008, Smith 2011), sister to Fraterculinae (Chandler 1990), and sister to Cepphus, Aethia, and Brachyramphus (Chu 1998). The nested placement of Alle along with Miocepphus species supports the hypothesized affinity between these taxa (Wijnker and Olson 2009). The placement of Alle and Miocepphus as the sister taxon to Uria is also congruent with the molecular-based results of Pereira and Baker (2008) and Thomas et al. (2004) in which Alle alle was placed as the sister to Uria.

Systematic Paleontology

Aves Linnaeus, 1758 Charadriiformes Huxley, 1867 Alcidae Leach, 1820 Alcini Storer, 1960 *Alca* Linnaeus, 1758

Diagnosis.—Alca is referable to Alcidae based on dorsoventral compression of the humerus (78:0), radius, and ulna (101:0). The shafts of these elements are more rounded in cross section in all other Charadriiformes. The humeral, radial, and ulnar shafts of Cepphus and Pseudocepphus teres are intermediate with respect to shaftroundness (78:1; 101:1) as compared to all other Alcidae and Charadriiformes systematically placed outside of Alcidae. As in all alcids except Mancallinae Brodkorb, 1967, the dorsal cotylar process of the ulna is anteriorly expanded to a degree exceeding that of other Charadriiformes (Smith 2011). As in all Alcidae, the sternum is elongated in comparison with the relatively shorter sterni of other Charadriiformes. Alca is referable to Alcini (contents = *Alca* + *Pinguinus* + Uria + Alle + Miocepphus) based on anterior flattening of the extensor process of the carpometacarpus (105:1). Alca is differentiated from Pinguinus by the restriction of the deltopectoral crest to the proximal half of the humeral shaft (55:0). The coracobrachial nerve sulcus of Alca and *Pinguinus* is a closed duct (61:1), rather than an open sulcus (61:0) as in other Alcini. Alca and Pinguinus are differentiated from Uria, Alle, and Miocepphus mergulellus on the basis of the equal width of the tricipital sulci of the distal humerus (82:1). The humerotricipital sulcus is wider than the scapulotricipital sulcus in Uria (82:0) and M. mergulellus. The scapulotricipital sulcus is wider than the humerotricipital sulcus in Alle (82:2).

Remarks.—Despite a long history of study, no osteological apomorphies specific to *Alca* have been identified. This lack of osteological distinction from *Pinguinus* calls into question the monophyly of *Pinguinus* with respect to *Alca. Alca* (sensu Linnaeus, 1758) originally contained the Great Auk, which was then known as *Alca impennis*. Both Strauch (1985) and Chandler (1990) recommended that *Pinguinus* be synonymized with *Alca*; however, both the AOU and the British Ornithologists' Union have followed the recommendations of Salomonsen (1944) and Olson (1977) in maintaining the generic status of

Pinguinus. Although *P. impennis* is characterized by many apomorphies with respect to *A. torda*, the monophyly of *Alca* to the exclusion of *Pinguinus* is weakly supported by current osteological data. However, knowledge of the skeletal anatomy of extinct *Alca* that might bolster support for *Alca* monophyly is currently incomplete for most species in the clade.

The original diagnosis of Alca Linnaeus, 1758 was as a member of the Order Natatore (anseriforms, podicipediforms, gaviiforms, sphenisciforms, pelicaniforms, and charadriiforms), which was defined by "the backward position of the legs, which are thrown entirely behind the equilibrium of their body, and with wings considerably shorter, and less covered with feathers than those of any other birds" (Vigors 1825:497). Family "Alcadae" (sensu Vigors, 1825) was differentiated and later separated from other Natatore (sensu Linnaeus, 1758) on the basis of the tridactyl configuration of the feet (i.e., absence of a hallux) and differentiated from sphenisciforms by the mediolateral compression of the bill. Close relationship of alcids with penguins was a common misconception (e.g., Linnaeus 1758, Verheyen 1958, Gysels and Rabaey 1964). Originally, Alca (sensu Linnaeus, 1758) included six species: A. impennis, A. torda, A. pica, A. arctica, A. lomvia, and A. alle. Alca pica, upon further study, turned out to be A. torda in winter plumage (Coues 1868). The other five species are still recognized, though only torda is placed within Alca in modern classifications (e.g., AOU 1998).

Unlike the preceding classifications, that of Gadow (1892) considered osteological and behavioral characteristics in making distinctions between avian taxa. Alcidae was placed along with Laridae in Suborder Gaviae of the Order Charadriiformes on the basis of five characters: aquatic lifestyle, complex coloration of down in nestlings, ossified supraorbital rims, and hypotarsus with two grooves (Gadow 1892). Among Gaviae, Gadow (1892) diagnosed Alcidae on the basis of five additional characters: "Periarctic" (i.e., Holoarctic) distribution, coracoids not fused, dorsal supracondylar process absent (this feature is not absent but merely reduced in alcids compared with larids), presence of a procoracoid process, and sternum with only two notches posteriorly (i.e., medial sternal notches absent; contra Gadow 1892, medial sternal notches are present in puffins [Smith

2011]). Alca (including Pinguinus) was further distinguished from other Alcidae by Beddard (1898) on the basis of three characters: foramen at the anterior end of the supra-orbital groove, lack of a medial notch of the sternum (in contrast with puffins), and two brevis tendons (i.e., m. brachialis with two heads) that pass over the extensors and insert on the ulna. The oological study of Dawson (1920) differentiated Alca and Uria from other alcids on the basis of four characters: single-egg clutches, ovate shape, dull luster, and granular texture. In a study of Alcidae hind-limb osteology, Storer (1945) united Alca, Pinguinus, and Uria in Alcini and cited the following characteristics: long and narrow postacetabular ilium; narrow, tapering posterior ischium; leg of medium length, with thick joints; moderately heavy toes with short, broad claws; and especially heavy tarsometatarsus with a groove on its upper surface.

More recent osteological studies (Strauch 1985, Chandler 1990) have resulted in an increasing suite of proposed diagnostic characters for Alca and Pinguinus. The compatibility analysis of Strauch (1985) identified a clade composed of A. torda and P. impennis, which was defined by the presence of a coracoidal foramen, a flat extensor process of the carpometacarpus, first tendinal canal of the hypotarsus a narrow groove, completely feathered nostrils, one incubation patch, intermediate posthatching development pattern, nesting in the open, and pointed retrices. The phylogenetic analysis of Chandler (1990) identified five additional apomorphies that unite Alca and Pinguinus: enlarged premaxilla, nasal bar extending beneath premaxilla, ventral cotyla of the ulna with a lateral crest that is separate from the ventral collateral ligament tubercle, and a crest that extends from the shaft of the femur to the lateral edge of the ventral cotyla.

The earliest known fossil referred to Alcidae was also proposed to have affinities with *Alca*. This specimen (GCVP 5690) was reported from the Late Eocene (34.2–36 Ma) Clinchfield Formation of Wilkinson County, Georgia (Chandler and Parmley 2002), and consists of an isolated and weathered distal humerus. The equal width of the tricipital sulci are consistent with the morphology of *Alca* and *Pinguinus*; however, owing to the weathered and fragmentary nature of this isolated specimen, it cannot be confidently referred at this time. It is best considered Alcidae *incertae sedis*.

Two specimens from Late Miocene deposits in Laguna Hills, California, were tentatively referred to *Alca* (Howard 1968). These specimens consist of a fragment of coracoid (LACM 18282) and a poorly preserved distal humerus (LACM 18283). Recent reexamination of these specimens failed to identify any apomorphies that would support referral to any Alcini taxon. Furthermore, no additional material has been referred to *Alca* from Pacific localities in the intervening 40 years. Thus, *Alca* affinities of these specimens are considered unreliable, and these fossils are best considered Alcidae *incertae sedis*.

Although previously referred Alca cranial material is largely restricted to bills (Olson and Rasmussen 2001, Dyke and Walker 2005), two fossilized skulls from Pliocene deposits have been referred to Alca (Fig. 7). The first specimen (Alam 0001) is housed in the collections of the Asociación Cultural Paleontológica Murciana in Murcia, Spain, and was described by Sanchez-Marco (2003). Recent reexamination of this specimen confirms its referral to Alca on the basis of the mediolaterally compressed and dorsoventrally expanded premaxilla and deeply incised salt-gland fossae. The second skull (NCSM 24139) was recovered from the Yorktown Formation exposed at Aurora, North Carolina, and is reported here for the first time (Fig. 7). These two skulls are both comparable in size and morphological characteristics to A. torda, although they lack any associated postcranial material, preventing referral of these specimens to species at this time. There is currently only a single associated Alca fossil specimen with both cranial and postcranial elements preserved (USNM 336380; Olson and Rasmussen 2001) that might allow diagnosis of cranial characters for extinct Alca species. The only cranial element preserved by USNM 336380 is the premaxilla, and no discrete morphological variation was identified among that specimen, the two skulls described above, and extant A. torda specimens.

Alca torda Linnaeus, 1758

Diagnosis.—Alca torda is differentiated from other species of *Alca* by the following humeral characteristics: distal margin of posterior humeral head rounded as in *A. stewarti* and *A. minor* (53:0; pointed in *A. olsoni*, *A. stewarti*, *A. ausonia*, and *A. grandis*); dorsal margin of the primary pneumotricipital fossa (i.e., fossa pneumotricipitalis ventrale; Baumel and Witmer 1993) of *A. torda*



FIG. 7. Comparison of *Alca* skulls in right lateral view. (A) *A. torda* NCSM 20058. (B) Alam-001. (C) NCSM 24139. Anatomical abbreviations: cmf = caudal mandibular fenestra, osr = ossified supraorbital rim, and pm = dorsally expanded premaxilla.

and *A. minor* extends farther distally (65:1) than in all other *Alca*; primary pneumotricipital fossa rounded as in *A. minor* and *A. stewarti* (67:0; oval in all other *Alca*); distal edge of the primary pneumotricipital fossa straight as in *A. carolinensis*, *A. grandis*, *A. olsoni*, and *A. stewarti* (70:1; concave in *A. minor* and *A. ausonia*). The size of *A. torda* is intermediate between that of the smaller *A. minor* and the larger *A. ausonia* (Table 4 and Fig. 2).

Remarks.—Remains of at least four species of *Alca* from Early Pliocene deposits in North Carolina (*Alca* aff. *torda*, *A. ausonia*, *A. grandis*, *Alca* sp.) were reported by Olson and Rasmussen (2001). Among these fossils are specimens that agree in both size and morphological characteristics with examples of extant *A. torda* (Fig. 2). Furthermore, Miocene-aged (5–10 Ma) specimens from deposits in Maryland and Virginia were recently referred to *Alca* cf. *torda* by Wijnker and Olson (2009). Although estimates of the average geological longevity of species are variable (May et al. 1995), the existence of *A. torda* throughout the last 10 Ma would constitute an example of extreme species-longevity. Issues related to the diagnosability of

fossils that are morphologically similar or indistinguishable from extant taxa have been previously discussed in detail (Stewart 2002, 2007; Stewart and Beech 2006). Incomplete fossil remains sometimes do not preserve the key skeletal elements that are required to recognize different species with ecomorphologies similar to those of living species (Stewart 2002). The extension of the temporal range of an extant species into the Miocene prompts questions about both the longevity and diagnosability of species, though no discrete differences in size or morphology between Miocene and Pliocene fossils attributed to *A. torda* and extant *A. torda* specimens were noted in specimens examined.

Alca grandis (Marsh, 1870)

Holotype.—Left humerus (ANSP 13357; Figs. 2 and 5).

Referred material.—Right coracoid, right distal humerus (USNM 215454; specimen referred to *A. grandis* by Olson and Rasmussen 2001; Fig. 8); partial sternum, right distal humerus, right proximal radius, right ulna, right distal carpometacarpus, right digit 1 phalanx 1, right digit II phalanx 1, right digit 1 phalanx 2, partial pelvis, right femur, right proximal tibiotarsus (USNM 336379; specimen referred to *A. grandis* by Olson and Rasmussen 2001; Fig. 9). See Appendix 2 for referral of isolated humeri.

Original diagnosis.—Originally described by Marsh (1870:213–214) as *Cataractes antiquus* (see Olson 2007) and diagnosed in relation to *Uria lomvia* on the basis of the following osteological characteristics: humeral head more obtusely rounded; tricipital grooves of roughly equal width; small posterodorsally projecting tubercle on the posterodistal margin of the ventral tubercle (Fig. 10); ventral condyle anteroposteriorly narrow.

Amended diagnosis.—Alca grandis is characterized by a small posterodorsally projecting tubercle on the posterodistal margin of the ventral tubercle of the humerus (84:1), which is absent in all other Alca species but is present in Pinguinus (Fig. 10). As in all Alca, restriction of the deltopectoral crest to the proximal half of the humeral shaft (55:0) differentiates A. grandis from Pinguinus. Alca grandis is further differentiated from other Alca by the following characteristics: dorsal margin of the medial sternal process of coracoid notched (51:1) as in A. torda and A. carolinensis (absent in other Alca for which the coracoid is known;



FIG. 8. *Alca grandis* referred associated specimen (USNM 215454). (A) Right coracoid, (mediolateral view). (B) Distal left humerus in two pieces (anterior view). Anatomical abbreviations: d = deltopectoral crest, dc = dorsal condyle, dsp = dorsal supracondylar process, ff = furcular facet, ha = humeral articulation facet, and sa = sternal articular surface of coracoid.

i.e., A. stewarti and A. olsoni); distal margin of posterior humeral head pointed (53:1; rounded in A. stewarti, A. minor, and A. torda); primary pneumotricipital fossa of humerus oval (67:1) as in A. ausonia, A. carolinensis, and A. olsoni (rounded in other Alca); olecranon curves posteriorly (94:0) as in A. carolinensis and A. olsoni (curves anteriorly in A. stewarti and A. torda); anterior margin of dorsal cotylar prominence of ulna rounded (97:0) as in A. carolinensis and A. torda (margin straight in A. olsoni and A. stewarti); dorsal condyle of ulna rounded (102:0) as



FIG. 9. *Alca grandis* referred associated specimen (USNM 336379), prepared from matrix since original description (see Olson and Rasmussen 2001:fig. 13). (A) Digit II phalanx 2 (dorsal view). (B) Digit II phalanx 1 (dorsal view). (C) Right distal carpometacarpus (ventral view). (D) Right proximal radius (ventral view). (E) Digit 1 phalanx 1 (dorsal view). (F) Right ulna (ventral view). (G) Distal right humerus (anterior view). (H) Right humerus (distal view). (I) Partial sternum (ventral view). (J) Partial pelvis (ventral view). (K) Right femur (anterior view). (L) Right proximal tibiotarsus and fibula (anteromedial view). Anatomical abbreviations: I:1 = manual phalanx I:1, II:1 = manual phalanx II:2, c = carina, cc = cnemial crest, dc = dorsal condyle, dcp = dorsal cotylar process, dsp = dorsal supracondylar process, f = fibula, hs = humerotricipital sulcus, is = intercondylar sulcus, lp = lateral process, mc2 = metacarpal 2, ol = olecranon process, p = pubis, s = sacrum, tc = trochanteric crest, vst = ventral supracondylar tubercle, and vc = ventral condyle.

in *A. olsoni* (angled in *A. torda* and *A. stewarti*); distal tendinal groove of carpometacarpus a sulcus (108:0) as in *A. torda* rather than a closed canal as in *A. carolinensis*. In size, *A. grandis* is between the smaller *A. ausonia* and the larger *A. carolinensis* (Table 4 and Fig. 2).

Remarks.—Catarractes antiquus was described by Marsh in 1870 on the basis of a left humerus with minor damage to the bicipital crest from Pliocene deposits in North Carolina (Fig. 2). Characteristics of this fossil prompted Olson and Rasmussen (2001) to create a new combination for this species, *Alca antiqua* (Marsh, 1870). *Alca antiqua* became *A. grandis* (Marsh, 1870), because the previous name was "a secondary homonym preoccupied by *Alca antiqua* Gmelin, 1789, a basionym of the extant Ancient Murrelet *Synthliboramphus antiquus*, and was therefore unavailable for the fossil species" (Olson 2007:225). The species name grandis stems from Australca grandis Brodkord, 1955, which was recognized as a junior synonym of Alca antiqua by Olson and Rasmussen (2001). Numerous examples of this species are known from Yorktown Formation deposits at PCS Phosphate Mine (Olson and Rasmussen 2001). The morphology and size of the coracoid referred to Alca grandis herein (USNM 215454) is comparable to that of the holotype specimen of Australca grandis (UF/PB 141). These data support the synonomy of Australca grandis Brodkorb, 1955 and Alca antiqua Marsh, 1870 by Olson and Rasmussen (2001). Numerous examples of Alca grandis are known from Yorktown Formation deposits at PCS Phosphate Mine (Olson and Rasmussen 2001), and Alca grandis has also been reported from the Pliocene of Belgium (Dyke and Walker 2005).

A

С

В

D

dc





FIG. 10. Distal view of *Alca* and *Pinguinus* humeri. (A) *A. grandis* (ANSP 13357). (B) Line drawing of *A. grandis*. (C) *A. carolinensis* (NCSM 13734). (D) *A. stewarti* (NHMUK PV A 7052). (E) *A. ausonia* (IGF 14875). (F) *A. olsoni* (USNM 454590). (G) *A. torda* (USNM 502382). (H) *A. minor* (USNM 495600). (I) *P. impennis* (USNM 623465). (J) *P. alfrednewtoni* (USNM 366630). Anatomical abbreviations: dc = dorsal condyle, hs = humerotricipital sulcus, pt = proximodistal tubercle, ss = scapulotricipital sulcus, and vc = ventral condyle.

FIG. 11. *Alca ausonia* referred left humerus (USNM 446692): (A) anterior view, (B) proximal view, (C) distal view, (D) ventral view, (E) posterior view, and (F) dorsal view. Anatomical abbreviations: bc = bicipital crest, ccs = coracobrachial sulcus, cg = capital groove, d = deltopectoral crest, dc = dorsal condyle, dsp = dorsal supracondylar process, fp = flexor process, hs = humer-otricipital sulcus, pf1 = primary pneumotricipital fossa, ps = pectoralis scar, sc = supracoracoidal crest, vc = ventral condyle, and vt = ventral tubercle.

dsr

E

Alca ausonia (Portis, 1888)

Holotype.—Right distal humerus (IGF 14875; Figs. 2 and 5).

Referred material.—Left humerus (USNM 446692; specimen previously referred to *Alca grandis* by Olson and Rasmussen, 2001; Figs. 2 and 11; Table 4). See Appendix 2 for referral of additional isolated specimens.

Original diagnosis.—Originally described as *Uria ausonia* by Portis (1888). Diagnostic characteristics relative to other Alcini taxa were not provided in the original description (Portis 1888) or subsequent publication (Portis 1891).

Amended diagnosis.—Although no autapomorphic characters are present in the humerus of *A. ausonia*, this species is differentiated from other *Alca* by the presence of a unique combination of characteristics. Owing to lack of associated specimens referable to *A. ausonia*, only humeri can be confidently referred to this species. The humeral shaft of *A. ausonia* is relatively more gracile than that of other *Alca* (ratio of greatest width at midshaft to greatest distal width = 1.65 *A. ausonia*; 1.69 *A. torda* fossils; 1.70 *A. grandis*). The coracobrachial

22

sulcus forms the dorsal and lateral margin of the bicipital surface, and the point at which the sulcus curves ventrally is variable in Alca. This area of curvature of the coracobrachial sulcus is located more dorsally in A. ausonia and A. grandis (62:0; positioned ventrally in other Alca). The shape of the distal edge of the primary pneumotricipital fossa is concave (70:0) in A. minor and A. ausonia (straight in other Alca). As in A. carolinensis, A. grandis, and A. olsoni, the posteriorly overturned portion of the humeral head is distally pointed (53:1; i.e., roughly triangular) in A. ausonia, whereas the profile of this feature is more rounded in A. stewarti, A. minor, and A. torda. The size of A. ausonia falls between the smaller A. torda and the larger A. grandis (Table 4 and Fig. 2).

Remarks.—A distal humerus (IGF 14875) from the Pliocene of Italy was described by Portis (1888, 1891). This fragmentary specimen (Fig. 2) was designated as the holotype of a new taxon U. ausonia Portis, 1888. Uria ausonia was diagnosed with respect to U. aalge solely on the basis of size (Portis 1888). A more complete description of this specimen followed (Portis 1891); however, the poor preservation of IGF 14875 prevented characterbased diagnosis in relation to other closely related taxa (e.g., A. torda and U. aalge). Olson and Rasmussen (2001) referred this specimen to Alca. These authors (Olson and Rasmussen 2001) noted that the holotype specimen of A. ausonia agrees with characters that Marsh (1870) used to diagnose A. grandis, and that its size range falls between the smaller A. torda and the larger A. grandis (Fig. 2). Additional material from Italy representing this species is not known, although specimens of A. ausonia are common within the Early Pliocene Yorktown Formation exposed at PCS Phosphate Mine in Aurora, North Carolina, and have been reported from the Pliocene of Belgium (Dyke and Walker 2005). Recently, remains from Late Pliocene deposits in Morocco were referred to A. ausonia (Mourer-Chauviré and Geraads 2010) on the basis of size.

Alca stewarti Martin et al., 2001

Holotype.—Left ulna (NHMUK PV A 7050). *Paratype.*—Right humerus cast (NHMUK PV A 7052; Figs. 2 and 5).

Referred material.—Partial sternum, left coracoid, right humerus, right radius, right ulna (USNM 242238; Fig. 12). This specimen was previously documented by Olson (1984, 1985), Olson and Rasmussen (2001), and Wijnker and Olson (2009). See Appendix 2 for referral of isolated specimens.



FIG. 12. *Alca stewarti* referred associated specimen (USNM 242238): (A) partial sternum (right lateral view), (B) left coracoid (posteromedial view), (C) right humerus (distal view), (D) right humerus (anterior view), (E) right ulna (ventral view), and (F) right radius (dorsal view). Anatomical abbreviations: c = carina, clt = ventral collateral ligament tubercle, cs = coracoidal sulcus, ct = carpal tubercle, d = deltopectoral crest, <math>dc = dorsal condyle, dcp = dorsal cotylar process, dsp = dorsal supracondylar process, ff = furcular facet, fp = flexor process, hc = humeral cotyla of radius, ol = olecranon process, sa = sternal articular surface of coracoid, sr = sternal rostrum, and vt = ventral tubercle.

Original diagnosis.—Proposed diagnostic characters cited in the original description of *A. stewarti* included deltopectoral crest less rounded; ventral tubercle of humerus more ventrally deflected; crus dorsale fossa of humerus positioned more obliquely; dorsal supracondylar process narrow, and extending farther proximally; humerotricipital sulcus broader than scapulotricipital sulcus.

Amended diagnosis.—Although missing data for other Alca species (e.g., A. ausonia) prevents the unambiguous optimization of all the characters listed below, examination of the holotype,

paratype, and additional referred material (USNM 242238, USNM 446650) identified the following unique suite of characteristics in which A. stewarti differs from other species of Alca: notch in medial sternal process of coracoid absent (51:0) as in A. olsoni; sternocoracoidal facet of coracoid angled ~135° (52:1) as in A. carolinensis; dorsal humeral shaft between deltopectoral crest and dorsal tubercle slightly concave (57:0); capital incisure of humerus broader, and expanded farther ventrally; ventral tubercle of humerus more ventrally deflected than other Alca (76:1). Contra Martin et al. (2001), the tricipital sulci of the distal humerus are approximately equal in width, as in other Alca. Alca stewarti is larger (e.g., greatest length of humerus longer; Table 4 and Fig. 2) than all other known Alca heretofore described.

Remarks.—Alca stewarti was described from the Early Pliocene of Belgium by Martin et al. (2001). Although this species was originally diagnosed in relation to A. torda and Pliocene 'Australca' (i.e., Pliocene Alca), Martin et al. (2001) neither made mention of fossil comparative material examined nor referred to previously published figures of Pliocene species of Alca (Portis 1891, Shufeldt 1915, Brodkorb 1955). At the time of description, the ulna designated as the holotype specimen of A. stewarti (NHMUK PV A 7050) by Martin et al. (2001) was not directly comparable to the holotype specimens of previously described extinct species of Alca, all of which were humeri. Also, there were no associated Alca specimens referred to species on the basis of criteria other than size (Olson and Rasmussen 2001) that would allow for comparisons between holotype and additional fossil material referred to A. stewarti by Dyke and Walker (2005). None of the alcid remains described by Martin et al. (2001) or Dyke and Walker (2005) were associated; thus, referrals of elements other than ulnae to A. stewarti were based only on size, locality, and age. However, the humerus designated as the paratype specimen of A. stewarti (Fig. 2) by Martin et al. (2001) is diagnosably distinct from those of other Alca. Additionally, an associated specimen from the Late Miocene Eastover Formation of Virginia (USNM 242238; Fig. 12) is referable to A. stewarti on the basis of both size and diagnostic morphology. This specimen was documented previously but not assigned to species by Olson (1984, 1985) or Olson and Rasmussen (2001). In a recent review of Alcidae known from the Miocene of the northwestern Atlantic Ocean basin, Wijnker and Olson (2009) referred this specimen to *A. stewarti* on the basis of its larger size in comparison with other known *Alca*. USNM 242238 comprises multiple associated elements, including a humerus and ulna, and therefore allows for confirmation of referrals of humeri to *A. stewarti* by Martin et al. (2001) and Dyke and Walker (2005), and also facilitates description of addition skeletal elements representing this taxon. Furthermore, USNM 242238 is the earliest known occurrence of *A. stewarti* (Wijnker and Olson 2009).

Alca carolinensis, sp. nov.

Holotype.—NCSM 13734: a partial postcranial skeleton comprising the following elements: furcula, sternum, ribs, left coracoid, right and left scapulae, right and left humeri, right and left ulnae, right radius, left radius missing proximal terminus, partial right ulnare, right carpometacarpus, and right manual phalanx I:1 (Figs. 2, 5, and 13). Although discovered partially articulated, all elements were prepared from the matrix to allow for detailed examination, description, and measurement of osteological features (Tables 4 and 6). The holotype specimen of A. carolinensis (NCSM 13734) was collected by Vince and Judy Schneider from the spoil piles at the PCS Phosphate Mine in Aurora, North Carolina, during a 1996 field expedition of the North Carolina Museum of Natural Sciences and was prepared by V. Schneider.

Etymology.—The species name *carolinensis* refers to the provenience of the holotype specimen in North Carolina.

Locality and horizon.—Aurora, Beaufort County, North Carolina; PCS Phosphate Mine (35°23' N; 76°47′ 30″ W; Fig. 1), Rushmere Member of the Yorktown Formation, Lower Pliocene (Berggren et al. 1995, Woodburne and Swisher 1995). Sedimentary characteristics of the matrix surrounding NCSM 13734, such as grain size, mineralogical composition, degree of induration, color, abundance of echinoderm fragments, and lack of phosphate nodules, are consistent with the sedimentology of the Rushmere Member of the Yorktown Formation (Snyder et al. 2001). Independent analysis of the foraminiferal assemblage identified the presence of *Elphidium* species and absence of Parafissurina bidens and also supports the referral of this specimen to the Rushmere Member (S. Snyder pers. comm.).

Diagnosis.—Proposed autapomorphies of Alca carolinensis include the presence of a dorsally



FIG. 13. Holotype specimen of *Alca carolinensis* (NCSM 13734) after preparation from matrix: (A) furcula (anterior view), (B) sternum (left lateral view), (C) left coracoid (posteromedial view), (D) left scapula (medial view), (E) left radius missing proximal end (ventral view), (F) left ulna (ventral view), (G) left humerus (posterior view), (H) right humerus (anterior view), (I) right ulna (dorsal view), (J) right radius (dorsal view), (K) right scapula (lateral view), (L) right carpometacarpus (dorsal view), (M) right ulnare (distal view), and (N) right digit 1:phalanx 1 (dorsal view). Anatomical abbreviations: I:1 = manual phalanx I:1, a = acromion, bcc = bicipital crest of coracoid, bi = brachial impression, bs = brachialis scar, bt = bicipital tubercle, c = carina, cct = coracoidal tubercle, cd = coracobrachial duct, clp = craniolateral process, clt = ventral collateral ligament tubercle, cp = costal processes of sternum, cs = coracoidal sulcus, ct = carpal tubercle, d = deltopectoral crest, dc = dorsal condyle, dcp = dorsal cotylar process, dsp = dorsal supracondylar process, fa = furcular apophysis, ff = furcular facet, fp = flexor process, fr = furcular ramus, ft = furcular tubercle, lp = lateral process, ol = olecranon process, pc = procoracoid process, pf1 = primary pneumotricipital fossa, mc1 = metacarpal 1, mc2 = metacarpal 2, sa = sternal articular surface of coracoid, sc = supracoracoidal crest, sf = scapular facet, sr = sternal rostrum, ss = scapulotricipital sulcus, vc = ventral condyle, vct = ventral cotyla, vst = ventral supracondylar tubercle, and vt = ventral tubercle.

TABLE 6. Measurements of associated Alca holotype specimens (mm; – = missing data owing to damage or lack of comparable element; ~ = approximate measurement because of damage).

	Alca olsoni	A. carolinensis
Measurements	454590	13734
Sternum		
Medial length	_	~137.0
Dorsal length	_	126.0
Medial length of	10.0	11.0
rostrum sterni		
Length of carina	-	~144.0
Smallest width between	_	5.4
costal processes		
Furcula		
Dorsoventral height of	7.5	9.2
apophysis		
Length of omal	_	29.3
extremity		
Coracoid		
Greatest length	48.5	48.8
Medial length	46.8	46.5
Basal breadth	-	26.1
Breadth of sternal	20.3	20.9
articulation		
Scapula		
Greatest proximal	-	14.8
height		
Humerus		
Greatest length	104.0	102.4
Breadth of proximal end	21.7	20.8
Breadth of shaft	8.8	9.2
Breadth of distal end	15.3	14.9
Radius		
Greatest length	77.0	79.2
Breadth of proximal end	6.5	6.7
Breadth of shaft	5.0	4.6
Breadth of distal end	6.8	7.1
Ulna		
Greatest length	87.5	83.0
Breadth of proximal end	16.4	16.0
Breadth of shaft	7.0	7.9
Breadth of distal end	11.1	10.8
Carpometacarpus		
Greatest length	_	53.4
Length of metacarpal 1	_	97
Lengur of metacarpar 1		

projecting tubercle on the posterior apophysis of the furcula (37:1) and bicipital tubercle of the proximal radius positioned distal to and separated from the proximal ligamental papilla (90:1; sensu Howard 1929). These features are not seen in any other extant Alcidae or in any extinct Pan-Alcidae for which these elements are known. However, among other Alca, furculae are known only from A. torda and A. olsoni, and radii are known only from A. torda, A. olsoni, and A. stewarti. Alca carolinensis can be differentiated from A. olsoni by the absence of a distinct ridge that borders the m. brachialis scar on the ventral surface of the proximal ulna (98:1). Like A. torda and A. grandis, the ulna of A. carolinensis has a rounded anterior margin of the dorsal cotylar prominence (97:0), rather than a straight margin as in A. olsoni and A. stewarti. The intercondylar sulcus is less deeply incised than in A. olsoni, A. stewarti, A. grandis, and A. torda (104:1). Alca caro*linensis* is further differentiated from *A. grandis* by the lack of a posterodorsally projecting tubercle on the distal surface of the ventral condyle (84:0; Fig. 10). Alca carolinensis is further differentiated by the concave ventral/sternal margin of the procoracoid process of the coracoid (50:0). This margin is convex in A. torda, A. stewarti, A. olsoni, and A. grandis. Associated specimens that would allow referral of coracoids to A. ausonia and A. minor are not known. The dorsal margin of the primary pneumotricipital fossa (i.e., crus dorsale fossae; Baumel and Witmer 1993) of A. carolinensis and other Alca except A. torda and A. minor ends proximal to the junction of the bicipital crest with the humeral shaft (65:0). The distal margin of the primary pneumotricipital fossa (i.e., crus ventrale fossae; Baumel and Witmer 1993) of A. carolinensis and other Alca except A. minor and specimens referred to A. ausonia is straight rather than concave (70:1). With the exception of A. olsoni, with which it shares similar proportions, A. carolinensis can be differentiated from other Alca on the basis of size (Table 4; difference between greatest length of humeri: $\sim 61\% > A$. minor; $\sim 30.6\% > A$. torda; ~15.1%> A. ausonia; 5.3%> A. grandis; 8.6%< A. stewarti).

Anatomical description.—The sternum is the most complete known for extinct Alca (Fig. 13). Complete Alca sterni are known only from extant A. torda; however, partial sterni are known from A. grandis, A. stewarti, and A. olsoni. As in all alcids, the sternum is long and narrow, although the width of the sternum immediately distal to the costal processes is relatively thinner than in *A. torda*. The dorsally directed craniolateral processes are rounded, rather than pointed as in *A. torda*. The carinal apex projects anterior to the mediolaterally compressed sternal rostrum, which is more ventrally expanded than in extant *A. torda* and is characterized by a ventrally projecting spine. The dorsal and ventral rostral spines are fused and separate the anteroposteriorly broad coracoidal sulci. As in *A. torda*, there are seven costal processes. Two complete vertebral ribs and three sternal ribs are preserved.

The furcula was recovered in five pieces and subsequently repaired. It is the first complete furcula known for extinct Alca (Fig. 13). Comparisons of Alca furculae are limited to extant A. torda and the partial furcula (apophysis and adjacent portions of rami; omal extremities not preserved) of the holotype specimen of A. olsoni. As with all alcids for which the furcula is known, and many other charadriiforms (e.g., Larus marinus), the omal ends of the furcular rami are mediolaterally compressed posterior to the coracoidal facet. The posterior omal extremity is elongate compared with most other charadriiforms (e.g., L. marinus) and surveyed specimens of A. torda. Additionally, the distance from the furcular apophysis to the coracoidal facet is relatively longer than that of A. torda. The furcular apophysis expands anteriorly, posteriorly, and ventrally, to form a semicircular projection. Both anterior and posterior extensions of the apophysis bear a dorsally projecting tubercle. The posterior tubercle is absent in all other alcids for which the furcula is known, although an anterior tubercle is present in A. torda. Cristae are present along the anterior shafts of the rami dorsal to the apophysis and are less distinct than those of A. torda.

The scapulae are missing only their distal tips, with the right scapula preserving slightly more of the original length of this element (Fig. 13). Associated specimens of other extinct *Alca* that would allow for referral of isolated scapulae are not known. As in *A. torda*, the tip of the acromion is rounded and directed anterodorsally. However, the acromion projects farther dorsally and contacts the shaft of the scapular blade is mediolaterally compressed along its entire length, unlike the more rounded condition in some charadriiforms (e.g., *L. argentatus*). The scapular blade broadens distally toward the ventrally deflected distal extremity, which is dorsoventrally narrower in *A. torda*.

The left coracoid is complete (Fig. 13) and is characterized by a rounded scapular cotyla, triangular procoracoid process with a concave sternal margin (convex in other Alca), and a distinctly ovoid m. supracoracoideus nerve foramen. The lateral process is dorsoventrally broad with an anterodorsally directed "hook" along its dorsal margin. As in A. torda, the medial end of the sternal articular surface curves posteriorly and broadens anteroposteriorly to form an approximately perpendicular (i.e., ~90°) angle in proximal view. This angle is less acute (i.e., ~135°) in some alcids (e.g., *Cepphus* and *Synthliboramphus*) and more acute (i.e., ~75°) in others (e.g., Aethia and Ptychoramphus). As in all Alca except A. olsoni, the brachial tuberosity of the coracoid is not deeply pneumatized. The medial sternal process of the coracoid of A. carolinensis, A. torda, and A. grandis is notched, rather than smooth as in A. olsoni and A. stewarti.

Before preparation, both humeri (Fig. 13) were preserved in articulation with the radii and ulnae. The humeral head is smoothly rounded in comparison with Uria aalge. As in A. grandis, the distal margin of the humeral head in posterior view is slightly pointed, whereas this feature is rounded in A. torda. The m. coracobrachialis impression is small compared with that of charadriiforms such as L. argentatus, triangular, and separated from a well-defined m. pectoralis attachment scar that is comparatively shallower in A. grandis. The deltopectoral crest is prominent, slightly undercut dorsally by the m. pectoralis attachment scar, and, unlike the condition in Pinguinus, restricted to the proximal half of the shaft. The deltopectoral crest merges smoothly with the anterior surface of the dorsal tubercle, which is separated from the humeral head in proximal view only by a slight notch. In posterior view, the dorsal tubercle is distally elongated into what Fürbringer (1888) termed a supracoracoidal crest (see Baumel and Witmer 1993:98). The capital groove is less deeply incised than in A. torda. The posterior surface of the ventral tubercle is less flattened and laterally deflected than in A. grandis, and mediolaterally narrower than in A. torda. The primary pneumotricipital fossa is shallower than in Cepphus, Cerorhinca, and Fratercula. The primary pneumotricipital fossa is rounded, rather than oval as in A. torda and A. minor. Like other Alcini, A. carolinensis has a weakly developed secondary pneumotricipital fossa (i.e., absent). The capital incisure is relatively narrow compared with that of A. stewarti. The ventral tubercle is robust, with a well-developed pit for insertion of m. subcoracoideus at the junction of the distal margin of the ventral tubercle and the ventral margin of the primary pneumotricipital fossa. The width of the shaft just distal to the deltopectoral crest is relatively broader than that of A. stewarti. The morphology of the distal humerus agrees with other Alca in having tricipital grooves of equal width. The m. brachialis scar extends proximal to the dorsal supracondylar prominence, whereas it is more distally restricted in A. ausonia. As in all pan-alcids other than Mancallinae, the anterior surface of the ventral condyle is flattened (Smith 2011), although more rounded and anteriorly projected than in specimens here referred to A. ausonia. The distal margin of the dorsal condyle is proximal to that of the ventral condyle. The proximal tip of the dorsal condyle is narrower and deflected more anteriorly than that of A. grandis. The dorsal epicondyle and dorsal supracondylar process are continuous, forming an anteroposteriorly compressed crest along the dorsal margin of the shaft. The dorsal supracondylar process projects less than in some specimens of A. torda. The distal margin of the ventral epicondyle is level with that of the ventral condyle, whereas this margin is distal to the ventral condyle in Pinguinus.

The right radius is complete and the left radius is missing the distal end (Fig. 13). Radii are also known from A. torda, A. olsoni, and A. stewarti. Compared with the radial shaft of Pinguinus, which is strongly bowed and dorsoventrally compressed to a degree exceeding that of volant alcids, the radial shaft of A. carolinensis is comparably straight and less compressed, although more compressed than in A. torda. The proximal end distal to the humeral cotyla and adjacent to the capital tuberosity is more excavated than in A. torda. Unlike all other alcids for which the radius is known, the bicipital tubercle is separated from the ligamental papilla and positioned more distally. A distinct intramuscular line is present along the entire length of the shaft and the distal end of the radius is relatively broader than in A. torda.

Both ulnae are complete (Fig. 13). *Alca* ulnae are also known from *A. torda*, *A. stewarti*, *A. olsoni*, and *A. grandis*. The olecranon is prominent, pointed, and deflected slightly ventrally. As in *A. torda* and *A. grandis*, the dorsal cotylar

prominence has a rounded anterior margin that borders the dorsal cotyla (straight in *A. stewarti* and *A. olsoni*) and partially bounds the proximal radial depression. The ventral collateral ligament tubercle is anteroposteriorly broader than that of *A. stewarti* and more distally extended than that of *A. torda*. The anterior shaft is characterized by a distinct intermuscular line that extends the length of the shaft, and the posterior shaft displays distinct feather papillae. As in *A. stewarti* and *A. torda*, the anterior margin of the dorsal condyle is straight. The intercondylar sulcus is only slightly depressed compared to other *Alca*.

The holotype specimen of A. carolinensis (NCSM 13734) preserves the second manual phalanx I:1 (also present in A. grandis USNM 336379; Fig. 9) and the first partial ulnare from an extinct Alca species (Fig. 13). Like the carpometacarpus, these elements are larger but otherwise morphologically indistinguishable from those of A. torda. The right carpometacarpus of NCSM 13734 lacks most of the shaft of metacarpal III (Fig. 13). Metacarpal I is elongate with the anteriorly flattened extensor process characteristic of all Alcini. The ventral margin of the carpal trochlea extends posterior to the dorsal trochlear margin. The infratrochlear fossa is well defined and bordered distally by the distinct and anteriorly deflected pisiform process. The intermetacarpal spatium extends proximal to the distal extent of metacarpal I. Metacarpals II and III are equal in distal extent and the anteriorly projecting tuberosity of metacarpal II (sensu Howard 1929) is rectangular in shape.

Alca minor, sp. nov.

Holotype.—USNM 302324: a left humerus (Figs. 2, 5, and 14; Table 4) missing the dorsal tubercle and the proximal-most portion of the deltopectoral crest. The holotype specimen was collected by Peter J. Harmatuk from the spoil piles at the PCS Phosphate Mine in Aurora, North Carolina, and donated to the Smithsonian Institution National Museum of Natural History in 1979.

Etymology.—The species name *minor* reflects the diminutive size of this taxon compared with other known species of *Alca*.

Locality and horizon.—Aurora, Beaufort County, North Carolina; PCS Phosphate Mine (35°23'N; 76°47'30"W; Fig. 1), Yorktown Formation, Lower Pliocene (Berggren et al. 1995, Woodburne and Swisher 1995). No associated sediment was



FIG. 14. Holotype and referred specimens of *Alca minor*. Holotype left humerus USNM 302324: (A) anterior view, (B) ventral view, (C) posterior view, and (D) dorsal view. Referred distal right humerus USNM 495600: (E) posterior view and (F) anterior view. Referred right proximal humerus USNM 192879: (G) anterior view and (H) posterior view. Anatomical abbreviations: bc = bicipital crest, bs = brachialis scar, ccs = coracobrachial sulcus, cg = capital groove, d = deltopectoral crest, dc = dorsal condyle, dsp = dorsal supracondylar process, dt = dorsal tubercle, fp = flexor process, hs = humerotricipital sulcus, pf1 = primary pneumotricipital fossa, <math>ps = m. pectoralis scar; sc = supracoracoidal crest, vc = ventral condyle, vst = ventral supracondylar tubercle, and vt = ventral tubercle.

recovered with USNM 302324 that would permit microfaunal analysis. The gray color and lack of phosphatic patina of this specimen agrees with the preservation of other Pliocene Yorktown Formation specimens; however, the possibility that this specimen is from the underlying Miocene Pungo River Formation cannot be excluded.

Referred specimens.—USNM 192879 (proximal right humerus; Fig. 14); USNM 495600 (distal right humerus; Fig. 14).

Diagnosis.—Although this smallest known species of *Alca* (Table 4) can be morphologically differentiated from other known species of *Alca*, there are no autapomorphic characters preserved in the three specimens referred to this species. Unlike all other *Alca*, and similar to the condition observed in *Uria*, the coracobrachial nerve appears to be transmitted in a sulcus rather than in a closed canal; however, the possibility that the coracobrachial nerve passage was exposed owing to weathering cannot be excluded. The holotype (USNM 302324) and referred specimens (USNM 192879 and USNM 495600) of *A. minor* were previously referred to "*Miocepphus* undescribed species"

(Olson and Rasmussen 2001:270). Alca minor is differentiated from Miocepphus by the equal width of the tricipital sulci (82:1), and by the relative robustness of the humerus. In A. minor the average dorsoventral height of the proximal humerus is 2.33× wider than the shaft and the average dorsoventral height of distal humerus is 1.57× wider than the shaft. The tricipital sulci of Miocepphus are of different widths, the humeral shaft is thicker, and the proximal and distal ends of the humerus are more dorsoventrally expanded. In Miocepphus the average dorsoventral height of the proximal humerus is 2.68× wider than the shaft (ratio derived from measurements of M. blowi and M. mergulellus), and the average dorsoventral height of distal humerus is 1.75× wider than the shaft (ratio derived from measurements of Miocepphus holotype specimens). Alca minor is differentiated from Alle alle, the smallest member of the Alcini, by its larger size, equal width of the tricipital sulci (82:1), and dorsally curving ventral margin of the distal humerus (Alle characterized by ~52% shorter greatest length, scapulotricipital sulcus wider than humerotricipital sulcus; ventrally flared ventral margin of distal

humerus). Alca minor is differentiated from similarly sized Synthliboramphus and Brachyramphus by the rounded shape (67:0) and shallow depth of the primary pneumotricipital fossa (66:0). Because of the fragmentary nature of the holotype specimen of A. ausonia (IGF 14875; Fig. 2), discernable differences between that specimen and A. minor are limited to size. The greatest width at the midpoint of the humeral shaft of A. minor is ~32% smaller than that of Alca ausonia (Table 4). This is significantly outside the range of intraspecific variation documented for other alcids (Moen 1991, Burness and Montevecchi 1992, Table 3). Alca minor is further differentiated from A. grandis by the lack of a posterodorsally projecting tubercle on the posterior margin of the ventral condyle (84:0; Fig. 10). In contrast to other Alca in which the primary pneumotricipital fossa is deeper and more ovoid, the primary pneumotricipital fossa is relatively shallow and more rounded. As in specimens referred to A. ausonia, the distal margin of the primary pneumotricipital fossa is concave (70:0) rather than straight as in other Alca. Alca minor is differentiated from all other species of Alca by its overall smaller size (Table 4; greatest length of humerus: ~75%< A. stewarti; ~63%< A. olsoni; ~61%< A. caro*linensis;* ~53%< *A. grandis;* ~27%< *A. torda*).

Anatomical description.—The proximal end of the humerus is broken proximal to the scar for attachment of m. pectoralis and the posterior surface of the head, the ventral tubercle, and the condyles on the distal end of the humerus are abraded, obscuring fine morphological details in these areas (Fig. 14A–D). The referred proximal right humerus (USNM 192879; Fig. 14G, H) is broken at approximately midshaft, has minor abrasions on the anterior bicipital surface, and is missing the ventral tubercle. The referred distal humerus (USNM 495600; Fig. 14E, F) is broken proximal to the m. pectoralis attachment scar and the flexor process is missing.

The humerus of *A. minor* is smaller in all dimensions than that of *A. torda* (Fig. 2 and Table 4). As in other *Alca*, the humeral head is proximally rounded and projects distally in relation to the shallowly excavated secondary pneumotricipital fossa. The coracobrachial nerve is transmitted in a sulcus rather than in a closed canal. The deltopectoral crest merges smoothly with the humeral shaft proximal to midshaft. The m. latissimus dorsi scar is prominent, extends distally from the supracoracoidal crest, is restricted to the proximal shaft, confined to the anterior surface of the

shaft, and does not curve onto the dorsal surface of the humerus as in *Cepphus*. As in other *Alca*, the tricipital sulci are of equal width and the dorsal supracondylar process is a rounded dorsally projected tubercle (less dorsally projected in *Uria*).

Alca olsoni, sp. nov.

Holotype.—USNM 454590: a partial postcranial skeleton comprising the following elements: partial furcula, partial sternum, left coracoid, left humerus, distal right humerus, right ulna, and right radius (Figs. 2, 6, and 15; Tables 4 and 6). The holotype specimen of *A. olsoni* (USNM 454590) was collected by Reginald Titmas from the spoil piles at the PCS Phosphate Mine in Aurora, North Carolina, and donated to the Smithsonian Institution National Museum of Natural History in 1992.

Etymology.—This new species is named in recognition of the many contributions to the systematics of extinct Alcidae by Storrs L. Olson.

Locality and horizon.—Aurora, Beaufort County, North Carolina; PCS Phosphate Mine (35°23'N; 76°47'30"W; Fig. 1), Yorktown Formation, Lower Pliocene (Berggren et al. 1995, Woodburne and Swisher 1995). No associated sediment was recovered with USNM 454590 that would allow for microfaunal analysis. The brown to gray color and lack of phosphatic patina of this specimen agree with the preservation of other Pliocene Yorktown Formation specimens; however, the possibility that this specimen is from the underlying Miocene Pungo River Formation cannot be excluded.

Diagnosis.-Alca olsoni is diagnosed from all other species of Alca in which the ulna is known (i.e., A. torda, A. stewarti, A. carolinensis, A. grandis) by the presence of a distinct ridge that borders the m. brachialis scar on the ventral surface of the proximal ulna (98:1). Associated specimens of A. minor and A. ausonia that would allow for referral of ulnae to these taxa, and evaluation of this proposed autapomorphy are not known. The ventral extension of this ridge along the m. brachialis scar makes the brachialis scar appear as a deep pit or fovea rather than the shallow depression that is characteristic of other Alcidae (Fig. 15). An additional proposed autapomorphy of A. olsoni is a furcular apophysis that is expanded ventrally but anteroposteriorly narrow (Fig. 15). This feature is not seen in any extant Alcidae, or in any extinct Alcidae for which these elements are known (i.e., A. torda and A. carolinensis). Although the ulnae of A. olsoni and A. carolinensis are morphologically distinct, the humeri of these species are similar in



FIG. 15. Holotype specimen of *Alca olsoni* (USNM 454590): (A) left humerus (posterior view), (B) right distal humerus (anterior view), (C) right radius (dorsal view), (D) right ulna (ventral view), (E) left coracoid (posteromedial view), (F) partial furcula (right lateral view), and (G) partial sternum (right lateral view). Anatomical abbreviations: bi = brachial impression, bs = brachialis scar, c = carina, cg = capital groove, clt = ventral collateral ligament tubercle, cs = coracoidal sulcus, ct = carpal tubercle, d = deltopectoral crest, dc = dorsal condyle, dcp = dorsal cotylar process, dsp = dorsal supracondylar process, fa = furcular apophysis, ff = furcular facet, fp = flexor process, fr = furcular ramus, hs = humerotricipital sulcus, ol = olecranon process, pc = procoracoid process, pf1 = primary pneumotricipital fossa, sa = sternal articular surface of coracoid, sc = supracoracoidal crest, sf = scapular facet, sr = sternal rostrum, ss = scapulotricipital sulcus, tsc = tendinal sulcus crest, vc = ventral condyle, vct = ventral cotyla, vst = ventral supracondylar tubercle, and vt = ventral tubercle.

both size and morphological characteristics (Table 4). However, A. olsoni is differentiated from A. carolinensis by the curvature of the sternal margin of the procoracoid process of the coracoid, which is convex (50:1) in the former but concave in the latter. The brachial tuberosity is less deeply undercut than that of A. stewarti and A. torda (41:1), and as in A. torda and A. grandis the medial end of the sternal articular surface of the coracoid curves posteriorly to form a ~90° angle in sternal view (52:2; ~135° in A. stewarti). On the basis of the greatest length of the humerus, A. olsoni is larger than A. grandis (~7%>), A. torda (~32%>), and A. minor (~63%>) and smaller than A. stewarti (~6.5%<; Table 4). The width of the humeral shaft of A. ausonia is ~17% smaller than that of A. olsoni.

Anatomical description.—The furcular apophysis and left furcular ramus distal to the omal extremity are preserved (Fig. 15). As in all other alcids and many other charadriiforms (e.g., Larus *marinus*), the furcular ramus is mediolaterally compressed. Unlike other *Alca* species for which the furcula is known (i.e., *A. torda, A. carolinensis*), the apophysis lacks either an anterior or posterior tubercle and is expanded further ventrally than in other *Alca*. These features were not observed to vary in specimens of extant *A. torda* examined.

The proximal sternum including the right coracoidal sulcus, medial portion of the left coracoidal sulcus, sternal rostrum, and anterior carina are preserved (Fig. 15). The posterior margins of the coracoidal sulci are bordered by a distinct ridge. This ridge is less distinct in *A. torda* and *A. carolinensis*. As in *A. stewarti* and *A. carolinensis*, the sulci broaden medially and nearly contact the sternal rostrum. The left coracoid lacks only the lateral process (Fig. 15) and is characterized by a rounded scapular cotyla, a triangular procoracoid process (square in *Alle*), and an ovoid m. supracoracoideus nerve foramen.

The complete left and distal right humeri are preserved (Fig. 15). The left humerus was collected in two pieces and repaired. A portion of the deltopectoral crest is missing. The depth of the m. coracobrachialis impression on the proximal humerus is shallower than in *Alca stewarti*, and the attachment scar of m. subcoracoideus on the posterior surface of the ventral tubercle is deeper than in *A. stewarti*. The distal margin of the humeral head in posterior view is slightly pointed, rather than rounded as in *A. torda*. The posterior tip of the ventral tubercle of *A. olsoni* is not ventrally deflected as in *A. stewarti*. All other morphological features of the humerus agree with those of *A. carolinensis*.

The right ulna is complete (Fig. 15). As in A. stewarti, the anterior margin of the dorsal cotylar prominence is straight, rather than rounded as in A. torda and A. carolinensis. A distinct ridge borders the m. brachialis scar on the anterior surface of the proximal ulna, which gives the m. brachialis scar a narrow, "eye-shaped" appearance and makes this feature appear as a deep pit rather than a shallow scar. This conformation is not seen in any other Alca from which the ulna is known (e.g., A. torda, A. stewarti, and A. carolinensis). This ridge along the anterior margin of the m. brachialis scar is as wide as the muscle scar and results in an anteriorly curving bulge along the length of the m. brachialis scar. Interestingly, this condition resembles that of Mancalla emlongi Olson, 1981, a flightless alcid that is not hypothesized to be closely related to Alca (Chandler 1990, Smith 2011). As in other Alca, the shaft of the ulna is dorsoventrally compressed. The posterior margin of the dorsal condyle just distal to its contact with the ulnar shaft is rounded, whereas the contact with the shaft is more angular in *A. torda* and *A.* stewarti. The intercondylar sulcus is more deeply incised than in *A. carolinensis*.

The complete right radius is preserved (Fig. 15). Unlike the condition in *A. carolinensis*, the bicipital tubercle contacts the ligamental papilla. As in other Alcidae, the shaft of the radius is dorsoventrally compressed and tapered to a distinct crest along the anterior margin. The distal tendinal sulcus is divided lengthwise into two distinct sulci by a distinct crest, a character shared by all Alcini taxa except *Alle alle* and *Miocepphus bohaski*.

DISCUSSION

The identification of three new species of *Alca* brings the total number of *Alca* species known

from the western Atlantic Ocean to seven, making Alca the most speciose clade of Atlantic alcids and the most species-rich clade of Pliocene Atlantic seabirds known. Analytical results indicate that fossil remains from the Bone Valley Formation previously referred to 'Australca grandis' represent three species of Alca (A. grandis, A. torda, and A. ausonia), and that Alca fossil material from the eastern Atlantic Ocean represents at least four species (A. ausonia, A. grandis, A. stewarti, and A. carolinensis or A. olsoni). However, A. minor may also be present in the Pliocene of the Netherlands (E. Wijnker pers. comm.). The inclusion of these extinct Alca species and additional extinct Alcini species in a phylogenetic analysis supports the monophyly of Alca and its sister taxon Pinguinus, and also supports placement of Alle alle nested within Miocepphus. Additionally, assessment of Alca paleodiversity with respect to latitude reveals an apparent trend of higher Alca diversity at higher latitudes that is consistent with the distribution of extant alcids.

Known diversity of extinct Atlantic alcids now approaches that of extinct Pacific alcids (about 16–19 species ranging from Miocene to Pleistocene age from each ocean basin). Hypotheses concerning Pacific ancestral origination of alcids based on proposed greater Pacific species diversity should accordingly be reevaluated. Extant diversity in the Pacific may be a poor indicator of origination area, and the two oldest known alcid fossils are both from Atlantic deposits (Wetmore 1940, Chandler and Parmley 2002, Wijnker and Olson 2009). Regardless of the ancestral area of the clade, similarly diverse lineages of alcids inhabited the eastern and western coasts of North America during the Pliocene, given that at least six species of flightless Mancallinae (Aves, Pan-Alcidae) lineage are known from approximately coeval Early Pliocene deposits of California and Mexico (Smith 2011). In comparison, the five species of auklets (Aethia cristatella, A. pusilla, A. psittacula, A. pygmaea, and Ptychoramphus aleuticus) are the most speciose clade of extant alcids and are restricted to the Pacific Ocean basin (del Hoyo et al. 1996).

The possibility that all of the *Alca* species from North Carolina contemporaneously shared overlapping ranges is consistent with data on the ranges of extant alcids (e.g., auklets; del Hoyo et al. 1996). Depositional duration of the Yorktown Formation is estimated at approximately 1 million years (3.7– 4.8 Ma; Hazel 1983), but there is little information on the relative stratigraphic occurrence of *Alca* remains within the deposit. Considering that the only *Alca* fossils from Middle to Late Pleistocene and younger deposits are referred to the extant species A. torda (Tyrberg 1998, Harrison and Stewart 1999), extinction of the other six species of Alca is inferred to have taken place during the last 2 million years of the Pliocene (i.e., sometime between the end of Yorktown Formation deposition and the beginning of the Pleistocene). However, there are no records of Alca from the Early Pleistocene (Tyrberg 1998), and whether there is unrecognized Alca diversity among Middle and Late Pleistocene fossils merits further investigation. At least one species of the flightless Pacific taxon Mancalla survived until the Pleistocene (Howard 1976), and Pinguinus, the sister taxon of Alca, was driven to extinction by humans less than 200 years ago (Bengston 1984). Middle and Late Pliocene records of Alca and Pinguinus that would potentially elucidate whether decreases in diversity were gradual or abrupt (owing to paleoclimatic changes in the Late Pliocene) are currently limited to the reported presence of A. ausonia from the Late Pliocene (~2.5 Ma) of Morocco (Mourer-Chauviré and Geraads 2010).

Potential biases including sample size, collection bias, ontogeny, sexual dimorphism, and intraspecific size variation were considered. Sample size does not present a potential bias because the morphometric method described here is not negatively affected by small sample size. Although larger specimens are more likely to be seen and collected, the unusually large sample size used in the present study, compounded by specimens having been sampled from the North Carolina locality over a ~30-year period, should negate any potential effect of collection bias. Ontogeny is not a complicating factor with respect to this analysis because only specimens assessed as adult on the basis of degree of ossification (Chapman 1965) were included in our study. Extant alcids do not display statistically significant degrees of sexual dimorphism in their size, plumage, or osteological morphology (Storer 1952, Nettleship and Birkhead 1985, Székely et al. 2000). Thus, we can reasonably assume that extinct alcids were also not sexually dimorphic, given that the proposed sister taxon of Alcidae, the Stercorariidae (Ericson et al. 2003, Thomas et al. 2004, Baker et al. 2007, Pereira and Baker 2008, Smith 2011), as well as the closely related Laridae, are also not sexually dimorphic.

Small degrees of intraspecific size variation owing to latitude have been previously documented among alcid species (Storer 1952, Spring 1971, Moen 1991, Burness and Montevecchi 1992). However, as noted by Olson and Rasmussen (2001), the possibility that the Aurora, North Carolina, locality may have served as a wintering ground for multiple geographic races (i.e., subspecies with geographically variable size) cannot be ruled out. Although there are clear differences in size between Alca species, size alone is not a sufficiently robust criterion to diagnose a species (discussed by Stewart 2002, 2007). Rather, statistically determined size classes were examined to determine shared morphological characteristics that could be used to diagnose or differentiate species. Additionally, the ranges of size documented for statistically supported clusters of fossils are consistent with those estimated for extant alcids. Inherent in this statement is the assumption that the range of size variation within Alca species has not evolved, such as that documented in Lagopus by Stewart (2007).

The relationships recovered among Alca, although partially unresolved, suggest that intermediate body size would be optimized as pleisiomorphic for Alca, with more derived (i.e., much larger and much smaller) forms such as *A*. stewarti (estimated body mass = 1,941 g; Martin et al. 2001) and the smaller A. minor nested within the clade. Alca torda is also smaller (average body mass = 707 g; del Hoyo et al. 1996) than more basally recovered Alca species (Table 4). Among Alca, fossils representing A. stewarti and A. minor are the most rare. However, the possibility that these species, which represent extremes of body size, may have been more common in other localities should be considered. The range of *Alca* sizes recovered in distinct localities may reflect the same factors interpreted as driving size variation in extant seabirds, such as competition for nesting sites and niche partitioning (Ainley 1990). "If coevolution is indeed the process that structures seabird communities, it appears that coexistence of species is greatly advanced through adjustment of body size" (Ainley 1990:362).

The systematic position of *Uria brodkorbi* as the sister to extant *U. aalge* and *U. lomvia* is consistent with the Miocene age of that taxon and the lack of other more recent fossils referred to that clade. Additionally, *U. affinis* Marsh, 1872 (ANSP 13358) from the Pleistocene of Maine lacks any characters that differentiate it from modern *Uria* and may represent a Pleistocene occurrence of one of those modern forms.

The systematic placement of *Alle alle* nested within *Miocepphus* as the sister taxon of *M. mergulellus* may provide an explanation for the widely varying previous hypotheses regarding the affinities of *Alle*. Based on the age of *Miocepphus bohaski* Wijnker and Olson, 2009, the split between the lineages leading to *Uria* and *Alle* occurred at least 20 mya. Much like *Alca torda, Alle alle* is the only surviving member of a clade including at least four additional extinct species. The inclusion of these extinct congeners (i.e., *Miocepphus*) results in a phylogenetic hypothesis that partially explains the unique suite of characters present in *Alle* and *Miocepphus* with respect to other Alcini. These characters that may have misled previous analyses, and the systematic position of *Alle*, can now be viewed in evolutionary context.

Pliocene *Alca* diversity, when evaluated with respect to latitude, evidently declined in more southern localities. Yorktown Formation deposits from North Carolina (~35°23'N latitude; Fig. 1) record the presence of all seven species of *Alca*, whereas the similarly sampled deposits from the Bone Valley Formation of Florida (~27°45'N latitude) record the presence of only three species. Although age estimates for the Bone Valley Formation of Florida are slightly older (4.5–5.2 Ma) than those for the Yorktown Formation (Hulbert 2001), whether the decreased diversity represented by the Bone Valley assemblage is a function of the different age of the deposits, the

geographic range of Alca paleospecies, or a latitudinal species diversity gradient is not known. Although Alca fossil localities in Europe are not as heavily sampled as those in North America, the same apparent pattern is observed in the Eastern Atlantic. Four species of Alca are known from deposits in Belgium (Martin et al. 2001, Dyke and Walker 2005; 51°15'N latitude), whereas only a single species has been reported from Italy and Morocco (Portis 1888, Mourer-Chauviré and Garaads 2010; ~43°N latitude). The apparent pattern of lower Alca diversity in southerly latitudes observed in the occurrence of Alca fossils is consistent with the latitudinal distribution of extant alcids (Fig. 16). Only 4 of the 23 extant alcid species have ranges that extend below the Tropic of Cancer (i.e., below 23.5°N latitude). The ranges of Cepphus carbo and Synthliboramphus antiquus in the Western Pacific Ocean extend south to the Ryuku Islands, and the ranges of S. hypoleucus and S. craveri in the Eastern Pacific Ocean extend just south of the southern tip of Baja California (del Hoyo et al. 1996). Confirmation of this same trend of higher diversity in northern latitudes among other extinct alcids will require further study. Just as extant alcid distribution is closely



FIG. 16. Latitudinal ranges of extant alcids (ranges based on del Hoyo et al. 1996). Note that the ranges of only four species extend south of the Tropic of Cancer and that overall species diversity decreases in higher and lower latitudes.

associated with nutrient-rich, cold-water upwelling ocean systems, the apparent latitudinal diversity trend observed in *Alca* may represent changes in the abundance of feeding opportunities at different geographic locations at different points in geologic time.

Pelagic seabirds such as alcids are an excellent proxy for the study of interactions between the marine and terrestrial environments because they depend on the ocean for sustenance but must return to shore to reproduce. Changes in climate that affect sea level have potential to influence the availability of suitable breeding sites, and changes in ocean temperatures have potential to influence the distribution and availability of food. As such, it has often been hypothesized that radiations and extinctions of pelagic seabirds are linked with climatic changes that are commonly the result of large-scale geologic processes. Potential environmental drivers of alcid evolution have been extensively discussed (Warheit 1992a, Allmon et al. 1996, Emslie 1998, Olson and Rasmussen 2001, Smith et al. 2007, Pereira and Baker 2008, Smith 2011) and include (1) the Mid-Miocene Climatic Optimum (MMCO; ~11-16 mya) that may have been a factor in radiation of Alcidae; (2) reorganization of ocean currents after the final emergence of the Panamanian Isthmus and closure of the Central American Seaway (~2.6 mya), which resulted in changes in salinity, temperature, and pelagic invertebrate fauna that may have negatively affected Pliocene alcid diversity (Warheit 1992a, Allmon et al. 1996, Bartoli et al. 2005, Head et al. 2008, Sarnthein et al. 2009); (3) periods of Pleistocene glaciation and environmental change possibly affecting distribution and diversity in Alcidae (Warheit 1992a, Emslie 1998). El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events also have a documented record of impacts on extant seabird populations (Hatch 1987, Duffy et al. 1988, Ainley 1990, Hyrenbach and Veit 2003), and recent research indicates that ENSO-like conditions may have existed in the Pliocene (Ravelo et al. 2006). However, evidence of short-term oceanic disturbances is rare in the fossil record (Emslie and Morgan 1994, Emslie et al. 1996), and known alcid-bearing deposits do not appear to be death assemblages. Comparison of alcid evolutionary history with the timing of the MMCO and with the rising and lowering of sea level in relation to the Panamanian Isthmus will require resolution of phylogenetic relationships of extinct alcid taxa and estimation of clade origins based on reliably dated and phylogenetically evaluated alcid and

other charadriiform fossils. Unfortunately, the fossil record of the clade so far lacks sufficient resolution to test hypotheses on the scale of glacial cycles and ENSO events.

However, the absence of Alca from Pliocene Pacific Ocean deposits may not be linked to geological or climatic factors. Colonization of the Pacific Ocean by Alca may have been prevented by the occupation of wing-propelled diving niches (Volterra 1926, Hardin 1960, Levin 1970) by other relatively diverse clades of alcids (e.g., Mancalla, Uria; Howard 1976, 1981, 1982) regardless of the potential for dispersal through ocean passages. Although potential drivers of alcid radiation may be difficult to identify, the profound worldwide climate change that accompanied the final emergence of the Panamanian Isthmus (Sarnthein et al. 2009) offers a potential explanation for the significant decrease in Pliocene Alca diversity as compared with extant diversity in the clade. The negative impact of this climatic transition on other marine organisms is well documented (Versteegh 1997, Kameo and Sato 2000, Bartoli et al. 2005). Furthermore, the timing of the disappearance of Alca from the coast of Florida (3.5–2.5 mya) coincides with oceanographic changes linked with the emergence of the Panamanian Isthmus and associated decreases in biological productivity (Allmon et al. 1996). The extant Razorbill Auk Alca torda is recognized as the only survivor of a once diverse lineage of seabirds with a fossil record extending back to the Miocene. The timing and pattern of radiation, extinction, range retraction, and the absence of *Alca* in the Pacific Ocean warrant further investigation.

Body size in extant alcids has been correlated with dive depth and feeding ecology (Prince and Harris 1988, Watanuki and Burger 1999), and moderate body size in extant alcids has been associated with more generalist feeding strategies (e.g., moderately sized Cepphus commonly prey on both vertebrates and invertebrates; Bradstreet and Brown 1985). Thus, the size range observed in extinct Alca species may indicate a similar partitioning of resources related to differences in prey items available at varying depths. In a recent study, Gaston and Woo (2008) proposed that A. torda is capable of rapidly adapting to environmental changes that affect its food supply by expanding and contracting its breeding and foraging range. Compared with smaller (i.e., A. minor) and larger (e.g., A. stewarti) congeners, the more moderate body size of A. torda may be linked to its apparently enhanced ability to

respond to environmental changes both past and present. Termed "variability selection" by Potts (1998), this mechanism of adaptation to relatively frequent environmental perturbations offers a potential explanation for the adaptive ability of extant *A. torda* and may have played a role in its differential survival compared with its congeners. The presence of three relatively moderately sized species of *Alca* from the southernmost known *Alca* fossil locality (i.e., *A. torda, A. ausonia,* and *A. grandis* from the Bone Valley Formation) and the absence of larger and smaller congeners (e.g., *A. minor* and *A. stewarti*) in that locality may also be a reflection of adaptive advantage of moderately sized *Alca*.

The geographic ranges and feeding ecology of extant seabirds that are changing rapidly in response to the current global warming trend (Kitaysky and Golubova 2000, Hyrenbach and Veit 2003, Gaston and Woo 2008) may be best contextualized by insight from the past. Knowledge of extinct seabird diversity can have direct influence on our interpretation of the severity of these changes in extant seabird populations. Additional investigation of changes in extant seabird populations can facilitate a more detailed understanding of the processes that may have affected seabird diversity in the past. Increased global warming and its future effects on seabird diversity might be used as a corollary for conditions experienced by Pliocene alcids because global warming and cooling can both contribute to range retraction and reduced nesting site and prey availability. Just as the rise of the Panamanian Isthmus disrupted nutrient-rich cold-water upwelling in the Atlantic Ocean that Pliocene alcids would have depended on for food, the increased ocean temperatures associated with global warming may result in northward retraction of ranges and similar disruption of seabird food supplies and reproduction. Declines in seabird populations related to ocean warming have already been documented (Hyrenbach and Veit 2003). The combination of an evermore detailed understanding of extant avian ecology with new paleontological discoveries offers the potential for new insights into the effects of climate change on avian evolution and seabird conservation.

Conclusions

The resolution of phylogenetic relationships is facilitated by the referral of previously unknown skeletal elements to species, making this method a potentially valuable tool when traditional morphology-only based methods of specimen referral are complicated by large quantities of isolated or fragmentary or morphologically similar specimens. However, the application of this method to fossil data is predicated on the identification of statistically robust recovery of species groups based on measurements of extant analogues, and on determination of phylogenetically contextualized size variances in extant analogues. Although the application of this combined morphometric and morphologic method to fossils without extant analogues (e.g., non-avian dinosaurs) is not recommended, application of this method to other fossil remains with extant analogues (e.g., turtles, crocodilians, mammals, squamates) may provide a refined assessment of diversity in those clades. Additionally, the potential ability to accurately cluster small numbers of specimens may be of use when dealing with fossil taxa known from limited quantities of material. The application of this method to other speciose clades within Alcidae (e.g., Mancalla) or to other birds may also provide new insights into the diversity of those clades, and the mensural data reported herein from both extant and extinct Alca is a resource that might be used to explore additional morphometric parameters (e.g., allometry) in Alcidae.

The phylogenetic hypothesis of Alcini relationships presented here represents the most inclusive sampling of this clade to date and provides evolutionary context for further studies involving ecological interactions among Alcini species, other alcids, other seabirds, and other marine organisms. The results of the combined phylogenetic analysis of morphological and molecular sequence data demonstrate the value of fossils in resolving systematic relationships among both extant and extinct organisms. The reduction in species diversity in both Alca and Miocepphus, which has left only a single extant representative of each of these clades, may provide compelling examples of extinction related to climatic changes that could have bearing on the plight of seabirds in the face of current global warming and pressures from overfishing. Evaluation of the potential relationship between alcid extinctions and paleoclimatic changes will require divergence estimation for Pan-Alcidae utilizing rigorously dated and phylogenetically analyzed fossils, such as Alca carolinensis.

Detailed evaluation of fossil Pan-Alcidae has resulted in estimates of species richness that continue to increase (Olson and Rasmussen 2001, Warheit 2002, Wijnker and Olson 2009, Smith 2011). Diverse assemblages of alcids are now known from the Pliocene of the Atlantic (Olson and Rasmussen 2001), the Miocene of the Atlantic (Wijnker and Olson 2009), and the Pliocene of the Pacific (Howard 1982, Smith 2011). The combined morphometric and morphological-character-based method provides quantification of previously hypothesized (Olson and Rasmussen 2001) high diversity in Alca. Recent evaluation of fossil Alcidae from the Miocene of the Pacific also indicates that additional species richness is present (Smith 2008, 2011). The relative species richness of fossil Pan-Alcidae from numerous localities at different points in geological history (Warheit 2002) and the relatively high species diversity of extant Alcidae (n = 23 species) may reflect a pattern of high species richness through time. Heavy sampling and thorough evaluation of alcid fossil localities continue to result in recognition of new species. However, study of additional alcidfossil-producing localities from undersampled temporal intervals is needed to determine whether these "snapshots" of alcid species diversity reflect a real trend in alcid population dynamics or whether this apparent trend is the result of sampling bias.

Acknowledgments

We thank C. Bell, D. Ksepka, T. Rowe, J. Sprinkle, and the editors and reviewers for their comments on this manuscript; S. Snyder and L. Fuller for microfaunal analysis; B. Wiegmann and M. Schweitzer for helpful suggestions; P. Brinkman, B. Desjardins, J. Gerwin, D. Pratt, and V. Schneider at NCSM; D. Bohaska, J. Dean, M. Florence, B. Purdy, and C. Ray at USNM; N. Gilmore at ANSP; M. Martín at ACPM; R. Hulbert at FMNH; S. Chapman at NHM; M. Goodwin and P. Holroyd at UCMP; S. McLeod at LACM; B. Chandler at GCVP; A. Sanchez-Marco, M. Bertone, C. Boyd, A. DeBee, D. Eddy, J. Greene, D. Lawver, S. Nesbitt, and J. Stewart for advice and productive conversations; E. Wijnker for kindly sharing data; K. Browne for drafting the cover artwork; PCS Phosphate Mine for allowing continued collection of fossils from this important site; and R. Ison and all of the other dedicated collectors who have donated specimens for study. Special thanks to S. Olson and L. Hayek who provided valuable guidance to N.A.S. during the initial phase of this project. Financial support from the Smithsonian Institution Office of Fellowships, the Geological Society of America, and the Frank M. Chapman Memorial Fund to N.A.S. is gratefully acknowledged. This project was also funded by National Science Foundation grant DEB 0949897, "Collaborative research: Wings to flippers-Phylogenetics, character acquisition, and feather biomechanics in the evolution of wing-propelled diving" to J.A.C.

LITERATURE CITED

- AINLEY, D. G. 1990. Farallon seabirds: Patterns at the community level. Pages 349–380 *in* Seabirds of the Farallon Islands (D. G. Ainley and R. J. Boekelheide, Eds.). Stanford University Press, Stanford, California.
- ALLMON, W. D., S. D. EMSLIE, D. S. JONES, AND G. S. MORGAN. 1996. Late Neogene oceanographic change along Florida's west coast: Evidence and mechanisms. Journal of Geology 104:143–162.
- AMERICAN ORNITHOLOGISTS' UNION. 1998. Check-list of North American Birds, 7th ed. American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C.
- BAKER, A. J., S. L. PEREIRA, AND T. A. PATON. 2007. Phylogenetic relationships and divergence times of Charadriiformes genera: Multigene evidence for the Cretaceous origin of at least 14 clades of shorebirds. Biology Letters 3:205–210.
- BARTOLI, G., M. SARNTHEIN, M. WEINELT, H. ERLEN-KEUSER, D. GARBE-SCHÖNBERG, AND D. W. LEA. 2005. Final closure of Panama and the onset of Northern Hemisphere glaciation. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 237:33–44.
- BAUMEL, J. J., AND L. WITMER. 1993. Osteologica. Pages 45–132 *in* Handbook of Avian Anatomy: Nomina Anatomica Avium, 2nd ed. (J. J. Baumel, A. S. King, J. E. Breazile, H. E. Evans, and J. C. Vanden Berge, Eds.). Publications of the Nuttall Ornithology Club, no. 23.
- BEDDARD, F. E. 1898. The Structure and Classification of Birds. Longmans Green, New York.
- BENGSTON, S. A. 1984. Breeding ecology and extinction of the Great Auk (*Pinguinus impennis*): Anecdotal evidence and conjectures. Auk 101:1–12.
- BERGGREN, W. A., F. J. HILGEN, C. G. LANGEREIS, D. V. KENT, J. D. OBRADOVICH, I. RAFFI, M. E. RAYMO, AND N. J. SHACKLETON. 1995. Late Neogene chronology: New perspectives in high-resolution stratigraphy. Geological Society of America Bulletin 107:1272–1287.
- BRADSTREET, M. S. W., AND R. G. B. BROWN. 1985. Feeding ecology of the Atlantic Alcidae. Pages 263–318 in The Atlantic Alcidae: The Evolution, Distribution, and Biology of the Auks Inhabiting the Atlantic Ocean and Adjacent Water Areas (D. N. Nettleship and T. R. Birkhead, Eds.). Academic Press, London.
- BRODKORB, P. 1955. The avifauna of the Bone Valley Formation. Florida Geological Survey Report of Investigations, no. 14.
- BRODKORB, P. 1967. Catalogue of fossil birds: Part 3 (Ralliformes, Ichthyornithiformes, Charadriiformes). Bulletin of the Florida State Museum, Biological Sciences 11:99–220.
- BURNESS, G. P., AND W. A. MONTEVECCHI. 1992. Oceanographic-related variation in the bone sizes of extinct Great Auks. Polar Biology 11:545–551.

- CHANDLER, R. 1990. Phylogenetic analysis of the alcids. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Kansas, Lawrence.
- CHANDLER, R. M., AND D. PARMLEY. 2002. The earliest North American record of an auk (Aves: Alcidae) from the Late Eocene of central Georgia. Oriole 68:7–9.
- CHAPMAN, W. L. 1965. Appearance of ossification centers and epiphyseal closures as determined by radiographic techniques. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 147:138–141.
- CHU, P. C. 1998. A phylogeny of the gulls (Aves: Larinae) inferred from osteological and integumentary characters. Cladistics 14:1–43.
- COUES, E. 1868. A monograph of the Alcidae. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 13:215–257.
- DAWSON, W. 1920. An oological revision of the Alciformes. Journal of the Museum of Comparative Oology 1:7–14.
- DEL HOYO, J., A. ELLIOTT, AND J. SARGATAL, EDS. 1996. Handbook of the Birds of the World, vol. 3: Hoatzins to Auks. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, Spain.
- DEMÉRÉ, T. A. 1982. Review of the lithostratigraphy, biostratigraphy, and age of the San Diego Formation. Geologic Studies in San Diego 1982:127–134.
- DOMNING, D. P., AND T. A. DÉMÉRE. 1984. New material of *Hydrodamilis cuestae* (Mammalia: Dugonidae) from the Miocene and Pliocene of San Diego County, California. Transactions of the San Diego Society of Natural History 20:169–188.
- DUFFY, D. C., W. E. ARNTZ, H. T. SERPA, P. D. BOERSMA, AND R. L. NORTON. 1988. A comparison of the effects of El Niño and the Southern Oscillation on birds in Peru and the Atlantic Ocean. Pages 1740–1746 *in* Acta XIX Congressus Internationalis Ornithologici (H. Ouellet, Ed.). National Museum of Natural Sciences, University of Ottawa Press, Ottawa.
- DUMONT, M. P., AND J. A. BARRON. 1995. Diatom biochronology of the Sisquoc Formation in the Santa Maria basin, California, and its paleoceanographic and tectonic implications. *In* Evolution of Sedimentary Basins/Onshore Oil and Gas Investigations: Santa Maria Province (M. A. Keller, Ed.). U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1995-K.
- DYKE, G. J., AND C. A. WALKER. 2005. New records of fossil birds from the Pliocene of Kallo, Belgium. Nues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläeontologie 200:233–247.
- EMSLIE, S. D. 1998. Avian community, climate, and sealevel changes in the Plio-Pleistocene of the Florida peninsula. Ornithological Monographs, no. 50.
- EMSLIE, S. D., W. D. ALLMON, F. J. RICH, J. H. WRENN, AND S. D. DE FRANCE. 1996. Integrated taphonomy of an avian death assemblage in marine sediments from the late Pliocene of Florida. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 124:107–136.

- EMSLIE, S. D., AND G. S. MORGAN. 1994. A catastrophic death assemblage and paleoclimatic implications of Pliocene seabirds of Florida. Science 264:684–685.
- ERICSON, P. G. P., I. ENVALL, M. IRESTEDT, AND J. A. NOR-MAN. 2003. Inter-familial relationships of the shorebirds (Aves: Charadriiformes) based on nuclear DNA sequence data. BMC Evolutionary Biology 3:16.
- FAIN, M. G., AND P. HOUDE. 2007. Multilocus perspectives on the monophyly and phylogeny of the order Charadriiformes (Aves). BMC Evolutionary Biology 7:35.
- FRIESEN, V. L., A. J. BAKER, AND J. F. PIATT. 1996. Phylogenetic relationships within the Alcidae (Charadriiformes: Aves) inferred from total molecular evidence. Molecular Biology and Evolution 13:359– 367.
- FÜRBRINGER, M. 1888. Untersuchungen zur Morphologie und Systematic der Vogel. T.J. Van Holkema, Amsterdam.
- GADOW, H. 1892. On the classification of birds. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 1892:229– 256.
- GASTON, A. J., AND K. WOO. 2008. Razorbills (Alca torda) follow subarctic prey into the Canadian Arctic: Colonization results from climate change. Auk 125:939–942.
- GIBSON, T. G. 1967. Stratigraphy and paleoenvironment of the phosphatic Miocene strata of North Carolina. Bulletin of the Geological Society of America 78:631–650.
- GIBSON, T. G. 1983. Stratigraphy of Miocene through lower Pleistocene strata of the United States central Atlantic coastal plain. Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology 53:35–80.
- GMELIN, J. F. 1789. Systema Naturae, per regna tria natura: Secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis, 13th ed. Tome 1, pars II:501–1032.
- GRADSTEIN, F. M., J. G. OGG, AND A. G. SMITH, EDS. 2004. A Geologic Timescale 2004. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- GYSELS, H., AND M. RABAEY. 1964. Taxonomic relationships of *Alca torda*, *Fratercula arctica*, and *Uria aalge* as revealed by biochemical methods. Ibis 106:536– 540.
- HARDIN, G. 1960. The competitive exclusion principle. Science 131:1292–1297.
- HARRISON, C. J. O., AND J. R. STEWART. 1999. The bird remains. Pages 187–196 *in* The Middle Pleistocene Site at ARC Eartham Quarry, Boxgrove, West Sussex (M. B. Roberts and S. A. Parfitt, Eds.). English Heritage Monograph Series 16, London.
- HATCH, S. A. 1987. Did the 1982–1983 El Niño-Southern Oscillation affect seabirds in Alaska? Wilson Bulletin 99:468–474.
- HAZEL, J. 1983. Age and correlation of the Yorktown (Pliocene) and Croatan (Pliocene and Pleistocene)

Formations at the Lee Creek Mine. Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology 53:81–200.

- HEAD, M. J., P. GIBBARD, AND A. SALVADOR. 2008. The Quaternary: Its character and definition. Episodes 31:234–238.
- HERMAN, J., M. CROCHARD, AND M. GIRADOT. 1974. Quelques restes de sélaciens récoltés dans les sables du Kattendijk á Kallo. Bulletin de la Societe Belge de Geologie de Paleontologie et d'Hydrologie (Bruxelles) 83:15–31.
- HOWARD, H. 1929. The avifauna of Emeryville shellmound. University of California Publications in Zoology 32:301–394.
- HOWARD, H. 1968. Tertiary birds from Laguna Hills, Orange County, California. Los Angeles County Museum Contributions in Science 142:1–21.
- HOWARD, H. 1976. A new species of flightless auk from the Miocene of California (Alcidae: Mancallinae). Pages 141–146 *in* Collected Papers in Avian Paleontology Honoring the 90th Birthday of Alexander Wetmore (S. L. Olson, Ed.). Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology, no. 27.
- HOWARD, H. 1981. A new species of murre, genus Uria, from the late Miocene of California (Aves: Alcidae). Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Sciences 80:1–12.
- HOWARD, H. 1982. Fossil birds from the Tertiary marine beds at Oceanside, San Diego County, California; with descriptions of two new species of the genera Uria and Cepphus (Aves: Alcidae). Natural History Museum of Los Angles County Contributions in Science 341:1–15.
- HULBERT, R. C., JR., ED. 2001. The Fossil Vertebrates of Florida. University Press of Florida, Gainsville.
- HUXLEY, T. 1867. On the classification of birds; and on the taxonomic value of the modifications of certain of the cranial bones observable in that class. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 1867:415–472.
- HYRENBACH, K. D., AND R. R. VEIT. 2003. Ocean warming and seabird communities of the southern California current system (1987–98): Response at multiple temporal scales. Deep Sea Research II 50:2537–2565.
- KAMEO, K., AND T. SATO. 2000. Biogeography of Neogene calcareous nannofossils in the Caribbean and the eastern equatorial Pacific—Floral response to the emergence of the Isthmus of Panama. Marine Micropaleontology 39:201–218.
- KAUFMAN, L., AND P. J. ROUSSEEUW. 1990. Finding Groups in Data: An Introduction to Cluster Analysis. Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- KITAYSKY, A. S., AND E. G. GOLUBOVA. 2000. Climate change causes contrasting trends in reproductive performance of planktivorous and piscivorous alcids. Journal of Animal Ecology 69:248–262.
- LEACH, W. E. 1820. Eleventh room. Pages 65–68 in Synopsis of the Contents of the British Museum, 17th ed. Taylor, London.

- LEVIN, S. A. 1970. Community equilibria and stability, and an extension of the competitive exclusion principle. American Naturalist 104:413–423.
- LINNAEUS, C. 1758. Systema Naturae per regna tria Naturae: Secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis, 10th ed. 1:1–823.
- LIVEZEY, B. C. 1988. Morphometrics of flightlessness in the Alcidae. Auk 105:681–698.
- LIVEZEY, B. C. 1989. Morphometric patterns in recent and fossil penguins (Aves, Sphenisciformes). Journal of the Zoological Society of London 219:269–307.
- LUCAS, F. A. 1890. The expedition to Funk Island, with observations upon the history and anatomy of the Great Auk. U.S. National Museum Reports 1887– 1888:493–529.
- MADDISON, D. R., AND W. P. MADDISON. 2005. Mac-Clade, version 4.08. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- MARSH, O. C. 1870. Notice of some fossil birds from the Cretaceous and Tertiary Formations of the United States. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 1870:205–217.
- MARSH, O. C. 1872. Notice of some new Tertiary and post-Tertiary birds. American Journal of Science, Series 3, 4:256–262.
- MARTIN, J. W. R., C. A. WALKER, R. BONSER, AND G. J. DYKE. 2001. A new species of large auk from the Pliocene of Belgium. Oryctos 3:53–60.
- MAY, R. M., J. H. LAWTON, AND N. E. STORK. 1995. Assessing extinction rates. Pages 1–24 in Extinction Rates (J. H. Lawton and R. M. May, Eds.). Oxford University Press, New York.
- MOEN, S. M. 1991. Morphologic and genetic variation among breeding colonies of the Atlantic Puffin (*Fratercula arctica*). Auk 108:755–763.
- MOUM, T., U. ARNASON, AND E. ÁRNASON. 2002. Mitochondrial DNA sequence evolution and phylogeny of the Atlantic Alcidae, including the extinct Great Auk (*Pinguinus impennis*). Molecular Biology and Evolution 19:1434–1439.
- MOUM, T., N. P. WILLASSEN, AND S. JOHANSEN. 1994. Intragenic rearrangements in the mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase subunit 6 gene of vertebrates. Current Genetics 25:554–557.
- MOURER-CHAUVIRÉ, C., AND D. GERAADS. 2010. The upper Pliocene avifauna of Ahl al Oughlam, Morocco: Systematics and biogeography. Records of the Australian Museum 62:157–184.
- NETTLESHIP, D. N., AND T. R. BIRKHEAD, EDS. 1985. The Atlantic Alcidae: The Evolution, Distribution, and Biology of the Auks Inhabiting the Atlantic Ocean and Adjacent Water Areas. Academic Press, London.
- OGG, J. G., G. OGG, AND F. M. GRADSTEIN. 2008. The Concise Geologic Time Scale. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- OLSON, S. L. 1977. A Great Auk, *Pinguinis* (sic), from the Pliocene of North Carolina (Aves: Alcidae).

Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 90:690–697.

- OLSON, S. L. 1981. A third species of *Mancalla* from the late Pliocene San Diego Formation of California (Aves: Alcidae). Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 1:97–99.
- OLSON, S. L. 1984. A brief synopsis of the fossil birds from the Pamunkey River and other Tertiary marine deposits in Virginia. Pages 217–223 *in* Stratigraphy and Paleontology of the Outcropping Tertiary Beds in the Pamunkey River Region, Central Virginia Coastal Plain (L. W. Ward and K. Krafft, Eds.). Atlantic Coastal Plain Geological Association, Norfolk, Virginia.
- OLSON, S. L. 1985. The fossil record of birds. Pages 79–252 *in* Avian Biology, vol. 8 (D. S. Farner, J. R. King, and K. C. Parkes, Eds.). Academic Press, Orlando, Florida.
- OLSON, S. L. 2007. *Alca antiqua* (Marsh, 1870), an invalid combination for a fossil auk (Aves: Alcidae). Bulletin of the British Ornithologists' Club 127:225.
- OLSON, S. L., AND P. RASMUSSEN. 2001. Miocene and Pliocene birds from the Lee Creek Mine, North Carolina. Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology 90:233–365.
- PATON, T. A., A. J. BAKER, J. G. GROTH, AND G. F. BAR-ROWCLOUGH. 2003. RAG-1 sequences resolve phylogenetic relationships within charadriiform birds. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 29:268– 278.
- PEREIRA, S. L., AND A. J. BAKER. 2008. DNA evidence for a Paleocene origin of the Alcidae (Aves: Charadriiformes) in the Pacific and multiple dispersals across northern oceans. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 46:430–445.
- POPENOE, P. 1985. Cenozoic depositional and structural history of the North Carolina margin from seismicstratigraphic analyses. *In* Geologic Evolution of the United States Atlantic Margin (C. W. Poag, Ed.). Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York.
- PORTIS, A. 1888. Contribuzioni alla ornitologica Italiana, parte II., Memorie della Reale Academia delle Scienze di Torino, Series 2, 38:181–203.
- PORTIS, A. 1891. Gli ornitoliti del vardono superiore e di alcune alter localita plioceniche di Toscana. Memorie del Istituto Superiore de Perfeziamento (Firenze) 1891:1–20.
- Portrs, R. 1998. Variability selection in hominid evolution. Evolutionary Anthropology 7(3):81–96.
- PRINCE, P. A., AND M. P. HARRIS. 1988. Food and feeding ecology of breeding Atlantic alcids and penguins. Pages 1195–1204 *in* Acta XIX Congressus Internationalis Ornithologici (H. Ouellet, Ed.). National Museum of Natural Sciences, University of Ottawa Press, Ottawa.
- PURDY, R., V. P. SCHNEIDER, S. P. APPLEGATE, J. H. MCLEL-LAN, R. L. MEYER, AND B. H. SLAUGHTER. 2001. The Neogene sharks, rays, and bony fishes from Lee

Creek Mine, Aurora, North Carolina. Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology 90:71–202.

- RAMBAUT, A. 2002. Se-Al, version 2.0a11. [Online.] Available at tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/seal.
- RAVELO, A. C., P. S. DEKENS, AND M. MCCARTHY. 2006. Evidence for El Niño-like conditions during the Pliocene. GSA Today 16:4–11.
- RAY, C. E., ED. 1983. Geology and Paleontology of the Lee Creek Mine, North Carolina, I. Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology, no. 53.
- RAY, C. E., ED. 1987. Geology and Paleontology of the Lee Creek Mine, North Carolina, II. Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology, no. 61.
- RAY, C. E., AND D. J. BOHASKA, EDS. 2001. Geology and Paleontology of the Lee Creek Mine, North Carolina, III. Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology, no. 90.
- RAY, C. E., D. J. BOHASKA, I. A. KORETSKY, L. A. WARD, AND L. G. BARNES, EDS. 2008. Geology and Paleontology of the Lee Creek Mine, North Carolina, IV. Virginia Museum of Natural History Special Publications, no. 14.
- RIDGWAY, R. 1919. The birds of North and Middle America. U.S. National Museum Bulletin, no. 50.
- RIGGS, S. R. 1984. Paleoceanographic model of Neogene phosphorite deposition, U.S. continental margin. Science 223:123–131.
- SALOMONSEN, F. 1944. The Atlantic Alcidae. Göteborgs Kungl. Vetenskaps-och Vitterhets—Samhälles Handlingar. Sjätte Följden, Series B, Band 3, no. 5.
- SANCHEZ-MARCO, A. 2003. A paleospecies of *Alca* (Aves, Charadriiformes) in the Pliocene of Spain. Nues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläeontologie 5:314–320.
- SARNTHEIN, M., M. PRANGE, A. SCHMITTNER, B. SCH-NEIDER, AND M. WEINELT. 2009. Mid-Pliocene shifts in ocean overturning circulation and the onset of Quaternary-style climates. Climates of the Past 5:251–285.
- SHUFELDT, R. W. 1915. Fossil birds in the Marsh collection of Yale University. Contributions from the Marsh Publication Fund, Peabody Museum, Yale University, no. 19.
- SMITH, N. A. 2007. Taxonomic revision of the Pliocene genus Alca (Aves, Alcidae): And a novel approach for assessing diversity among taxa known from isolated specimens. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology Supplement 27:148A–149A.
- SMITH, N. A. 2008. A new species of auk from the Miocene of California reveals morphological trends related to flightlessness within Alcidae. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology Supplement 28:145.
- SMITH, N. A. 2011. Taxonomic revision and phylogenetic analysis of the flightless Mancallinae (Aves, Pan-Alcidae). Zookeys 91:1–116.
- SMITH, N. A., S. L. OLSON, AND J. A. CLARKE. 2007. First Atlantic record of the horned puffin genus *Cerorhinca* (Aves, Alcidae) from the Pliocene of North Carolina. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 27:1039–1042.

- SNYDER, S. W., L. L. MAUGER, AND D. AMES. 2001. Benthic foraminifera and paleoecology of the Pliocene Yorktown and Chowan River Formations, Lee Creek Mine, North Carolina, USA. Journal of Foraminiferal Research 31:244–274.
- SPRING, L. 1971. A comparison of functional and morphological adaptations in the Common Murre (*Uria aalge*) and Thick-billed Murre (*Uria lomvia*). Condor 73:1–27.
- STEWART, J. R. 2002. The evidence for the timing of speciation of modern continental birds and the taxonomic ambiguity of the Quaternary fossil record. Pages 261–282 *in* Proceedings of the 5th Symposium of the Society of Avian Paleontology and Evolution (Z. Zhou and F. Zhang, Eds.). China Science Press, Beijing.
- STEWART, J. R. 2007. An evolutionary study of some archaeologically significant avian taxa in the Quaternary of the Western Palaearctic. BAR International Series, vol. 1653. Hadrian, Oxford, United Kingdom.
- STEWART, J. R., AND M. BEECH. 2006. The Miocene birds of Abu Dhabi (United Arab Emirates) with a discussion of the age of modern species and genera. Historical Biology 18:103–113.
- STORER, R. W. 1945. Structural modifications in the hind limb in the Alcidae. Ibis 87:433–456.
- STORER, R. W. 1952. A comparison of variation, behavior and evolution in the sea bird genera *Uria* and *Cepphus*. University of California Publications in Zoology 52:121–222.
- STORER, R. W. 1960. Evolution in the diving birds. Pages 694–707 in Proceedings of the Twelfth International Ornithological Congress (G. Bergman, K. O. Donner, and L. v. Haartman, Eds.). Tilgmannin Kirjapaino, Helsinki.
- STORER, R. W. 2001. A new Pliocene grebe from the Lee Creek deposits. Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology 90:227–231.
- STRAUCH, J. G., JR. 1978. The phylogeny of the Charadriiformes (Aves): A new estimate using the method of character compatibility analysis. Transactions of the Zoological Society of London 34:263–345.
- STRAUCH, J. G., JR. 1985. The phylogeny of the Alcidae. Auk 102:520–539.
- SWOFFORD, D. L. 2002. PAUP*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other Methods), version 4.0b10. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- SZÉKELY, T., J. D. REYNOLDS, AND J. FIGUEROLA. 2000. Sexual size dimorphism in shorebirds, gulls, and alcids: The influence of sexual and natural selection. Evolution 54:1404–1413.
- THOMAS, G. H., M. A. WILLS, AND T. SZÉKELY. 2004. Phylogeny of shorebirds, gulls and alcids (Aves: Charadrii) from the cytochrome-*b* gene: Parsimony,

Baysian inference, minimum evolution and quartet puzzling. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 30:516–526.

- THOMPSON, J. D., T. J. GIBSON, F. PLEWNIAK, F. JEAN-MOUGIN, AND D. G. HIGGINS. 1997. The ClustalX windows interface: Flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Research 24:4876–4882.
- TYRBERG, T. 1998. Pleistocene birds of the palearctic. Publications of the Nuttall Ornithological Club, no. 27.
- VERHEYEN, R. 1958. Contribution a la Systematique des Alciformes. Bulletin de l' Institut Royal des Sciences Naturalles de Belgique 34:1–15.
- VERSTEEGH, G. J. M. 1997. The onset of major Northern Hemisphere glaciation and their impact on dinoflagellate cysts and acritarchs from the Singa section, Calabria (southern Italy) and DSDP Holes 607/607A (North Atlantic). Marine Micropaleontology 30:319–343.
- VIGORS, N. 1825. Observations on the natural affinities that connect the orders and families of birds. Transactions of the Linnean Society of London 14:395–517.
- VOLTERRA, V. 1926. Variazione e fluttuazione del numero d'individui in specie animali conviventi. Memoirs of the Academe Nazionale Lincei Serial 6 2:31–113.
- VON DEN DRIESCH, A. 1976. A guide to the measurement of animal bones from archaeological sites. Peabody Museum Bulletin, no. 1.
- WARHEIT, K. I. 1992a. A review of the fossil seabirds from the Tertiary of the North Pacific: Plate tectonics, paleoceanography, and faunal change. Paleobiology 18:401–424.
- WARHEIT, K. I. 1992b. The role of morphometrics and cladistics in the taxonomy of fossils: A paleornithological example. Systematic Biology 41:345–369.
- WARHEIT, K. I. 2002. The seabird fossil record and the role of paleontology in understanding seabird community structure. Pages 17–55 *in* Biology of Marine Birds (E. A. Schrieber and J. Burger, Eds.). CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.
- WATANUKI, Y., AND A. E. BURGER. 1999. Body mass and dive duration in alcids and penguins. Canadian Journal of Zoology 77:1838–1842.
- WETMORE, A. 1940. Fossil bird remains from Tertiary deposits in the United States. Journal of Morphology 66:25–37.
- WIJNKER, E., AND S. L. OLSON. 2009. A revision of the fossil genus *Miocepphus* and other Miocene Alcidae (Aves: Charadriiformes) of the western North Atlantic Ocean. Journal of Systematic Palaeontology 7:471–487.
- WOODBURNE, M. O., AND C. C. SWISHER III. 1995. Land mammal high-resolution geochronology, intercontinental overland dispersals, sea level, climate, and vicariance. Society for Sedimentary Geology (SEPM) Special Publication 54:335–364.

TAXONOMIC REVISION OF ALCA

APPENDIX 1. Measurements of extant alcid species (mm; - = measurements missing because of damage or missing elements; all measurements according to von den Driesch 1976). Abbreviations: gbs = greatest breadth of skull, gls = greatest length of skull, cblS = condylobasal length of skull, ghS = greatest height of skull, gbF = greatest breadth of frontal, glR = greatest length of rostrum, glM = greatest length of mandible, lsM = length of mandibular symphysis, IFa = length from articular to apex of mandible, mIS = maximum length of sternum, dlS = dorsal length of sternum, lcS = length of sternal carina, SBF = smallest breadth between costal rib facets, glC = greatest length of coracoid, mlC = medial length of coracoid, bbC = basal breadth of coracoid, diS = diagonal of proximal scapula, glH = greatest length of humerus, bpH = breadth of proximal humerus, scH = smallest dorsoventral breadth of humeral corpus (shaft), dpH = depth of proximal humerus, bdH = breadth of distal humerus, ddH = distal diagonal of humerus, bdH = breadth of distal humerus, ddH = diagonal of distal humerus, dpH = diagonal of proximal humerus, glR = greatest length of radius, bpR = breadth of proximal radius, scR = greatest width of radial shaft at midpoint, bdR = breadth of distal radius, glU = greatest length of ulna, bpU = breadth of proximal ulna; scU = width of ulnar shaft, bdU = breadth of distal ulna, ddU = diagonal of distal ulna, glC = greatest length of carpometacarpus, lMC1 = length of metacarpal 1, bpC = breadth of proximal carpometacarpus, ddC = diagonal of distal carpometacarpus, glD = greatest length of digit 2 phalanx 1, ID = articular length of digit 2 phalanx 1, glP = greatest length of pelvis, lsP = length to dorsal iliac spine of pelvis, lvP = length of synsacrum, cbP = greatest breadth of ilium, sbP = lengthsmallest breadth of ilium, aaP = distance between acetabulae, dA = diameter of acetabulum, bA = breadth across antitrochanters; glF = greatest length of femur, mlF = medial length of femur, bpF = breadth of proximalfemur, dpF = depth of proximal femur, scF = breadth of femoral shaft, bdF = breadth of distal femur, ddF =depth of distal femur, glT = greatest length of tibiotarsus, laT = axial length of tibiotarsus, dpT = diagonal of proximal tibiotarsus, scT = breadth of tibial shaft, bdT = breadth of distal tibiotarsus, ddT = depth of distal tibiotarsus, glTm = greatest length of tarsometatarsus, bpTm = breadth of proximal tarsometatarsus, scTm = breadth of tarsal shaft, and bdTm = breadth of distal tarsometatarsus.

Taxon	Catalogue no.	Sex	gbS	glS	cblS	ghS	gbF	glR	ghR	glM	lsM	lFa
Aethia cristatella	USNM 561934	FEMALE	20	50.7	45.8	17.6	4.5	20	5.1	38.4	3.1	9.1
A. cristatella	USNM 223707	?	22.1	52.6	46.7	17.9	4.6	23.2	5.6	42.5	4.2	9.2
A. cristatella	USNM 610941	MALE	21.1	50.3	45.1	17.1	4.9	20.9	6	41.6	3.8	9
A. cristatella	USNM 498282	FEMALE	20.9	50.2	45.1	17.3	4.9	20.5	5.3	40	4.3	11.7
A. cristatella	NCSM 17749	MALE	22	52.8	47.7	17.9	5.1	22.7	6.7	43.2	3.7	9.8
A. psittacula	NCSM 14804	?	20	52.8	48.8	17.5	4.3	23.1	9	42.8	4.2	10.1
A. psittacula	NCSM 18387	?	21.2	55.9	49	19.5	3.7	24.5	9.2	46	4	10.5
A. psittacula	NCSM 14147	MALE	22	-	-	19.6	_	-	-	-	4.3	10.6
A. psittacula	NCSM 20177	?	20.9	56.1	50.1	16.4	4.3	25.2	9.8	46.2	4.3	9.9
A. psittacula	USNM 610513	?	21.3	54.8	49.2	18.5	3.7	23.7	8.3	43.9	4.6	10.4
A. psittacula	USNM 610514	?	20.9	54.3	48.5	18.4	3.8	23.5	7.9	42.4	4	10
A. psittacula	USNM 610937	MALE	21.3	53.6	48.2	18.4	3.7	25	8.4	39.5	3.9	10.3
A. pusilla	NCSM 17737	?	15.5	-	-	15.2	_	_	_	_	2.2	5.9
A. pusilla	NCSM 17736	FEMALE	18.5	-	-	14.7	_	-	-	-	2.5	6.2
A. pusilla	NCSM 17734	FEMALE	15.5	39.3	34.4	15.2	2.5	15.9	3.4	30.7	2.4	6.7
A. pusilla	NCSM 224009	FEMALE	16.4	39.9	34.6	14.5	2.8	15.8	3.2	31		8.3
A. pusilla	USNM 498285	MALE	15.7	39.7	35.4	15	2.8	16.7	3.2	31.7	3.1	6.1
A. pusilla	USNM 224010	MALE	18.8	41.6	36.8	15.2	2.8	17.1	3.6	31.7	2.3	6
A. pygmaea	UMMZ 204592	FEMALE	16.9	42.4	36.4	15.9	2.6	17.1	4	32.2	2.2	6.6
A. pygmaea	UMMZ 224882	MALE	16.8	43.4	37.3	16	2.4	18.5	4.1	32	2.5	8.7
A. pygmaea	UMMZ 224883	FEMALE	16.8	42.6	36.4	16.4	3	18	4.2	32.6	2.2	6.6
A. pygmaea	USNM 344544	?	16	41.1	36	15.5	2.6	17.8	3.4	30.2	2	7.2
Alca torda	USNM 555666	MALE	33.9	95.6	88.6	29.1	6.5	51.2	11.3	82	8.4	25.6
A. torda	USNM 502378	MALE	33.4	94.6	87.5	27.9	5.9	50.4	12.2	78.3	8.6	22.6
A. torda	USNM 502387	MALE	33.6	91.1	85.1	27.7	5.9	47.6	12	80.3	7.1	22.8
A. torda	USNM 502389	FEMALE	31.3	93.9	86.3	28.5	6.2	50.5	11	79	8.6	25.5
A. torda	USNM 18062	FEMALE	35.3	94.7	87.3	27.1	6.8	51.2	12.7	82.6	7.1	23
Alle alle	USNM 560932	MALE	19.8	50.4	45.6	19.3	2.5	22.1	5	41.8	3.4	11.2
A. alle	USNM 560927	MALE	20.2	48.7	43.2	16.3	3.2	23	4.6	41.7	3	10.9
A. alle	USNM 560926	FEMALE	22.1	49.9	43.6	16.3	3.1	23.9	4.9	42.2	3.5	11.2

APPENDIX 1. Continued.

Taxon	Catalogue no.	Sex		gbS	glS	cblS	ghS	gbF	glR	ghR	glM	lsM	lFa
A. alle	USNM 344748	MALE		19.5	48.8	43.9	18.1	2.9	20.7	4.6	41.1	2.6	11.5
Alle alle	USNM 502391	FEMAL	Е	18.9	47.7	43.3	18.7	3	22.5	4.7	39.7	2.7	11.2
Cepphus columba	USNM 610936	MALE		24.9	79.4	74.0	22.7	4.3	41.2	6.8	68.7	6.3	16.3
C. columba	USNM 612989	MALE		24.8	81.3	76.7	22.9	4.1	43.6	6.4	69.6	6.2	17.8
C. columba	USNM 498423	MALE		25.2	78.3	74.2	22.8	4.4	41.6	6.5	67.8	4.3	17.2
C. columba	USNM 498422	FEMAL	E	24.8	80.8	75.5	22.4	4.3	41.4	7.0	69.5	4	15.1
C. columba	USNM 612988	FEMAL	Е	25.0	80.2	75.7	22.8	3.8	43.1	6.2	71.4	5.3	17.3
C. grylle	USNM 344753	FEMAL	Е	24.1	69.0	64.0	21.0	3.7	35.6	5.4	59.6	4.5	15
C. grulle	USNM 344760	MALE		23.5	71.8	66.6	20.4	4.0	36.6	5.7	60.8	5.1	15.9
C. grylle	USNM 344758	MALE		23.7	71.7	67.2	21.0	3.7	35.5	6.0	61.3	5.7	15.6
C. grylle	USNM 347071	FEMAL	E	22.3	72.1	68.1	19.8	3.8	36.2	5.3	62.9	3.3	15.7
C. grulle	USNM 344754	FEMAL	E	21.4	73.7	68.3	21.1	3.7	36.3	6.1	61.3	5.5	17.3
Fratercula	USNM 292346	?	-	31.6	81.1	72.7	26.3	5.5	46.8	17.2	66.1	5.1	15.6
arctica													
F. arctica	USNM225762	?		30.1	76.2	67.0	25.2	5.1	43.1	17.2	63.5	4.1	14.5
F. arctica	USNM 292344	?		33.7	84.8	75.4	27.7	5.9	47.5	20.1	70.7	5.5	15.8
F. arctica	USNM 292347	?		30.5	78.8	70.8	25.7	4.9	43.4	17.2	65.1	4.4	15
F. arctica	USNM 224189	MALE		31.6	79.6	71.6	26.5	5.3	45.4	17.8	64.8	4.2	16.6
Pinguinus	USNM no #	?		46.5	161.4	155.0	-	8.6	99.2	24.5	142.3	12.7	31.8
impennis													
P. impennis	USNM no #	?		46.1	158.1	151.2	-	8.1	100.1	21.6	143.2	11.2	31.6
P. impennis	USNM no #	?		46.3	160.3	153.7	-	8.9	103.5	23.8	145.9	10.9	37.8
P. impennis	USNM no #	?		48.0	156.3	149.0	-	7.8	96.2	20.8	139.1	11.8	33.9
P. impennis	USNM no #	?		44.4	155.5	146.5	-	9.2	94.4	22.7	135.7	13.1	33.1
Ptychoramphus aleuticus	USNM 557611	MALE		19.1	52.2	46.5	16.5	2.9	27.5	4.6	42.2	3.1	8.1
P. aleuticus	USNM 491305	MALE		20.9	53.1	46	16.4	3.4	27	4.9	43.2	3.3	9.4
P. aleuticus	USNM 557607	FEMAL	E	17.8	48.4	43.7	15.9	2.8	23.9	4.3	38.8	3.7	7.8
P. aleuticus	USNM 557609	FEMAL	Е	18	49	43.3	16.9	3.1	24.4	4.5	36.6	3.4	8.6
P. aleuticus	NCSM 18088	FEMAL	Е	18.2	50	44.5	16	2.9	25.2	3.6	41.3	3.1	7.8
Uria aalge	USNM 502349	MALE		31.8	103.7	98.7	29.3	6.0	55.5	9.2	94.0	9.5	22.6
U. aalge	USNM 502355	MALE		30.9	104.1	98.1	28.8	6.3	56.9	9.3	90.3	10.7	24.7
U. aalge	USNM 502348	MALE		31.4	102.6	96.6	28.5	6.0	56.3	8.6	90.3	8.8	25.4
U. aalge	USNM 557539	FEMAL	E	30.3	103.3	96.8	28.7	6.8	55.9	8.9	90.6	10	24.1
U. aalge	USNM 18066	FEMAL	E	31.1	102.5	97.9	28.0	6.3	57.3	9.1	92.6	9.2	24.2
U. lomvia	USNM 502358	MALE		32.0	89.1	82.6	26.9	6.3	47.6	8.6	79.0	7.9	21.8
U. lomvia	USNM 502361	MALE		32.7	92.2	86.3	27.1	5.9	49.4	8.7	82.1	8.7	24.5
U. lomvia	USNM 502372	FEMAL	E	32.4	89.1	85.8	27.8	5.8	47.8	8.0	80.2	8.4	23.6
Uria lomvia	USNM 502369	FEMAL	E	31.9	92.1	86.2	27.2	6.0	49.1	8.7	81.5	6.5	24.6
U. lomvia	USNM 502374	FEMAL	E	30.4	87.5	81.6	26.0	4.9	45.7	8.4	75.5	6	22.4
Taxon	Catalogue no.	mlS	dlS	lc	S SBI	F glC	mlC	bbC	bfC	diS	glH	bpH	scH
Aethia	USNM 561934	62.6	57.9	9 66	5.9 3.1	28.3	26.2	8.7	7.1	7.9	50.6	11.3	3.8
cristatella													
A. cristatella	USNM 223707	63.5	59.0) 69	9.9 3.5	30.9	28.9	8.7	8.4	8.0	54.3	11.2	4.3
A. cristatella	USNM 610941	61.2	56.9	9 65	5.9 3.7	29.2	26.8	8.8	7.7	8.2	51.3	11.2	4.0
A. cristatella	USNM 498282	65.3	61.1	1 71	1.0 3.4	30.1	27.7	10.4	8.0	8.6	51.6	11.7	4.1
A. cristatella	NCSM 17749	63.4	59.2	7 69	9.1 3.0	29.5	28.6	8.9	8.1	8.5	53.8	12.3	4.2
A. psittacula	NCSM 14804	72.8	65.8	8 74	4.9 3.5	27.1	25.6	9.8	7.8	9.1	53.3	12.1	4.1
A. psittacula	NCSM 18387	74.5	70.6	6 72	7.7 3.2	27.9	27.1	9.8	8.4	8.2	53.9	11.8	4.0
A. psittacula	NCSM 14147	75.5	71.2	2 80	0.4 3.1	28.9	27.8	10.5	8.6	8.8	53.9	12.8	4.4
A. psittacula	NCSM 20177	70.9	64.3	3 75	5.3 3.2	27.6	26.9	10.2	7.9	8.1	54.5	11.6	4.1
A. psittacula	USNM 610513	55.0	51.6	6 52	7.3 3.0	26.2	25.1	9.9	7.7	8.2	54.6	11.8	4.4
A. psittacula	USNM 610514	56.2	51.8	8 56	5.3 2.8	27.9	26.4	10.3	8.5	7.8	55.5	11.9	4.6

42

APPENDIX 1. Continued.

Taxon	Catalogue no.	mlS	dlS	lcS	SBF	glC	mlC	bbC	bfC	diS	glH	bpH	scH
A. psittacula	USNM 610937	57.8	52.8	58.3	3.5	27.2	24.9	9.4	7.9	8.3	54.2	11.6	4.4
A. pusilla	NCSM 17737	42.9	40.9	38.5	1.4	18.1	17.9	6.4	5.2	5.4	34.0	7.8	2.4
A. pusilla	NCSM 17736	45.0	42.1	39.9	1.9	18.6	17.9	6.1	5.0	5.5	35.3	7.8	2.5
A. pusilla	NCSM 17734	37.0	34.6	39.1	1.7	18.4	18.1	6.0	5.0	5.5	35.2	7.8	2.5
A. pusilla	NCSM 224009	38.3	35.3	40.0	2.3	19.4	17.9	6.9	5.3	5.8	35.6	7.7	2.5
A. pusilla	USNM 498285	35.9	33.1	38.7	2.1	18.6	17.2	6.3	4.9	5.6	33.9	7.5	2.5
A. pusilla	USNM 224010	38.3	35.3	40.0	1.8	18.8	17.5	6.7	5.2	6.0	35.2	7.6	2.5
A. pygmaea	UMMZ 204592	46.9	43.2	47.6	2.9	20.5	18.8	6.7	5.2	6.0	37.8	8.0	2.8
A. pygmaea	UMMZ 224882	44.5	41.2	48.3	2.6	20.8	19.0	6.2	5.3	6.8	38.0	8.4	2.6
A. pygmaea	UMMZ 224883	45.0	42.3	47.0	2.6	19.9	18.5	6.6	5.0	6.2	37.4	8.2	2.7
A. pygmaea	USNM 344544	42.8	40.9	42.0	2.5	20.6	19.5	6.0	5.5	6.1	38.3	7.6	2.9
Alca torda	USNM 555666	104.5	98.0	113.2	4.6	38.8	36.9	19.7	14.7	10.6	79.9	16.7	7.4
A. torda	USNM 502378	99.1	93.9	108.2	5.5	39.0	36.9	19.9	15.0	10.6	76.3	16.8	7.2
A. torda	USNM 502387	98.6	91.6	108.6	4.1	38.5	36.2	19.0	14.7	11.1	77.0	16.3	7.1
A. torda	USNM 502389	92.6	85.1	100.5	4.4	39.1	37.5	19.6	14.4	11.0	82.8	16.9	7.0
A. torda	USNM 18062	96.8	91.1	104.9	5.7	40.6	38.5	19.4	15.0	10.5	77.2	16.2	7.0
Alle alle	USNM 560932	52.4	48.8	54.0	2.4	21.8	18.9	10.4	6.8	7.0	41.6	9.9	3.3
A. alle	USNM 560927	54.0	49.6	54.0	1.8	22.9	19.8	10.3	7.2	6.4	40.3	9.8	3.2
A. alle	USNM 560926	53.4	49.8	56.3	2.0	21.5	19.3	10.1	6.8	6.7	41.1	9.7	3.2
A. alle	USNM 344748	53.0	49.7	55.5	2.1	22.1	20.5	9.6	6.7	6.2	41.2	9.7	3.3
A. alle	USNM 502391	52.4	49.0	56.8	2.0	22.5	19.7	10.9	6.9	6.3	43.4	9.6	3.1
Cepphus columba	USNM 610936	84.2	76.4	90.8	4.0	36.9	33.7	19.3	13.0	9.3	68.8	15.9	5.0
C. columba	USNM 612989	75.8	69.7	84.1	4.0	35.6	31.9	16.4	12.1	8.6	64.6	14.6	4.9
C. columba	USNM 498423	78.3	72.5	79.1	3.4	34.2	31.4	17.2	11.3	9.3	65.9	15.0	5.4
C. columba	USNM 498422	82.2	76.1	86.3	3.2	35.4	32.2	17.7	12.2	8.6	67.1	15.3	5.5
C. columba	USNM 612988	82.5	75.6	88.2	3.1	35.9	32.2	17.6	12.9	9.0	66.5	15.7	4.8
C. grylle	USNM 344753	76.2	69.5	84.0	3.5	31.5	28.2	14.8	11.2	8.6	57.8	13.5	4.1
C. grylle	USNM 344760	76.2	69.5	81.0	3.9	31.1	28.0	15.8	10.9	8.8	59.5	14.0	4.4
C. grylle	USNM 344758	76.6	70.4	82.8	3.5	32.8	29.6	15.5	11.8	9.1	60.4	14.5	4.5
C. grylle	USNM 347071	71.7	71.5	86.3	3.3	32.5	29.8	15.2	11.6	9.5	60.5	14.2	4.5
C. grylle	USNM 344754	76.3	71.1	81.3	3.6	33.9	30.2	16.9	11.8	8.3	61.7	14.2	4.3
Fratercula arctica	USNM 292346	73.3	66.1	85.2	3.7	37.1	35.2	10.5	9.6	8.5	67.2	14.0	4.8
F. arctica	USNM225762	71.9	64.4	80.2	3.4	35.5	33.7	13.3	10.5	9.2	63.3	14.5	4.9
F. arctica	USNM 292344	79.6	76.9	90.0	3.8	38.3	36.1	13.8	10.9	9.6	68.9	15.4	5.7
F. arctica	USNM 292347	71.4	66.6	79.8	3.7	34.8	34.1	13.3	11.0	8.6	61.2	14.5	4.9
F. arctica	USNM 224189	69.5	64.9	78.8	3.4	37.5	34.5	11.9	9.4	9.4	68.2	13.8	4.9
Pinguinus	USNM no #	176.5	165.5	193.5	8.4	66.3	60.5	33.7	24.8	16.7	106.3	24.8	10.5
impennis													
P. impennis	USNM no #	180.5	167.7	184.0	6.1	63.2	58.6	37.3	26.9	17.6	105.8	24.8	11.0
P. impennis	USNM no #	170.7	161.6	185.9	6.0	62.6	59.1	34.1	26.3	16.1	105.2	24.1	10.0
P. impennis	USNM no #	178.7	166.7	199.2	9.8	61.7	57.6	28.7	24.3	17.1	103.7	24.6	10.2
P. impennis	USNM no #	187.2	177.1	201.5	7.6	65.0	61.0	34.1	28.0	17.9	101.8	24.7	10.8
aleuticus	USNM 557611	48.8	45.8	50.2	2.6	23.7	21.5	7.7	6.1	6.7	44.8	9.3	3.4
P. aleuticus	USNM 491305	48.1	46.0	49.9	1.8	24.5	22.7	8.8	6.9	6.7	47.3	9.8	3.4
P. aleuticus	USNM 557607	46.8	43.9	49.5	2.3	23.0	21.6	7.8	6.2	6.3	44.3	9.2	3.5
P. aleuticus	USNM 557609	47.3	44.1	49.0	2.0	23.3	21.4	8.4	6.7	7.0	43.5	9.4	3.2
P. aleuticus	NCSM 18088	49.3	45.4	51.0	1.8	23.4	22.5	8.3	6.5	6.6	45.6	9.4	3.7
Uria aalge	USNM 502349	119.6	110.8	129.0	4.2	40.7	38.3	22.3	16.2	11.8	84.1	17.0	7.2
u. aaige	USINIM 502355	125.8	117.1	137.4	4.8	40.1	38.0	21.4	16.3	12.7	85.5	17.5	7.5
u. aaige	USINIM 502348	121.1	112.3	133.5	5.1	39.8	38.6	21.6	16.1	12.0	84.9	17.8	7.6
U. aalge U. aalge	USNM 557539 USNM 18066	112.3 126.0	102.5 116.9	123.4 135.9	4.0 5.1	39.1 42.5	37.1 39.7	20.5 23.8	14.8 17.1	11.1 12.5	81.9 89.2	16.4 19.0	7.5 7.8

Taxon	Catalogue no.	mlS	dlS	lc	S SE	BF gl	IC	mlC	bł	bC 1	ofC	diS	glH	bpH	scH
U. lomvia	USNM 502358	110.2	102.	8 119	9.8 5.0) 41	.4	39.0	21	1.4 1	6.2	11.5	87.6	17.1	6.8
U. lomvia	USNM 502361	116.0	108.	1 125	5.3 5.3	3 41	.9	39.4	22	2.3 1	6.8	11.8	87.7	17.9	7.6
U. lomvia	USNM 502372	113.0	104.	8 123	3.3 4.1	1 44	1.0	41.0	21	1.5 1	6.5	11.9	88.3	17.5	7.3
U. lomvia	USNM 502369	111.4	103.	6 120	0.4 3.6	5 40).9	37.8	21	1.9 1	7.1	12.0	87.5	18.3	7.2
U. lomvia	USNM 502374	110.2	102.	1 117	7.6 3.2	2 39	9.5	36.6	21	1.5 1	6.0	11.9	89.4	17.4	6.9
Taxon	Catalogue no.	BdH	ddH	dpH	glR	bpR	sc	R b	odR	glU	bpU	scU	bdU	ddU	glC
Aethia cristatella	USNM 561934	8.1	5.6	11.1	41.5	3.3	1.	7	3.6	43.9	7.8	6.3	5.7	4.7	29.0
A. cristatella	USNM 223707	8.7	6.0	11.5	44.4	3.2	2.	2	3.9	46.8	7.8	6.3	6.0	4.8	30.6
A. cristatella	USNM 610941	8.5	5.8	11.5	41.6	3.5	2.	2	3.6	52.4	10.6	7.5	7.7	6.1	27.8
A. cristatella	USNM 498282	8.5	6.0	11.1	42.4	3.4	2.	1	3.7	44.4	8.5	6.8	6.1	4.5	29.2
A. cristatella	NCSM 17749	8.4	6.0	11.8	-	-	-	-	-	48.7	9.2	7.3	6.5	5.2	-
A. psittacula	NCSM 14804	8.4	6.3	11.2	46.5	3.5	2.	0	3.8	48.5	8.2	6.7	6.2	5.0	30.0
A. psittacula	NCSM 18387	8.9	6.4	11.3	46.6	3.5	2.	1	3.7	48.6	8.7	6.9	6.3	5.4	-
A. psittacula	NCSM 14147	9.0	6.6	11.6	46.4	3.9	2.	2	3.9	46.9	8.3	6.7	6.1	5.3	-
A. psittacula	NCSM 20177	8.7	6.1	11.3	45.5	3.7	2.	0	3.4	47.1	8.8	6.7	6.2	5.1	30.0
A. psittacula	USNM 610513	8.6	6.2	11.3	46.9	3.5	2.	2	3.7	49.2	8.4	6.3	6.0	4.9	30.8
A. psittacula	USNM 610514	8.8	6.1	10.9	47.5	3.6	2.	.3	4.0	49.7	8.6	6.8	6.0	4.3	31.8
A. psittacula	USNM 610937	8.8	6.3	11.5	46.7	3.8	2.	3	3.7	49.1	8.5	6.4	6.1	4.8	31.0
A. pusilla	NCSM 17737	5.5	4.1	7.4	27.2	2.2	1.	3	2.2	28.8	5.4	4.3	4.0	2.8	_
A. pusilla	NCSM 17736	5.6	4.0	7.1	28.8	2.1	1.	4	2.5	30.1	5.6	4.3	4.0	3.2	_
A. pusilla	NCSM 17734	5.4	3.9	7.5	29.2	2.1	1.	3	2.6	30.2	5.3	4.3	4.2	3.1	_
A. nusilla	NCSM 224009	5.6	4.1	7.1	29.1	2.2	1.	3	2.7	30.5	5.8	4.7	3.5	3.3	20.0
A nusilla	LISNM 498285	54	3.9	71	27.7	2.2	1	3	25	29.2	5.2	4 1	3.9	3.0	19.1
A nusilla	USNM 224010	5.5	41	7.6	28.3	2.3	1	5	2.6	29.8	5.7	4.4	4.2	3.3	19.8
А пиотаеа	LIMMZ 204592	5.8	43	7.8	30.5	2.5	1	3	2.0	32.2	5.9	4.6	4.4	33	21.2
А тотага	UMM7 224892	6.1	4.4	83	30.5	2.5	1.	1	2.7	32.2	6.0	1.0	1.1	33	20.0
A moman	UMM7 224002	5.0	4.4	77	20.5	2.5	1.	- ± . 	2.9 7 Q	22.0	5.6	4.0	4.3	2.5	20.9
A moman	UININIZ 224005	5.9	4.2	7.7	21.2	2.5	1.	- ± . 	2.0	22.0	5.6	4.5	4.5	2.2	20.5
Alca torda	USNM 555666	11.2	70	167	61 5	2.J 5.1	1.	1	2.7 5 3	63.6	12.3	4.4 7.7	9.5	5.0	12.6
A torda	USINI 50000	11.2	7.9 Q 1	15.2	50.4	5.1	2	2	5.5	61.6	12.0	7.7	0.5	6.2	41.0
A torda	USINIM 502378	11.2	0.1 0.2	15.2	60.4	5.4	2	.5 .	5.4	62.8	12.4	7.0	0.Z	6.0	41.0
A. torda	USINIVI 502567	11.5	7.0	16.0	60.4 62 E	1.0	2.	- <u>+</u>	5.2	02.0 6E 1	12.1	7.2	0.5	6.0	41.4
A. torda	USINIVI 302369	11.0	2.0	10.0 16 E	62.5 E0 E	4.9	3. 2	.) E	5.5 5.7	61 5	11.0	7.0	0.0	0.2	41.0
Alla alla	USINIVI 10002	11.1	0.0	10.5	39.3 21.0	5.5 2.7). 1	0	3.7 2.7	01.5	12.4	1.0	0.4	7.4	40.0
Alle ulle		6.8	4.8	8.6	31.8	2.7	1.	0.	2.7	34.1	7.0	4.8	4.8	3.3	21.0
A. alle	USINIM 560927	6.4	4.6	8.9	31.9	2.6	1.	9	3.2	34.2	7.2	4.3	4.9	3.8	21.9
A. alle	USNM 560926	6.7	4.6	8.6	31.7	2.8	1.	7	3.2	33.8	5.8	4.5	5.0	3.3	21.7
A. alle	USNM 344748	6.6	4.6	8.8	31.8	2.9	1.	7	3.2	34.2	7.4	4.4	4.9	3.2	22.6
A. alle	USNM 502391	6.5	4.5	9.1	32.5	2.7	1.	8	3.1	34.4	6.8	4.4	4.7	3.4	22.2
Ceppnus	USNM 610936	10.0	8.0	14.2	55.2	4.5	2.	7	5.1	58.2	10.7	7.7	8.0	6.6	39.3
columba		0 5	F 1	10 5			~	-	4 17	- 4 4	10.0	()		()	24.2
C. columba	USINIM 612989	9.5	7.1	13.5	51.7	4.4	2.	./ .	4./	54.4	10.2	6.3	7.7	6.3	36.2
C. columba	USNM 498423	9.9	7.7	13.7	53.1	4.7	2.	9	5.0	56.0	10.7	7.1	7.8	5.9	37.1
C. columba	USNM 498422	9.9	7.7	14.2	54.2	4.5	3.	2 .	4.9	57.1	10.6	7.4	7.7	5.7	38.3
C. columba	USNM 612988	9.3	7.4	14.0	53.6	4.7	2.	.8 4	4.6	56.5	10.4	7.6	7.6	5.4	38.2
C. grylle	USNM 344753	8.6	6.4	12.6	47.0	4.2	2.	2 4	4.2	49.4	9.1	6.4	6.7	5.5	33.0
C. grylle	USNM 344760	8.5	6.3	12.5	49.3	3.9	2.	.6	4.4	52.0	9.2	6.4	6.9	5.5	34.2
C. grylle	USNM 344758	9.0	7.0	13.1	49.3	4.1	2.	.5	4.6	52.8	9.9	7.2	7.5	6.0	34.2
C. grylle	USNM 347071	9.1	6.9	12.8	49.2	4.1	2.	6	4.6	51.4	9.6	6.3	7.0	6.1	33.9
C. grylle	USNM 344754	9.1	7.2	13.4	51.1	4.9	2.	.8	4.8	53.1	9.7	7.1	7.4	5.7	36.0
Fratercula	USNM 292346	9.8	6.8	13.1	51.2	4.3	2.	8	4.3	52.9	9.7	6.5	7.3	4.9	35.5
F. arctica	USNM225762	9.4	6.5	13.4	48.5	4.0	2.	6	4.5	50.8	9.4	6.4	7.1	5.0	33.5

APPENDIX 1. Continued.

APPENDIX 1. Continued.

Taxon	Catalogue no.	BdH	ddH	dpH	glR	bpR	scR	bdR	glU	bpU	scU	bdU	ddU	glC
F. arctica	USNM 292344	10.6	7.2	14.7	51.7	4.5	3.2	5.0	54.0	10.3	6.9	8.1	5.8	37.3
F. arctica	USNM 292347	9.9	6.5	12.7	46.6	4.1	2.9	4.8	48.3	9.2	6.4	7.0	5.3	32.5
F. arctica	USNM 224189	10.0	7.4	13.5	52.3	4.5	3.0	4.9	54.1	9.5	6.9	7.8	6.2	36.3
Pinguinus	USNM no #	16.3	10.7	22.6	58.1	6.7	5.7	6.5	58.1	16.9	10.2	9.7	6.9	43.6
impennis														
P. impennis	USNM no #	16.5	9.9	22.7	55.2	6.9	5.8	6.5	55.2	16.5	10.8	10.0	7.1	43.0
P. impennis	USNM no #	15.6	10.8	22.5	56.3	6.8	5.9	6.2	56.3	15.8	10.0	10.9	7.2	45.2
P. impennis	USNM no #	15.7	10.8	22.4	56.7	6.4	6.0	6.3	59.7	16.9	10.8	10.3	6.9	41.7
P. impennis	USNM no #	15.4	10.7	23.0	55.9	7.1	6.1	7.1	55.8	16.4	10.3	10.2	6.6	42.7
Ptychoramphus	USNM 557611	7.2	4.9	9.1	36.8	2.9	1.9	3.2	38.5	7.1	5.5	5.2	4.2	25.5
aleuticus														
P. aleuticus	USNM 491305	7.4	5.2	9.6	38.3	2.8	1.7	3.3	40.1	7.2	5.7	5.2	4.1	25.8
P. aleuticus	USNM 557607	6.6	4.8	8.8	37.1	2.7	1.9	3.0	38.8	6.7	5.4	4.9	3.6	24.5
P. aleuticus	USNM 557609	6.8	4.9	9.6	36.3	2.9	1.7	2.9	38.2	6.8	5.4	5.0	3.8	24.4
P. aleuticus	NCSM 18088	7.1	5.0	9.3	37.5	2.9	2.0	3.0	39.4	6.9	5.4	5.0	3.8	25.5
Uria aalge	USNM 502349	12.1	8.5	15.4	61.4	5.5	3.5	5.6	64.3	13.1	8.3	8.8	6.4	43.6
U. aalge	USNM 502355	12.4	8.6	16.8	62.2	5.8	3.4	5.9	64.0	13.0	8.2	8.9	6.4	43.9
U. aalge	USNM 502348	12.4	8.7	15.9	60.7	5.8	3.7	5.9	62.7	12.9	8.6	9.1	6.5	43.9
U. aalge	USNM 557539	11.9	8.4	16.0	58.6	5.4	3.7	5.6	61.0	12.4	8.0	8.3	6.2	42.4
U. aalge	USNM 18066	12.7	8.8	18.2	65.2	5.9	3.7	6.0	67.8	14.1	8.6	9.6	6.9	44.9
U. lomvia	USNM 502358	12.1	9.3	16.3	66.6	5.7	3.4	5.5	69.4	12.9	9.9	9.4	7.2	44.3
U. lomvia	USNM 502361	12.3	8.9	16.6	65.0	5.4	3.8	5.8	67.7	13.2	8.6	9.3	8.0	44.6
U. lomvia	USNM 502372	11.6	8.3	16.2	65.7	5.0	3.4	5.4	68.5	12.9	9.3	8.9	7.1	45.9
U. lomvia	USNM 502369	12.6	9.1	16.8	65.7	5.7	3.5	5.6	67.8	13.2	8.8	9.3	7.2	44.8
U. lomvia	USNM 502374	12.2	8.6	15.3	65.9	5.5	3.3	5.4	68.1	12.7	8.2	1.0	6.5	43.4
	001111002071			10.0						12.7	0.2			
Taxon	Catalogue no.	IMC1	bpC	ddC	glD	lD	glP	lsP	lvP	cbP	sbP	aaP	dA	bA
Aethia	USNM 561934	4.3	4.8	4.4	14.1	13.1	45.1	41.9	35.3	15.7	10.7	14.6	3.1	16.7
cristatella														
A. cristatella	USNM 223707	5.1	4.6	4.5	14.8	13.0	49.5	45.3	37.5	17.0	11.3	16.4	3.0	18.2
A. cristatella	USNM 610941	4.4	4.7	3.0	13.7	12.6	45.3	42.4	40.0	15.6	11.9	16.0	3.4	17.2
A. cristatella	USNM 498282	5.0	4.9	4.3	13.8	12.7	46.8	44.8	36.2	17.9	11.3	16.6	3.5	18.5
A. cristatella	NCSM 17749	_	_	_	-	-	45.4	42.4	32.0	17.2	11.8	15.7	3.3	17.7
A. psittacula	NCSM 14804	4.3	5.2	4.5	14.2	13.2	45.3	41.4	32.4	15.0	12.2	18.0	3.1	20.3
A. psittacula	NCSM 18387	-	_	-	-	-	45.0	41.9	35.2	17.0	13.0	18.0	3.3	20.9
A. psittacula	NCSM 14147	-	_	-	-	_	44.9	41.1	33.5	15.5	12.3	17.8	3.5	21.2
A. psittacula	NCSM 20177	5.1	4.8	3.8	13.7	12.5	46.1	42.2	36.1	16.7	12.0	16.4	3.4	19.9
A. psittacula	USNM 610513	4.3	5.0	3.0	13.3	12.2	-	-	33.1	_	_	-	3.7	_
A. psittacula	USNM 610514	5.3	4.8	4.2	14.1	13.0	-	-	35.3	_	-	_	3.4	-
A. psittacula	USNM 610937	5.1	4.5	4.4	13.8	12.6	47.7	42.6	35.9	15.6	12.7	16.1	3.7	19.9
A. pusilla	NCSM 17737	_	_	-	-	-	26.5	25.0	20.6	10.2	9.1	12.9	1.8	13.3
A. pusilla	NCSM 17736	_	_	_	-	-	28.8	26.4	21.8	11.2	9.7	13.7	2.0	14.1
A. pusilla	NCSM 17734	_	_	_	_	_	28.2	26.4	22.2	11.2	9.2	12.2	2.1	14.0
A. pusilla	NCSM 224009	3.0	3.1	3.0	9.5	8.7	29.4	26.7	22.6	12.2	9.6	13.2	2.1	14.6
A. pusilla	USNM 498285	3.1	3.1	3.2	9.4	8.6	28.4	27.3	22.5	11.5	10.3	13.9	1.8	15.0
A. pusilla	USNM 224010	3.3	3.3	2.9	9.3	8.3	29.4	27.6	22.9	12.5	9.8	13.8	2.1	14.6
A. pygmaea	UMMZ 204592	3.3	3.5	3.2	10.1	9.3	33.2	30.3	27.5	13.1	9.2	13.1	2.5	15.0
A. pygmaea	UMMZ 224882	3.5	3.3	3.3	10.4	9.6	35.2	31.7	26.0	13.9	9.4	13.3	2.6	15.0
A. pygmaea	UMMZ 224883	3.1	3.5	3.3	9.6	8.8	31.8	29.2	27.8	11.9	9.2	12.5	2.5	13.9
A. pygmaea	USNM 344544	3.8	3.2	2.4	10.6	9.7	31.6	28.7	25.6	11.8	9.0	12.3	2.2	13.4
Alca torda	USNM 555666	7.9	10.7	7.0	21.1	18.8	76.6	66.3	54.4	24.2	16.7	20.4	4.8	23.7
A. torda	USNM 502378	7.1	10.7	6.7	20.6	18.4	72.4	66.6	56.6	22.5	15.6	19.5	4.8	21.6
A. torda	USNM 502387	7.2	10.8	6.8	20.8	19.3	73.3	64.3	53.7	21.2	15.0	18.7	4.6	21.8
A. torda	USNM 502389	7.2	10.4	7.1	20.9	18.8	79.7	64.6	52.2	23.5	17.1	18.1	4.7	23.7
A. torda	USNM 18062	7.7	10.2	7.1	21.0	18.9	74.0	66.7	54.7	23.0	16.0	18.5	4.6	22.0

_

APPENDIX 1. Continued.

Taxon	Catalogue no.	lMC1	bpC	ddC	glD	lD	glP	lsP	lvP	cbP	sbP	aaP	dA	bA
Alle alle	USNM 560932	3.6	6.1	3.8	10.6	10.2	40.2	33.7	27.8	13.8	12.4	15.1	2.1	17.1
A. alle	USNM 560927	3.7	6.3	4.3	11.1	9.8	41.7	31.9	27.1	14.9	11.9	14.3	2.2	16.3
A. alle	USNM 560926	2.7	6.3	4.1	10.7	9.9	40.4	31.3	25.0	14.5	12.8	15.5	2.1	16.4
A. alle	USNM 344748	4.0	6.3	4.4	11.7	10.6	39.1	32.9	28.8	14.4	12.1	15.3	2.2	17.1
Alle alle	USNM 502391	3.8	6.0	4.3	11.3	10.3	41.3	32.4	28.4	13.7	11.7	14.2	2.2	15.7
Cepphus	USNM 610936	6.0	9.8	6.3	17.4	15.6	72.8	56.2	54.5	22.9	16.3	21.8	4.3	24.0
columba														
C. columba	USNM 612989	5.1	9.3	6.2	15.9	14.2	67.8	51.5	46.7	21.5	16.3	19.4	3.9	23.0
C. columba	USNM 498423	6.1	9.5	6.3	16.5	14.5	69.4	54.0	47.1	19.3	15.6	21.3	3.8	24.2
C. columba	USNM 498422	6.6	9.4	6.3	17.9	15.9	66.7	51.3	49.7	20.8	16.7	21.9	4.6	24.1
C. columba	USNM 612988	6.7	9.3	6.1	18.3	16.4	71.6	52.9	48.3	23.7	17.8	22.5	4.3	24.9
C. grylle	USNM 344753	5.0	7.9	5.3	14.7	13.1	61	45.1	41.2	17.9	14.2	17.3	3.4	21.0
C. grylle	USNM 344760	5.3	7.8	5.5	16.1	14.2	60.7	46.8	38.9	17.3	14.0	17.9	3.2	20.8
C. grylle	USNM 344758	5.1	8.7	5.7	16.4	15.1	59.1	48.3	43.8	20.7	14.0	18.6	3.4	20.8
C. grylle	USNM 347071	5.5	8.4	5.8	16.0	14	62.1	49.2	38.8	21.6	15.0	18.8	3.5	21.6
C. grylle	USNM 344754	5.7	8.6	6.2	16.2	14.8	67	49.3	41.3	20.0	15.1	19.2	3.9	23.0
Fratercula	USNM 292346	6.2	8.3	5.1	18.2	16.1	66.6	53.3	38.0	18.2	13.6	19.2	4.1	22.8
arctica														
F. arctica	USNM225762	6.0	8.4	5.7	16.3	14.9	61.7	48.8	40.5	16.6	14.8	20.4	3.6	24.3
F. arctica	USNM 292344	6.8	9.0	6.3	18.3	15.8	71.3	55.4	43.6	18.1	14.9	20.7	4.3	26.0
F. arctica	USNM 292347	5.6	8.4	5.7	16.5	14.7	66.2	52.7	44.4	17.9	16.2	21.8	4.0	26.2
F. arctica	USNM 224189	6.4	9.1	6.2	18.5	16.8	68.9	53.9	45.2	15.9	14.3	21.3	4.4	24.3
Pinguinus impennis	USNM no #	12.5	12.6	9.2	22.5	21.9	_	-	98.8	-	-	-	9.1	-
P. impennis	USNM no #	12.5	13.3	9.4	23.0	21.4	_	_	100.7	_	_	_	9.0	_
P. impennis	USNM no #	12.4	12.9	8.6	22.4	20.8	_	_	95.3	_	_	_	9.3	_
P. impennis	USNM no #	11.5	12.8	9.2	21.2	20.6	_	_	94.2	_	_	_	9.1	_
P. impennis	USNM no #	11.5	13.2	8.5	22.0	20.5	_	_	94.1	_	_	_	9.4	_
Ptychoramphus aleuticus	USNM 557611	4.0	3.8	4.2	11.7	10.9	36.0	32.5	26.1	11.7	10.1	13.8	2.3	15.8
P. aleuticus	USNM 491305	4.2	4.5	4.5	12.5	11.5	38.5	36.1	28.5	_	_	_	2.8	_
P. aleuticus	USNM 557607	4.0	3.7	3.5	11.4	10.5	37.1	33.4	26.8	14.8	10.6	13.7	2.1	15.2
P. aleuticus	USNM 557609	4.1	3.8	3.9	11.4	10.8	36.8	34.7	28.2	12.9	10.1	13.8	2.5	16.1
P. aleuticus	NCSM 18088	4.0	4.0	3.1	12.2	11.6	38.4	25.4	30.4	14.2	10.6	14.3	2.6	16.0
Uria aalge	USNM 502349	8.0	10.6	7.1	21.1	19.4	88.2	72.9	62.1	22.9	17.2	22.0	5.2	22.5
U. aalge	USNM 502355	8.6	10.7	8.0	20.3	18.6	86.7	75.5	57.9	26.4	16.5	21.6	4.6	22.9
U. aalge	USNM 502348	8.9	10.4	7.8	21.2	19.3	90	73.6	55.5	27.2	17.4	22.1	5.0	23.4
U. aalge	USNM 557539	7.6	9.6	6.9	19.4	18.2	84.8	70.8	62.2	23.3	15.9	19.5	4.9	22.0
U. aalge	USNM 18066	8.5	10.6	7.9	21.7	19.7	91.4	78.8	60.8	25.9	18.0	22.4	5.7	24.7
U. lomvia	USNM 502358	7.6	10.5	7.6	20.5	19.2	81.3	68.6	54.1	26.0	16.6	20.3	4.6	22.7
U. lomvia	USNM 502361	9.0	10.5	7.5	21.5	19.7	82.6	68.7	54.5	23.3	16.9	20.1	5.1	21.2
U. lomvia	USNM 502372	8.6	10.4	7.6	22.2	20.1	84.5	71.1	59.4	28.2	18.2	22.3	4.9	23.8
U. lomvia	USNM 502369	8.5	10.8	7.7	21.0	19.1	82.7	67.3	53.1	24.6	17.8	22.7	5.1	23.0
U. lomvia	USNM 502374	7.2	10.3	7.2	20.6	19.4	78.1	65.6	54.2	23.0	15.5	20.4	4.3	21.7
Taxon	Catalogue no.	glF	mlF	bpF	dpF	scF	bdF	ddF	glT	laT	dpT	scT	bdT	ddT
Aethia cristatella	USNM 561934	34.8	33.0	6.1	4.0	2.8	5.5	5.2	58.4	54.3	6.7	2.6	4.9	4.7
A. cristatella	USNM 223707	36.9	35.3	6.5	4.0	3.0	5.9	5.4	63.8	59.6	7.7	2.8	4.8	5.2
A. cristatella	USNM 610941	33.8	32.1	6.5	4.2	2.8	5.5	5.3	58.7	55.1	7.0	2.8	5.1	5.0
A. cristatella	USNM 498282	34.7	33.6	6.3	4.4	3.2	6.1	5.4	61.4	56.9	7.0	2.8	5.3	5.0
A. cristatella	NCSM 17749	36.0	34.2	6.8	4.3	2.9	5.8	5.8	63.7	59.7	7.2	2.7	5.5	5.1
A. psittacula	NCSM 14804	32.5	31.9	6.6	4.9	3.0	5.9	5.5	58.1	53.6	7.7	2.4	5.4	5.2
A. psittacula	NCSM 18387	34.7	33.0	6.6	4.5	3.0	6.3	5.9	60.2	55.4	7.8	2.9	4.8	5.4
A. psittacula	NCSM 14147	34.0	32.8	6.6	4.5	2.8	6.5	5.9	60.6	55.9	7.1	3.0	5.9	5.7
A. psittacula	NCSM 20177	35.1	33.3	6.9	4.5	2.7	6.0	5.6	59.0	54.9	7.4	2.8	5.7	5.5

APPENDIX 1. Continued.

Taxon	Catalogue no.	glF	mlF	bpF	dpF	scF	bdF	ddF	glT	laT	dpT	scT	bdT	ddT
A. psittacula	USNM 610513	34.8	33.4	6.8	4.4	3.2	6.0	5.5	59.4	55.8	7.5	2.8	5.2	5.2
A. psittacula	USNM 610514	34.1	32.5	6.2	4.9	3.2	5.8	5.8	59.3	54.0	7.2	2.9	5.4	5.4
A. psittacula	USNM 610937	33.9	32.7	6.3	4.7	2.9	5.9	5.9	58.8	54.3	7.2	3.0	5.7	5.5
A. pusilla	NCSM 17737	21.9	21.0	3.9	2.6	2.0	3.8	3.4	36.8	35.6	4.8	1.7	2.8	3.3
A. pusilla	NCSM 17736	23.0	22.1	4.3	2.8	1.9	4.1	3.5	40.1	37.3	4.8	1.8	3.3	3.3
A. pusilla	NCSM 17734	22.7	21.8	4.0	2.6	1.9	3.9	3.4	39.9	37.2	4.9	1.7	3.4	3.4
A. pusilla	NCSM 224009	22.4	21.4	4.1	3.1	2.1	3.9	3.5	41.1	38.2	4.9	1.6	3.4	3.3
A. pusilla	USNM 498285	22.7	21.7	3.8	2.9	2.0	3.8	3.7	40.1	37.2	4.5	1.8	3.2	3.3
A. pusilla	USNM 224010	23.0	21.9	4.5	3.0	2.0	3.9	3.7	40.9	37.4	5.0	1.8	3.4	3.5
A. pygmaea	UMMZ 204592	26.9	25.6	5.2	3.0	2.3	4.1	4.1	45.3	42.4	4.9	1.8	3.6	3.6
A. pygmaea	UMMZ 224882	25.6	24.5	4.7	2.8	2.1	4.3	3.7	43.8	41.4	5.0	1.8	3.6	3.6
A. pygmaea	UMMZ 224883	24.4	23.4	4.2	3.1	2.0	4.1	3.8	43.7	41.2	4.8	2.0	3.8	3.4
A. pygmaea	USNM 344544	25.6	24.4	4.9	2.9	2.3	4.0	4.0	45.6	43.1	4.4	1.7	3.7	3.3
Alca torda	USNM 555666	43.0	41.4	9.1	6.7	4.0	8.0	7.4	79.8	73.8	9.6	4.0	6.7	6.8
A. torda	USNM 502378	42.9	41.1	8.0	6.5	3.9	8.0	7.4	76.1	71.0	10.6	3.6	6.8	6.1
A. torda	USNM 502387	41.9	40.8	8.1	6.4	4.1	7.7	6.8	76.1	71.4	9.5	3.7	6.3	6.1
Alca torda	USNM 502389	45.5	44.0	8.6	7.1	3.8	7.6	7.1	81.6	76.5	9.5	3.6	6.6	6.4
A.a torda	USNM 18062	41.7	40.6	8.8	6.9	3.9	7.9	7.2	78.4	72.7	9.4	4.0	6.7	6.3
Alle alle	USNM 560932	26.8	26.1	4.8	3.3	2.4	4.5	3.5	47.5	44.0	5.6	2.0	4.0	4.0
A. alle	USNM 560927	26.9	25.8	4.8	3.3	2.1	4.3	4.1	46.9	43.4	5.7	1.8	3.9	4.2
A. alle	USNM 560926	25.9	24.8	4.5	3.6	2.1	4.5	4.1	45.9	42.5	5.2	2.0	4.1	4.1
A. alle	USNM 344748	27.4	26.5	5.1	3.4	2.1	4.7	3.9	46.9	43.6	5.3	1.9	4.2	3.8
A. alle	USNM 502391	26.1	25.2	4.6	3.1	2.0	4.4	3.7	45.9	42.7	5.3	1.8	3.8	3.6
Cepphus columba	USNM 610936	40.4	38.6	8.2	5.9	3.8	8.3	6.8	75.6	69.2	9.4	4.0	6.3	6.5
C. columba	USNM 612989	38.7	37.2	7.5	5.6	3.7	7.4	6.7	73.9	68.0	8.8	3.8	5.9	6.0
C. columba	USNM 498423	39.8	37.5	7.7	5.8	3.8	7.8	6.8	72.6	66.4	9.5	4.7	6.2	6.2
C. columba	USNM 498422	39.3	37.6	7.3	5.7	3.9	7.6	6.9	74.8	68.8	9.0	4.1	6.6	6.2
C. columba	USNM 612988	39.8	38.3	7.6	5.6	3.5	7.5	6.1	74.9	68.7	9.3	4.0	6.2	6.2
C. grylle	USNM 344753	35.0	32.8	6.7	5.0	2.9	6.1	5.7	62.8	58.0	7.1	2.8	5.4	5.2
C. grylle	USNM 344760	35.7	34.2	6.4	4.4	3.3	6.4	5.7	62.7	58.1	7.9	3.0	5.6	5.3
C. grylle	USNM 344758	36.5	34.5	7.0	5.2	3.2	6.7	6.0	65.2	60.3	8.4	2.9	6.1	5.6
C. grylle	USNM 347071	35.3	33.8	6.8	4.8	3.6	6.4	6.2	62.9	58.3	8.2	3.1	5.7	5.3
C. grylle	USNM 344754	37.6	35.9	7.2	5.6	3.3	7.1	6.5	66.6	61.4	8.8	3.1	6.4	5.8
Fratercula arctica	USNM 292346	40.3	38.9	7.1	5.3	3.3	6.8	6.3	67.9	63.4	8.3	3.4	6.4	6.4
F. arctica	USNM225762	37.7	36.5	7.5	5.7	3.1	7.2	6.2	66.5	62.3	8.2	3.5	5.6	6.1
F. arctica	USNM 292344	42.3	41.1	8.8	5.2	3.5	7.8	6.4	73.3	68.8	9.3	3.6	6.4	6.5
F. arctica	USNM 292347	38.1	36.8	7.2	5.5	3.1	7.1	6.1	63.0	59.3	9.0	3.7	5.9	6.2
F. arctica	USNM 224189	41.5	40.2	7.7	5.4	3.2	7.4	7.3	70.4	66.6	9.1	3.6	6.4	6.7
Pinguinus impennis	USNM no #	76.6	73.4	16.2	11.2	9.4	14.6	13.8	133.6	124.6	15.6	7.3	12.6	12.3
P. impennis	USNM no #	72.4	69.8	14.0	10.0	7.8	13.3	12.6	131.3	122.1	16.7	7.1	12.8	12.3
P. impennis	USNM no #	71.0	68.3	14.6	10.5	7.3	12.9	12.0	133.5	125.8	16.2	7.1	11.4	11.4
P. impennis	USNM no #	74.6	72.4	16.2	11.6	9.2	14.8	13.1	129.7	120.8	16.6	7.0	12.1	10.8
P. impennis	USNM no #	76.5	74.2	15.6	11.0	8.2	14.4	13.5	129.9	121.6	16.1	7.3	12.9	12.8
Ptuchoramphus	USNM 557611	27.9	26.6	4.8	3.4	2.5	47	47	50.6	46.5	61	2.3	4.3	4.3
aleuticus		20.0	20.0	1.0	0.1	2.0	5.0	1.7	50.0	10.0	5.1	2.0	1.0	1.0
P. aleuticus	USINM 491305	29.6	28.2	5.2	3.5	2.3	5.0	4.9	51.6	47.2	5.0	2.3	4.4	4.3
P. aleuticus	USINM 557607	26.7	25.7	4.7	3.0	2.5	4.3	4.4	47.8	43.5	5.6	2.3	4.3	4.0
r. uleuticus	USINIM 557609	26.0	25.0	4.7	3.7	2.4	4.5	4.5	47.7	43.9	5.8	2.1	4.2	4.1
P. aleuticus	INCSM 18088	28.1	26.8	5.0	3.7	2.7	4.8	4.5	51.0	46.9	5.8	2.5	4.4	4.3
Uriu uuige U. aalge	USINIM 502349 USNM 502355	48.2 48.4	46.1 46.1	9.2 9.0	7.4 7.6	5.0 4.8	8.4 8.6	8.0 7.9	97.0 94.0	90.5 87.4	10.1 9.9	4.7 4.6	8.1 7.8	7.7

Taxon	Catalogue no.	glF	mlF	bpF	dpF	scF	bdF	ddF	glT	laT	dpT	scT	bdT	ddT
U. aalge	USNM 502348	47.7	45.5	9.1	7.3	5.1	8.5	8.5	94.2	87.2	10.1	5.0	8	7.8
U. aalge	USNM 557539	45.0	43.4	8.9	7.4	4.8	7.8	7.2	88.4	82.4	9.6	4.5	7.6	7.0
U. aalge	USNM 18066	47.1	45.3	9.0	7.3	5.0	8.2	7.8	92.4	85.9	9.4	4.2	7.4	7.4
U. lomvia	USNM 502358	45.7	43.6	8.3	6.9	4.6	8.0	7.8	86.8	80.9	9.6	3.7	7.3	7.0
U. lomvia	USNM 502361	45.9	44.1	8.6	7.2	5.0	7.8	7.5	88.2	82.4	9.8	3.9	7.5	6.8
U. lomvia	USNM 502372	47.8	45.5	8.3	7.1	4.7	7.8	7.6	87.7	82.1	9.1	3.7	7.3	6.9
U. lomvia	USNM 502369	46.2	43.8	8.6	6.9	4.5	7.9	7.2	86.8	80.6	8.9	3.7	7.1	6.6
U. lomvia	USNM 502374	44.9	43.8	7.7	6.4	4.2	7.2	7.0	83.8	78.6	8.3	3.5	6.8	6.1
	Taxon			Catal	ogue	no.	glTm	bp]	ſm	scTm	bdTı	n		
	Aethia cristate	lla		USNI	M 561	934	26.7	5.	3	2.3	4.9			
	A. cristatella			USNI	VI 223	707	30.7	5.	2	2.4	5.0			
	A. cristatella			USNI	M 610	941	25.5	5.	3	2.0	5.0			
	A. cristatella			USNI	vf 4982	282	27.6	5.	4	2.2	5.3			
	A. cristatella			NCSN	A 1774	49	29.0	5.	9	2.3	5.4			
	A. psittacula			NCSN	A 1480	04	28.1	6.	1	2.8	5.4			
	A. psittacula			NCSN	A 1838	87	29.6	6.	0	2.5	5.5			
	A. psittacula			NCSN	A 1414	47	29.2	6.	8	2.7	6.1			
	A. psittacula			NCSN	A 2012	77	29.4	6.	2	2.8	5.3			
	A. psittacula			USNI	M 610	513	29.2	5.	7	2.6	5.3			
	A. psittacula			USNI	M 610	514	29.9	6.	0	3.1	5.4			
	A. psittacula			USNI	M 610	937	29.1	6.	1	2.6	5.7			
	A. pusilla			NCSN	A 1773	37	18.5	3.	3	1.4	3.3			
	A. pusilla			NCSN	A 1773	36	18.7	3.	5	1.5	3.4			
	A. pusilla			NCSN	A 1773	34	19.1	3.	6	1.4	3.5			
	A. pusilla			NCSN	A 2240	009	19.8	3.	6	1.5	3.8			
	A. pusilla			USNI	M 4982	285	19.1	3.	6	1.4	3.2			
	A. pusilla			USNI	ví 224	010	19.1	3.	7	1.5	3.6			
	A. pygmaea			UMM	IZ 204	592	21.8	3.	5	1.5	3.0			
	A. pygmaea			UMM	IZ 224	882	20.8	4.	1	1.7	3.7			
	A. pygmaea			UMM	IZ 224	883	19.8	4.	0	1.9	3.8			
	A. pygmaea			USNI	M 344	544	20.5	3.	6	1.7	3.5			
	Alca torda			USNI	M 555	666	36.0	7.	3	3.2	7.2			
	A. torda			USNI	M 502	378	33.8	7.	5	3.2	7.2			
	A. torda			USNI	M 502	387	33.5	6.	8	3.7	7.2			
	A. torda			USNI	M 502	389	34.9	7.	4	3.5	7.3			
	A. torda			USNI	M 180	62	34.8	7.	3	3.6	7.8			
	Alle alle			USNI	M 560	932	20.4	4.	2	2.2	4.6			
	A. alle			USNI	M 560	927	19.7	4.	3	2.0	4.5			
	A. alle			USNI	M 560	926	20.0	4.	4	2.2	3.3			
	A. alle			USNI	M 344	748	20.1	4.	6	1.9	4.5			
	A. alle			USNI	M 502	391	19.6	4.	0	1.9	4.0			
	Cepphus colun	ıba		USNI	M 610	936	38.3	7.	2	2.9	6.8			
	C. columba			USNI	M 612	989	35.0	6.	5	2.6	6.2			
	C. columba			USNI	M 498	423	35.6	7.	2	3.2	6.5			
	C. columba			USNI	M 498	422	35.8	7.	4	2.7	6.8			
	C. columba			USNI	M 612	988	35.4	6.	9	3.1	6.1			
	C. grylle			USNI	M 344	753	30.0	6.	0	2.1	5.3			
	C. grylle			USNI	M 344	760	29.8	5.	9	2.3	5.6			
	C. grylle			USNI	M 344	758	31.3	6.	9	2.5	6.1			
	C. grylle			USNI	A 347	071	29.9	6.	0	2.3	5.6			
	C. grylle			USNI	M 344	754	1.7	6.	6	2.5	6.1			

Appendix 1. Continued.

Taxon	Catalogue no	olTm	bpTm	scTm	bdTm
	LICE IN COORDER				
Fratercula arctica	USNM 292346	28.7	6.8	3.2	7.1
F. arctica	USNM225762	26.9	6.3	3.3	6.6
F. arctica	USNM 292344	30.7	6.8	4.1	7.7
F. arctica	USNM 292347	27.0	6.3	3.7	6.7
F. arctica	USNM 224189	30.2	6.8	3.2	7.1
Pinguinus impennis	USNM no #	50.3	13.4	7.5	14.8
P. impennis	USNM no #	55.6	14.4	7.9	15.1
P. impennis	USNM no #	53.0	14.5	7.4	14.7
P. impennis	USNM no #	54.1	13.6	7.1	14.4
P. impennis	USNM no #	52.8	14.9	7.3	14.2
Ptychoramphus aleuticus	USNM 557611	25.6	4.8	1.8	4.1
P. aleuticus	USNM 491305	25.5	4.9	2.3	4.4
P. aleuticus	USNM 557607	24.3	4.6	1.8	4.0
P. aleuticus	USNM 557609	24.6	4.7	2.5	4.0
P. aleuticus	NCSM 18088	25.9	5.0	2.1	4.1
Uria aalge	USNM 502349	40.5	8.9	3.8	7.8
U. aalge	USNM 502355	38.1	9.0	4.0	8.0
U. aalge	USNM 502348	40.5	8.5	3.9	8.3
U. aalge	USNM 557539	36.6	8.2	3.9	7.4
U. aalge	USNM 18066	37.8	8.3	3.7	7.6
U. lomvia	USNM 502358	36.6	8.3	3.1	7.0
U. lomvia	USNM 502361	37.8	8.2	3.2	7.2
U. lomvia	USNM 502372	37.1	7.8	3.1	7.1
U. lomvia	USNM 502369	34.8	7.7	3.0	7.1
U. lomvia	USNM 502374	33.8	7.7	2.8	6.5

APPENDIX 2. Measurements (mm) and species referrals for humeri used in the final morphometric analysis (– = measurements missing because of damage; all measurements according to von den Driesch 1976). Abbreviations: glH = greatest length of humerus, bpH = breadth of proximal humerus, dpH = depth of proximal humerus, scH = smallest dorsoventral breadth of humeral corpus (shaft), bdH = breadth of distal humerus, and ddH = distal diagonal of humerus.

							Specimen referral
Specimen	glH	bpH	dpH	scH	bdH	ddH	to species
A NSP 13357	96.2	10 7	18.8	82	13.0	9.4	Alca grandic (bolotupo)
NHMLIK 7052	111.2	22.8	21.8	8.9	15.5	12.1	A stewarti (paratype)
NHMUK 7052				9.0	13.9	10.4	A grandis
NHMUK 7054	_	_	_	7.8	14.1	11.1	A carolinensis-olsoni
GCVP 5691	99.6	20.0	19 5	85	14.1	10.4	A grandis
GCVP 5690	_	20.0	-	_	13.7	10.1	Alcini incertae sedis
IGF 14875	_	_	_	75	12.4	9.1	A ausonia (holotype)
NCMNS 13734	102.4	20.8	21.0	9.2	14.9	10.4	A carolinensis (holotype)
NCMNS 13001	-			7.2	12.5	9.0	A ausonia
NCMNS 14116	_	_	_	81	12.0	9.2	A ausonia
NCMNS 15064	97.8	85	_	14.4	10.2	19.5	A grandis
NCSM 24366	104.3	23.2	23.0	8.8	16.2	11.5	A carolinensis_olsoni
LIE 61953	104.0	17.0	16.3	6.6	10.2	11.0	A torda
UF 61539		17.0	16.9	7.0			A torda
UF 61531	_	18.2	16.1	7.0	_	_	A torda
LIE 21031	_	16.2	15.0	7.7	_	_	A torda
UF 61995	_	10.5	15.0	7.2	12.0	- 8 1	A ausonia
UF 61995	_	_	-	7.0	12.0	0.1	
UF 50450	_	_	-	6.6	11.0	8.3	A torda
UF 01342	_	_	-	0.0	11.2	0.Z 8 2	A ausonia
UF 01341 UE 61527	_	- 16 1	15.4	7.Z	11.7	0.2	A torda
UF 01337	_	10.1	15.4	5.7	11.2	79	A torda
UF 37234 LIE 19472	_	15.0	15.0	5.9	11.2	7.0	A. torda
UF 12473	_	15.0	14.2	0.5	_	-	A torda
UF 124/4	_	15.0	14.5	7.1	_	_	A. torda
UF 21009	_	16.0	14.9	0.0	_	_	A. torda
UF 210/4	_	10.0	14.0	7.2	14.4	10.0	A. wandie
UF 01333	_	_	_	9.0	14.4	10.0	A. grandis
UF 07950	_	_	-	0.0 7 5	12.7	9.0	A grandis
UF 124/7	_	165	15.0	6.0	13.7	9.5	A torda
UF 21191	_	10.5	15.0	5.9	11.0	79	A torda
UF 21032	_	_	-	5.9	11.0	7.0	A torda
UF 21038	_	_	-	7.0	12.2	7.9	A ausonia
UF 21076	_	_	_	7.0	12.2	0.0	A. ausonia
UF 2008412	_	-	-	7.7	13.5	0.0	A torda
UF 200012	_	14.0	14.0	67	_	_	A torda
UF 125027	_	14.9	14.9	6.5	10.8	77	A torda
UF 123027	_	-	-	71	11.0	8.8	A ausonia
UF 123803	_	_	-	7.1	10.5	0.0 7.4	A torda
UF 117425	_	_	-	0.5 8.4	10.5	0.4	A grandis
UF 49094	_	15 7	-	6.4	13.7	9.4	A torda
UF 49095	_	16.0	14.0	6.6	_	-	A torda
UF 117492	_	10.9	15.5	6.2	10.7	8.0	A torda
UF 211940	_	_	_	0.5	10.7	0.0	A. wandie
UF 200304	_	_	_	0.0 6 E	13.7	9.9	A. torda
UF 21145	_	_	_	6.5	11.0	7.9	A. torda
UF 61954	_	15.7	-	6.3	11.5	8.0	A. torda
UF 2110/	-	15./	14./	0./	-	-	л. штии Л. аногија
UF/PD 7948	_	—	_	6.5	11.9	8.3	A torda
UF/PB /949	-	-	-	6.6	10.9	7.7	A. toru
UF/PB 7989	-	-	-	6.5	11.3	7.8	A. torda

TAXONOMIC REVISION OF ALCA

APPENDIX 2. Continued.

							Specimen referral
Specimen	glH	bpH	dpH	scH	bdH	ddH	to species
LIE / DB 7042		15.2	15.0	(0			A touda
UF/FD/942 UE/DB 7045	_	15.5	15.2	0.9	12.1	-	A. woru
UF/TD7943	_	-	_	6.0	13.1	9.0	A torda
UF/FD/94/	_	10.2	107	0.0	11.1	7.0	A. toruu A. grandia
	_	19.5	10.7	0.Z	- 11 7	-	A. grunuis
UF/PD 91	-	-	_	6.5	11./	8.3 0.1	A. uusonuu A. touda
UF/PD 304	-	-	_	6.Z	11.4	8.1 7.0	A. toruu
UF/PD 303	-	-	21.0	7.0	11.6	7.9	A. uusonuu A. carolinamaia, alaani
USINIM 192101	-	21.0	21.0	8.9	_	_	A. curotinensis-oisoni
USINIM 419708	-	20.8	20.1 15 5	8.0 7.0	_	_	A. grunuis
USINIVI 460786	-	10.7	15.5	7.0	_	_	A. toruu
USINM 178221	-	21.1	20.0	8.6	-	-	A. granais
USINIM 236802	95.8	20.7	19.7	8.8	14.0	10.1	A. granais
USINIM 236802	95.9	-	-	8.6	14.0	10.1	A. granais
USNM 495613	103.4	21.9	-	8.9	15.1	11.0	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 242238	111.4	24.1	23.7	10.4	17.0	12.1	A. stewarti
USNM 177981	94.6	-	-	8.2	13.8	10.2	A. grandis
USNM 179285	98.9	21.1	_	8.7	14.2	9.8	A. grandis
USNM 181086	93.6	19.1	18.6	8.0	13.8	10.0	A. ausonia
USNM 192840	96.9	20.4	19.6	8.6	13.8	10.0	A. grandis
USNM 206301	104.9	22.2	21.0	9.7	15.0	10.7	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 242288	95.9	19.6	-	8.5	14.0	9.8	A. ausonia
USNM 302324	63.7	13.8	-	5.7	-	-	A. minor (holotype)
USNM 192879	-	13.2	-	-	-	_	A. minor
USNM 495600	_	_	_	5.8	9.1	7.0	A. minor
USNM 275787	94.3	20.1	19.1	8.0	13.6	9.6	A. ausonia
USNM 302358	94.6	19.9	17.9	8.4	_	_	A. ausonia
USNM 367013	106.4	22.2	21.0	9.2	15.5	10.5	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 446649	102.9	_	_	8.9	14.8	10.8	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 446652	105.8	22.7	21.9	9.6	15.7	11.1	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 446654	89.7	19.0	18.4	7.9	13.2	9.2	A. ausonia
USNM 446662	92.7	19.5	19.5	8.8	14.0	9.7	A. ausonia
USNM 446663	97.7	21.4	20.3	8.9	14.4	10.1	A. grandis
USNM 446664	96.5	20.8	19.9	8.6	13.6	9.3	A. grandis
USNM 446666	102.0	21.5	21.0	8.8	14.1	9.9	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 446668	104.7	22.3	21.7	9.2	14.3	10.8	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 192014	92.3	20.2	19.4	8.5	13.1	8.8	A. ausonia
USNM 215795	91.0	20.4	19.5	8.3	13.6	9.8	A. ausonia
USNM 275846	103.1	20.8	_	8.8	14.8	10.4	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 302320	101.8	21.9	20.3	8.8	14.8	10.4	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 321235	103.9	20.6	-	8.6	14.2	10.3	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 366793	100.3	22.4	_	8.8	15.1	10.6	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 446671	92.0	19.7	19.0	8.2	14.1	10.1	A. ausonia
USNM 446673	102.1	22.0	20.9	8.8	15.1	11.3	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 446674	106.5	22.3	_	9.7	14.9	10.6	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 446675	104.3	21.5	21.2	9.1	15.0	10.2	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 446676	101.8	21.6	21.3	9.0	15.2	10.8	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 446677	99.0	21.5	20.7	8.6	14.0	10.6	A. grandis
USNM 446680	99.3	21.5	21.0	8.9	14.8	10.7	A. grandis
USNM 446681	104.3	21.6	20.9	9.6	15.0	10.2	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 446682	102.8	22.2	20.4	9.5	14.7	11.4	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 446683	98.8	20.7	20.4	9.0	13.8	9.5	A. grandis
USNM 446687	99.6	20.4	19.3	8.9	-	9.6	A. grandis
USNM 446688	98.1	20.9	20.3	8.8	13.6	9.9	A. grandis
USNM 446692	91.0	19.4	18.7	7.7	12.5	8.9	A. ausonia

APPENDIX 2. Continued.

Specimen	glH	bpH	dpH	scH	bdH	ddH	Specimen referral to species
USNM 446690	93.9	19.6	19.1	8.4	13.5	9.8	A. ausonia
USNM 446694	105.9	21.4	21.1	8.8	15.4	10.7	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 446695	97.7	20.5	20.0	8.5	14.3	10.6	A. grandis
USNM 446696	101.1	21.2	21.0	9.3	15.2	10.1	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 446697	101.9	21.7	21.0	9.0	_	10.3	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 495673	101.9	21.7	21.1	93	15.0	11.4	A carolinensis-olsoni
USNM 446684	101.0	21.0	21.0	9.2	15.0	10.7	A carolinensis-olsoni
USNM 446699	101.7	22. 1 22.1	21.2	9.6	15.9	11.0	A carolinensis olsoni
USNM 532685	100.0	22.1	21.7	9.6	15.0	11.0	A carolinensis olsoni
USINI 532005	-	21.4	21.0	9.0	_	_	A grandic
USINI 532000	00.0	10.7	10.2	9.5	12.0	0.5	
USINIVI 332009	90.9	19.4	10.0	0.2	15.9	9.5	A. ausonia
USINIM 532715	-	19.0 22 F	18.5	ð.4	_	_	A. uusoniu
USINIM 532738	-	22.5	22.2	8.8	-	-	A. grunuis
USINM 532741	-	20.4	20.1	9.0	-	-	A. grunuis
USNM 532742	-	16.7	16.0	6.7	_	_	A. toraa
USNM 532858	-	16.3	15.4	6.6	-	-	A. torda
USNM 532859	-	19.5	18.2	7.9	-	-	A. grandis
USNM 532860	-	16.5	15.3	6.9	_	-	A. torda
USNM 532861	-	13.9	13.2	5.6	-	-	A. minor
USNM 275856	-	18.8	17.8	7.7	-	-	A. grandis
USNM 366257	-	19.3	19.1	7.8	-	-	A. ausonia
USNM 366258	-	21.5	20.8	8.8	-	-	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 181098	-	19.4	18.3	8.3	-	-	A. ausonia
USNM 368484	-	21.6	20.3	8.5	-	-	A. grandis
USNM 368485	-	21.0	20.4	8.8	-	-	A. grandis
USNM 532869	-	21.2	20.4	8.6	-	-	A. grandis
USNM 532870	-	20.4	19.7	8.3	-	-	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 532871	-	18.1	17.2	7.3	-	-	A. torda
USNM 532874	_	17.0	16.6	7.4	_	_	A. torda
USNM 532878	_	22.1	21.4	8.5	_	_	A. grandis
USNM 532880	_	21.7	20.6	9.0	_	_	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 532884	_	21.3	20.6	8.3	_	_	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 532885	_	21.5	21.3	9.4	_	_	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 532886	_	21.4	20.2	8.5	_	_	A. grandis
USNM 532887	-	21.9	21.1	8.9	_	_	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 532890	_	20.6	19.9	8.6	_	_	A. grandis
USNM 532896	_	20.7	20.3	8.8	_	_	A. grandis
USNM 532897	_	21.8	21.5	9.4	_	_	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 532900	_	17.3	17.1	7.0	_	_	A. torda
USNM 532908	_	16.6	16.2	6.5	_	_	A. torda
USNM 275852	_	18.6	18.1	7.6	_	_	A ausonia
USNM 533145	_	18.8	19.0	7.0	_	_	A orandis
USNM 366558	102.4	20.9	- 17.0	83	14 7	10.5	A carolinensis_olsoni
USNM 446656	83.5	16.8	16.2	6.9	12.0	87	A torda
USNM 533036	00.0	22.0	20.8	0.7	15.1	10.8	A orandis
USINIVI 555050	99.4 06.0	22.0	20.0	9.1	13.1	10.0	A grandic
USINIVI 555057	90.9 101.1	20.0	21.0	0.1	14.0	10.5	A. gradinancia olconi
USINIVI 333030	101.1	21.0	21.0	0.7	15.4	10.7	A. curounensis-oisoni
USINIVI 333039	04 7	21./ 10.2	-	0.3	12.0	10.7	
USINIM 533040	86.7	19.3	18.4	1.5	13.3	8.8	A. Lauda
USINIM 533041	74.5	16.0	15.6	6.9	10.9	8.0	A. toru
USINM 533042	71.2	14.7	14.2	6.4	9.8	7.8	A. toraa
USNM 533171	-	-	-	7.1	13.2	9.0	A. ausonia
USNM 533172	-	_	-	9.2	15.2	10.8	A. carolinensis–olsoni
USNM 215443	88.8	19.1	18.8	8.4	14.0	9.8	A. ausonia

Specimen glH bpH dpH scH bdH ddH to species USNM 368479 82.7 17.1 16.2 7.3 12.3 8.7 A. torda USNM 179220 84.4 19.1 17.9 7.9 12.4 9.1 A. torda USNM 275870 78.7 16.2 15.3 6.6 11.5 8.4 A. torda	
USNM 368479 82.7 17.1 16.2 7.3 12.3 8.7 A. torda USNM 179220 84.4 19.1 17.9 7.9 12.4 9.1 A. torda USNM 275870 78.7 16.2 15.3 6.6 11.5 8.4 A. torda	
USNM 179220 84.4 19.1 17.9 7.9 12.4 9.1 A. torda USNM 275870 78.7 16.2 15.3 6.6 11.5 8.4 A. torda	
USNM 275870 78.7 16.2 15.3 6.6 11.5 8.4 A. torda	
USNM 366571 86.4 – – 7.3 11.9 9.2 A. ausonia	
USNM 181038 82.2 17.7 15.4 6.7 12.1 8.6 A. torda	
USNM 495672 85.3 7.8 13.0 8.8 A. ausonia	
USNM 366584 81.7 – – 7.5 12.8 8.9 A. torda	
USNM 183425 87.8 19.9 18.5 8.0 13.9 9.8 A. ausonia	
USNM 368480 87.5 18.2 17.1 7.1 12.9 9.4 A. ausonia	
USNM 495671 89.7 19.5 19.3 8.3 13.8 9.9 A. ausonia	
USNM 446685 86.0 19.7 18.4 7.7 13.0 9.6 A. ausonia	
USNM 446661 82.2 18.9 – 6.8 12.7 9.2 A. torda	
USNM 446670 78.6 17.3 16.6 7.2 12.0 8.3 A. torda	
USNM 495670 81.2 17.3 16.7 7.0 11.4 8.4 A. torda	
USNM 256354 – – – 7.0 11.1 8.3 A. torda	
USNM 256361 – – – 9.1 13.6 10.5 A. grandis	
USNM 256355 – 15.2 14.9 6.5 – – A. torda	
USNM 256358 – 15.6 14.9 6.0 – – A. torda	
USNM 447052 – 16.2 15.7 6.8 – – A. torda	
USNM 447054 – 16.1 15.7 6.5 – – A. torda	
USNM 532557 – – – 7.0 11.8 8.6 A. ausonia	
USNM 532559 – – – 7.5 13.6 9.7 A. grandis	
USNM 495616 97.9 20.4 – 8.8 14.3 10.3 A. grandis	
USNM 495614 – 20.5 20.8 8.8 – – A. grandis	
USNM 459609 – – – 8.5 15.0 10.3 A. carolinensis–olsoni	
USNM 454590 104.0 22.8 21.7 8.8 15.4 11.0 <i>A. olsoni</i> (holotype)	
USNM 336379 – 8.8 – 8.8 14.1 10.3 A. grandis	
USNM 215454 – – – 8.4 13.9 9.7 A. grandis	
USNM 495613 103.4 21.9 – 8.9 15.1 11.0 A. carolinensis–olsoni	
USNM 495612 – 21.4 20.9 8.6 14.2 10.2 A. carolinensis–olsoni	
USNM 183510 – 19.8 18.6 9.0 – – A. grandis	
USNM 237248 – 22.1 20.1 9.1 – – A. carolinensis–olsoni	
USNM 237264 – 16.9 15.0 6.2 – – A. torda	
USNM 446650 112.0 24.2 22.4 10.0 16.8 12.2 A. stewarti	
USNM 299637 – – – 10.6 16.7 11.7 A. stewarti	
USNM 460811 – – – 9.9 16.3 11.6 A. stewarti	
USNM 210532 – – – 6.0 10.5 7.5 A. torda	
USNM 321314 76.0 16.0 – 6.7 11.2 8.2 A. torda	
USNM 446657 74.0 15.2 14.2 6.2 10.6 7.6 A. torda	
USNM 446686 75.7 15.6 14.1 7.3 11.5 8.0 A. torda	
USNM 446691 76.9 16.0 15.5 6.7 11.1 8.0 A. torda	
USNM 495589 79.0 17.2 13.6 7.3 A. torda	
USNM 257519 77.6 – – 6.7 10.0 7.2 A. torda	
USNM 495592 65.4 5.8 8.8 6.6 A. minor	
USNM 250772 – – – 5.7 9.6 6.7 A. torda	
USNM 495591 – – – 5.8 9.1 6.4 A. minor	

APPENDIX 3. Morphological Character List

- 1. Premaxilla, anterior tip in lateral view: (0) slightly decurved; (1) hooked.
- **2. Premaxilla in lateral view, dorsal enlargement** (modified from Chandler 1990, character 17): (0) absent; (1) present.
- **3.** Maxilla in ventral view, fenestra adjacent to junction of maxilla and palatine: (0) absent; (1) present.
- 4. Maxilla, maxillopalatine process, orientation (Chu 1998, character 45): (0) dorsally tilted; (1) ventral, flat lying.
- **5.** Palatine, anteromedial margin of the ventral palatal crest in ventral view (crista ventralis; Baumel and Witmer 1993; modified from Chandler 1990, character 3): (0) angular; (1) rounded.
- 6. Palatine, posterior margin of the ventral palatal crest in ventral view (crista ventralis; Baumel and Witmer, 1993; modified from Chandler 1990, character 3;): (0) angular (1) rounded.
- 7. Palatine, lateral margin anterior to contact with the pterygoid (angulus caudolateralis; Baumel and Witmer 1993; Chandler 1990, character 2): (0) widens posteriorly; (1) narrows posteriorly.
- 8. Vomer, curvature of anterior tip in ventral view (Chandler 1990, character 1): (0) straight; (1) sloped.
- 9. Vomer, anterior tip shape: (0) pointed; (1) bifurcated.
- **10.** Lamina dorsalis, size: (0) large (mesethmoid margin interrupted only by suture between it and the lamina dorsalis); (1) small (lamina dorsalis not continuous with margin of mesethmoid, appears to be a separate accessory structure).
- **11. Frontal, supraorbital rims** (lateral to fossa glandulae nasalis; Baumel and Witmer 1993; modified from Strauch 1985, character 5; Chandler 1990, character 11): (0) absent; (1) present.
- **12.** Mesethmoid, fenestra in nasal capsule anterior to nasofrontal hinge: (0) fenestrated; (1) not fenestrated.
- 13. Fonticulus orbitocranialis (Chu 1998, character 33): (0) not enclosed; (1) enclosed
- 14. Lacrimal, position in lateral view: (0) posteroventrally directed; (1) ventrally directed.
- 15. Lacrimal, supraorbital process (Chu 1998, character 30): (0) absent; (1) present.
- **16.** Squamosal, temporal fossa (Chandler 1990, character 19): (0) shallow (not bordered anteriorly and posteriorly by a distinct lip or crest); (1) deep (bordered anteriorly and posteriorly by a distinct lip or crest).
- **17.** Squamosal, temporal fossa, medial extent: (0) not medially extended; (1) separated by a thin flat space; (2) separated only by a thin crest. Ordered.
- **18.** Squamosal, temporal fossa, shape of medial margin: (0) narrow; (1) broad. In species that possess medially expanded temporal fossae (see character 32) the medial–most extent of these temporal fossae varies in alcids from a broad, relatively "U-shaped" curve (e.g., *Alca torda*) to a more pointed, medially narrowing groove (e.g., *Uria aalge*).
- Supraoccipital, paired fontanelles (foramen venae occipitalis externae, fonticulus occipitalis; Beddard 1898; Strauch,1978; Baumel and Witmer 1993; Strauch 1985, character 6; Chandler 1990, character 14): (0) absent;
 (1) present.
- 20. Foramen magnum, dorsal margin shape (modified from Strauch 1978, character 19: (0) rounded; (1) pointed.
- **21.** Mandible, symphysis length (modified from Chandler 1990, character 22): (0) short (i.e., <15% of the total length of the mandible; e.g., *A. torda*); (1) long (i.e., >15% of the total length of the mandible; e.g., *U. aalge*).
- **22.** Mandible, ventral margin in lateral view: (0) straight; (1) ventrally expanded.
- 23. Mandible, curvature of rami in dorsal view: (0) laterally concave; (1) laterally convex.
- **24. Prearticular, anterior margin in medial view** (modified from Chandler 1990, character 21): (0) forked; (1) not forked.
- **25.** Articular, medial articular process foramen (foramen pneumaticum articulare medialis; Baumel and Witmer 1993; Chandler 1990, character 20): (0) absent; (1) present.
- **26.** Articular, medial articular process shape in dorsal view: (0) anteroposteriorly compressed; (1) dorsoventrally compressed.
- **27.** Articular, retroarticular process length (modified from Chandler 1990, character 23): (0) short; (1) long (i.e., as long or longer than the dorsoventral height from the articular facet to the ventral margin of the mandible in lateral view).
- **28.** Atlas, flange on the lateral margins of the arcus atlanticus in dorsal view: (0) posteriorly directed; (1) posterolaterally angled.
- **29.** Axis, dorsal extension of neural spine: (0) short (i.e., less than half of the length of the neural spine extends above the level of the anapophyses); (1) long (i.e., more than half of the length of the neural spine extends above the level of the anapophyses).

- **30.** Thoracic vertebrae, number of hypapophyses (crista [processus] ventralis corporis; Baumel and Witmer 1993; modified from Strauch 1985, character 14): (0) well developed on all thoracic vertebrae; (1) well developed on all but last vertebra; (2) well developed on all but last three vertebrae. Ordered.
- **31.** Sternum, costal processes, quantity (Strauch 1985, character 12; Chandler 1990, character 41): (0) seven; (1) six.
- **32.** Sternum, posterior width in dorsal view (modified from Chandler 1990, character 39): (0) narrow posteriorly; (1) broad posteriorly.
- **33.** Sternum, length of area between distal extent of medial fenestra and posterior margin of sternum (modified from Chandler 1990, character 43): (0) short (i.e., wider than long); (1) long (i.e., nearly as long or longer than it is wide).
- 34. Furcula, anterior surface of rami (Strauch 1978, character 41): (0) smooth; (1) grooved.
- 35. Furcula, cristae on anterior surface of rami: (0) absent; (1) present.
- **36.** Furcula, tubercle on anterior apophysis: (0) absent; (1) present.
- 37. Furcular, tubercle on posterior apophysis: (0) absent; (1) present.
- 38. Scapula, width of distal extremity in lateral view: (0) tapering; (1) dorsoventrally expanded.
- 39. Coracoid, shape of furcular facet (modified from Chandler 1990, character 28): (0) oval; (1) rounded.
- 40. Coracoid, ventral margin of furcular facet: (0) rounded; (1) notched.
- 41. Coracoid, supracoracoidal sulcus: (0) shallowly excavated; (1) deeply excavated.
- 42. Coracoid, brachial tuberosity, shape in medial view: (0) approximately straight; (1) distinctly curved.
- **43.** Coracoid, acrocoracoid process in dorsal view (Chandler 1990, character 29): (0) short (i.e., roughly as wide as it is long); (1) long (i.e., longer than wide).
- 44. Coracoid, m. supracoracoideus scar: (0) a distinct ridge; (1) ridge reduced or absent.
- **45.** Coracoid, n. supracoracoideus foramen (Strauch 1985, character 13; Chandler 1990, character 25): (0) absent; (1) present.
- **46. Coracoid**, **position of n. supracoracoideus foramen**: (0) positioned near the midpoint of the procoracoid process); (1) positioned on the extreme sternal edge of the procoracoid process leaving only a very thin strut of bone which forms the ventral margin of the procoracoid process.
- **47.** Coracoid, lateral sternocoracoidal ligament scar extension: (0) extends to sternal articulation; (1) bordered sternally by crest.
- 48. Coracoid, crest along sternal edge of lateral process: (0) absent; (1) present.
- **49.** Coracoid, anterolateral surface extending from the sternal facet to the glenoid facet: (0) smooth; (1) grooved.
- **50.** Coracoid, procoracoid process, shape of sternal edge: (0) concave; (1) convex.
- **51.** Coracoid, dorsal margin of medial sternal process: (0) smooth; (1) notched.
- 52. Coracoid, medial angle of sternal facet in sternal view (Chandler 1990, character 26): (0) angled ~135°;
 (1) angled ~90°.
- 53. Humerus, distal margin of head in posterior view: (0) convex curve; (1) triangular point.
- **54.** Humerus, dorsal caput, shape of posterior side in proximal view (modified from Chandler, 1990; character 49): (0) rounded; (1) notched.
- **55.** Humerus, distal extent of deltopectoral crest, (modified from Chandler 1990, character 53): (0) does not extend past midpoint of shaft; (1) extends distally past the midway point of shaft.
- 56. Humerus, deltopectoral crest, transition to shaft: (0) smooth; (1) abrupt.
- 57. Humerus, dorsal surface of humeral head in dorsal view, curvature: (0) concave; (1) flat.
- **58.** Humerus, m. coracobrachialis impression depth (Chandler 1990, character 60): (0) shallow (i.e., less deep than wide); (1) deep (i.e., as deep as wide).
- **59.** Humerus, distal edge of bicipital crest, angle with respect to long axis of shaft in anterior view: (0) not perpendicular; (1) nearly perpendicular.
- 60. Humerus, bicipital crest, transition to shaft: (0) smooth; (1) notched.
- **61.** Humerus, m. coracobrachialis sulcus conformation: (0) open sulcus; (1) closed duct.

- **62. Humerus**, **m. coracobrachialis sulcus curvature:** (0) dorsal; (1) ventral. The distal most point of the bicipital surface, as defined by the curvature of the coracobrachial sulcus, which curves or angles dorsal to the bicipital crest on the anterior surface of the humerus in some alcids (e.g., *Pinguinus impennis*), while in other alcids (e.g., *Alle alle*) the coracobrachial sulcus and the distal edge of the bicipital surface extend ventrally to terminate where the bicipital crest contacts the ventral surface of the humeral shaft.
- **63.** Humerus, shape of m. supracoracoideus attachment scar (crista m. supracoracoidei; Baumel and Witmer 1993): (0) long, does not broaden proximally; (1) long, proximally broadening.
- **64.** Humerus, m. supracoracoideus scar, transition to the secondary pneumotricipital fossa (modified from Chandler 1990, character 58): (0) secondary pneumotricipital fossa borders scar; (1) scar separated from the secondary pneumotricipital fossa.
- **65.** Humerus, medial crest between pneumotricipital fossae, extension relative to the bicipital crest (modified from Chandler 1990, character 51): (0) ends proximal to distal- most extension of bicipital crest; (1) crest extends to distal extant bicipital crest.
- **66.** Humerus, primary pneumotricipital fossa, depth (fossa pneumotricipitalis ventralis; Baumel and Witmer 1993): (0) shallow (i.e., less deep than wide); (1) moderately deep (i.e., ~ as deep as wide).
- 67. Humerus, primary pneumotricipital fossa, shape: (0) round; (1) oval.
- 68. Humerus, attachment of m. subcoracoideus, position: (0) dorsal; (1) ventral.
- 69. Humerus, m. subcoracoideus, attachment scar depth: (0) flat or slightly concave; (1) a deep pit.
- 70. Humerus, primary pneumotricipital fossa, shape of distal edge in posterior view: (0) concave; (1) straight.
- **71.** Humerus, secondary pneumotricipital fossa (fossa pneumotricipitalis dorsalis; Baumel and Witmer 1993; modified from Strauch 1985, character 17; Chandler 1990, character 50): (0) shallow or flat; (1) pneumatized.
- **72.** Humerus, secondary pneumotricipital fossa, depth; modified from Strauch 1985, character 17): (0) deep; (1) shallow.
- 73. Humerus, secondary pneumotricipital fossa: (0) dorsoventrally continuous; (1) divided by a bony crest.
- 74. Humerus, shape of ventral tubercle in ventral view: (0) long and thin; (1) short and thick.
- 75. Humerus, ventral tubercle, shape of posterior tip in posterior view: (0) rounded or oval; (1) elongate.
- **76.** Humerus, ventral tubercle, orientation of posterior tip in posterior view: (0) posteriorly oriented; (1) ventrally down-turned.
- 77. Humerus, m. latissimus dorsi scar curvature in posterodorsal view: (0) straight; (1) curves dorsally.
- 78. Humerus, cross-sectional shape of shaft: (0) dorsoventrally compressed; (1) semi-rounded.
- **79. Humerus**, **shaft thickness:** (0) robust (i.e., width of shaft in anterior view greater than or equal to half the width of the humeral head; e.g., *P. impennis*); (1) gracile (i.e., width of shaft in anterior view less than or equal to half the width of the humeral head; e.g., *Alle alle*).
- **80.** Humerus, shape of dorsal supracondylar process in posterior view: (0) smoothly transitioning (i.e., angle with shaft >90°); (1) small dorsally pointing projection.
- **81.** Humerus, ventral epicondyle, position relative to ventral condyle in anterior view (Chandler 1990, character 62): (0) does not extend distal to ventral condyle; (1) extends distal to ventral condyle.
- **82.** Humerus, tricipital sulci, width in distal view: (0) scapulotricipital sulcus narrower than humerotricipital sulcus; (1) sulci of equal width; (2) scapulotricipital sulcus broader than humerotricipital sulcus.
- 83. Humerus, humerotricipital sulcus, shape in distal view: (0) flattened; (1) U-shaped or curved.
- 84. Humerus, ventral condyle in distal view, posterodorsally oriented projection (0) absent; (1) present.
- 85. Humerus, tubercle dorsal to scapulotricipital groove: (0) absent; (1) present.
- 86. Humerus, shape of ventral supracondylar tubercle (anterior ligament scar): (0) triangular; (1) rounded.
- 87. Humerus, m. pronator sublimis scar associated with ventral supracondylar tubercle: (0) absent; (1) present.
- 88. Humerus, position of pit adjacent to ventral supracondylar tubercle: (0) proximal; (1) ventral.
- **89.** Radius, bicipital tubercle: (0) reduced; (1) distinct.
- 90. Radius, position of bicipital tubercle: (0) anterior; (1) distal; (2) posterior.
- **91.** Radius, distal end, sulcus tendinosus (Chu 1998, character 102): (0) not divided lengthwise (i.e., proximodistally) by a crest; (1) divided by a crest.
- 92. Radius, distal end in distal view: (0) rounded; (1) notched.

- **93.** Ulna, length of olecranon: (0) long (i.e., projects well past the medial extent of the ventral cotyla); (1) short (i.e., does not extend past the medial extent of the ventral cotyla).
- 94. Ulna, olecranon curvature in ventral view: (0) flares posteriorly; (1) curves anteriorly.
- 95. Ulna, ventral collateral ligament tubercle shape: (0) triangular; (1) rounded.
- **96.** Ulna, crest extending from the ventral cotyla to the posterior margin of the ventral collateral ligament **tubercle:** (0) absent; (1) present.
- 97. Ulna, dorsal cotylar process, anterior margin shape in dorsal view: (0) rounded; (1) straight.
- 98. Ulna, brachial impression, development: (0) in line with shaft of ulna; (1) anteriorly expanded.
- **99.** Ulna, brachial impression, anteroposterior breadth: (0) thin (i.e., does not comprise more than half the width of the ulnar shaft); (1) broad (i.e., comprises more than half of the width of this proximal portion of the ulna).
- 100. Ulna, intramuscular line: (0) nondistinct; (1) distinct, raised ridge.
- 101. Ulna, shaft compression: (0) dorsoventrally compressed; (1) semi-flattened.
- **102.** Ulna, posterior margin of dorsal condyle, shape in dorsal view: (0) rounded; (1) angular.
- 103. Ulna, carpal tubercle, shape in anterior view: (0) flat or angled distally; (1) concave.
- **104.** Ulna, sulcus intercondylaris: (0) depressed; (1) flat.
- **105.** Carpometacarpus, extensor process of metacarpal 1, anterior margin shape (modified from Strauch 1985, character 18; Chandler 1990, character 69): (0) rounded knob; (1) flattened.
- **106.** Carpometacarpus, proximal intermetacarpal spatium, position relative to the distal extent of metacarpal 1 (modified from Chandler 1990, character 71): (0) symphysis proximal to the first metacarpal; (1) symphysis level with the first metacarpal.
- **107.** Carpometacarpus, posterior extension of ventral trochlear margin, relative to metacarpal III in dorsal view (modified from Chandler 1990, character 70): (0) ventral trochlear margin of carpometacarpus extends posteriorly to metacarpal III; (1) ventral trochlear margin and metacarpal III extend an equal distance posteriorly.
- **108.** Carpometacarpus, distal end, tendinal groove (i.e., sulcus interosseous; Baumel and Witmer 1993): (0) open-ended sulcus; (1) a bony canal.
- **109.** Carpometacarpus, metacarpal II articulation with digit II, phalanx 1, dorsal surface in distal view: (0) flat; (1) anteriorly expanded.
- **110.** Carpometacarpus, distal extension of metacarpal II relative to metacarpal III: (0) metacarpal II level with metacarpal III; (1) metacarpal III proximal to metacarpal II.
- **111.** Carpometacarpus, digit II phalanx 1, shape of process on dorsal surface of the distal end in dorsal view: (0) rounded; (1) rectangular.
- **112.** Carpometacarpus, length of digit II, phalanx 1: (0) <1/2 length of carpometacarpus; (1) >1/2 length of carpometacarpus.
- **113.** Ilium, pre-acetabular ilium, lateral expansion in dorsal view: (0) not expanded, narrow; (1) expanded laterally, spatulate.
- **114.** Pelvis, synsacral strut extending to acetabulum (Strauch 1985, character 15; Chandler 1990, character 47): (0) absent; (1) present.
- **115.** Pelvis, shape of renal depression in ventral view (Chandler 1990, character 44): (0) broad; (1) narrows posteriorly.
- **116.** Pelvis, antitrochanteral sulcus, distal extension: (0) terminates at antitrochanter; (1) extends distal to antitrochanter.
- 117. Pelvis, iliosynsacral suture: (0) fused; (1) perforated.
- 118. Ilium, post acetabular dorsal iliac crest in dorsal view: (0) broadens; (1) narrows.
- 119. Ilium, dorsolateral iliac spine, orientation: (0) dorsal; (1) dorsolateral.
- 120. Femur, trochanteric ridge in lateral view, shape: (0) convex; (1) straight.
- 121. Tibiotarsus, cnemial crests, shape in proximal view: (0) T-shaped; (1) L-shaped.
- **122.** Tibiotarsus, cnemial crests, distal extent (Chandler 1990, character 74): (0) anterior crest extends further distally than lateral cnemial crest; (1) both extend distally about equal.

- 123. Tibiotarsus, lateral margin of medial condyle (Chandler 1990, character 75): (0) rounded; (1) notched.
- **124.** Tibiotarsus, lateral projection of crest lateral to the groove for peroneus profundus tendon, posterior **view:** (0) a distinct projection; (1) not visible in dorsal view.
- **125.** Tibiotarsus, supratendinal bridge: (0) not fully ossified; (1) fully ossified.
- **126.** Tarsometatarsus, tendinal canal 1 of hypotarsus (modified from Strauch 1978, character 64; Chandler 1990, character 82): (0) deep channel; (1) bony canal.
- **127. Tarsometatarsus, position of tendinal canal 2 of hypotarsus** (modified from Strauch 1978, character 65): (0) posterior to tendinal canal 1; (1) confluent with tendinal canal 1.
- **128.** Tarsometatarsus, tendinal canal 3 of hypotarsus (modified from Strauch 1978, character 66): (0) open groove; (1) mostly or completely enclosed bony channel.
- **129.** Tarsometatarsus, anterior groove of tarsometatarsus, depth (Chandler 1990, character 79): (0) deep groove; (1) shallow groove.
- **130.** Tarsometatarsus, cross-sectional shape: (0) square; (1) rectangular.
- **131. Tarsometatarsus, proximal vascular foramen:** (0) does not penetrate medial calcaneal ridge; (1) penetrates medial calcaneal ridge.

			-
	10	20	30
Alca auconia	•	•	•
Alca ausonia	222222222222222222	22222222222	22222222
A. curolinensis			222222222
A. Slewarti			
A. torda	111010010011101	111101100	11011000
A. alconi	111010010011101		11011000
A. organdia			
A. grunuis Dinguinus alfrednosutoni			21022222
D imponnie	111010010011111	121100100	11010200
Alla alla	1011010010011111		011010200
Miocemphus merculellus	101101010101000	22222222222	222222222
M hlozni	011222200011200	110200002	01022222
M bohacki	0111111100011100	110:0000:	010:::::
M mcclungi	1111111111111111		********
IIria aalae	001110110011001	110001001	01000000
II lowznia	001110110011001	110001001	01000000
11 hrodkorhi	002222222212202	112222022	22212211
Cepphus columba	000001001000001	1111001000	10000101
	40	50	60
	•	•	•
Alca ausonia	???????????????????????????????????????	??????1100	10001000
A. carolinensis	001111000110100	000101100	10001100
A. stewarti	?????000110100	001000000	0000?100
A. minor	???????????????????????????????????????	??????0100	1000?100
A. torda	001100000110100	001110100	10001100
A. olsoni	?0?00?001110100)??1011100	10001100
A. grandis	?????000110100)??1111100	10001000
Pinguinus alfrednewtoni	??????01110010?	???1111110	10101000
P. impennis	001001011000100	001110110	10101000
Alle alle	0000011000100-0	011121101	10110100
M. mergulellus	???????????????????????????????????????	??????1001	10110100
M. blowi	???????????????????????????????????????	??????????00	10110000
M. bohaski	200???000010100)11010??01	?????????
M. mcciungi	222222222222222222	·????????001	10??0000
Uria aalge)100111000	10000000
U. Iomou	001001011010100)100111000	10000000
Cemphus columba	110000000011111	2221221222	11010111
	70	80	90
	•	•	•
Alca ausonia	0011000-1100000	0111101110	?????????
A. carolinensis	0011010-1100000	0111101110	11100000
A. stewarti	0001010-?101000	0111101110	10??0100
A. minor	1001000-11??000	0111101110	??????????
A. torda	1001010-1100000	0111101110	10100100
A. olsoni	0011010-1100000	0111101110	12100000
A. grandis	0011010-1100000)111111110	????0000
Pinguinus alfrednewtoni	0001110-0100000	01A11110-	0-111000
P. impennis	0001010-1100000	001111110-	0-101000
Alle alle	1110010-1100001	10200100-	1-000001

APPENDIX 4. Morphological character matrix (A = 0 and 1; i.e., polymorphism).

	70 80 90
Miocepphus mergulellus	0110110-000000010010?10-???????
M. blowi	0001000-0100000101101110?????11
M. bohaski	????????????????11110?1110-000111
M. mcclungi	0?????0-0???00?101101110????????
Uria aalge	0111010-110000001010110-0-110011
U. lomvia	0111010-110000001010110-0-110011
U. brodkorbi	??1???0-0100000101?1?????1100??
Cepphus columba	111011110110111010001012100010
	100 110 120
Alca ausonia	• • •
A. carolinensis	0001010111210022222222222222222
A. stewarti	10110100???????????????????????????????
A. minor	222222222222222222222222222222222222222
A. torda	001101001100001010111111110001010
A olsoni	110100002222222222222222222222222222222
A orandis	00110020222000122022122110222222
Pinouinus alfrednewtoni	0000000122222222222222222101020022
P impennis	001000011000011110111111011110010
Alle alle	001000011000001101110110011001
Miocomhus moraulellus	00100000110100000110111011001101
M hlozui	000101001101002222222222222222222222222
M hohaski	100100002222222222222222222222222222222
M meelungi	10010000:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
Tria aalae	00000100110001101111101111011010
11 Iomzia	001001001100011011110111101010
11. hrodkorhi	0010010011000110111101111011101
Cemplus columba	001010101010010110100001010101
	130
Alca ausonia	???
A. carolinensis	???
A. stewarti	???
A. minor	???
A. torda	111
A. olsoni	???
A. grandis	???
Pinguinus alfrednewtoni	01?
P. impennis	110
Alle alle	111
M. mergulellus	???
M. blowi	???
M. bohaski	???
M. mcclungi	???
Uria aalge	110
Uria aalge U. lomvia	110 110
Uria aalge U. lomvia U. brodkorbi	110 110 ???

APPENDIX 5. GenBank accession numbers and authorship of molecular sequences used in this analysis. Key to lowercase letters in parentheses following accession numbers that denote authorship of sequences: a = Baker et al. 2007, b = Pereira and Baker 2008, c = Moum et al. 2002, d = Moum et al. 1994, e = Friesen et al. 1996, f = Fain and Houde 2007, and g = Paton et al. 2003.

Taxon	ND2		ND5		ND6		CO1	
Alca torda	EF373220	(a)	AJ242683	(c)	X73916	(d)	EF380318	(b)
Alle alle	EF373221	(a)	AJ242684	(c)	X73915	(d)	EF380319	(b)
Cepphus columba	EF373229	(a)	-	. ,	X73918	(d)	EF380325	(b)
Pinguinus impennis	_	. ,	AJ242685	(c)	AJ242685	(c)	-	. ,
Uria aalge	EF380348	(b)	AJ242686	(c)	X73913	(d)	EF380334	(b)
U. lomvia	EF373273	(a)	AJ242687	(c)	X73914	(d)	EF380336	(b)
Taxon	cyt b		12S rDNA		16S rDNA		RAG-1	
Alca torda	U37288	(e)	EF373065	(a)	EF380281	(b)	AY228788	(g)
Alle alle	U37287	(e)	AJ242684	(c)	EF380282	(b)	EF373166	(a)
Cepphus columba	U37293	(e)	X76349	(d)	DQ674610	(f)	EF373173	(a)
Pinguinus impennis	AJ242685	(c)	AJ242685	(c)	_		-	. ,
Uria aalge	U37307	(e)	DQ485794	(f)	DQ485832	(f)	EF380276	(b)
U. lomvia	U37308	(e)	AJ242687	(c)	EF380299	(b)	EF373216	(a)